

CUSTOMER  
NUMBER 22852

PATENT  
Atty. Dkt. No.: 7451.0004-02  
InterTrust Ref No.: IT 6.1.1(US)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Prior Application: 09/221,479

Filed: December 28, 1998

Of: Karl L. GINTER, et al.

For: TRUSTED AND SECURE TECHNIQUES, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR ITEM  
DELIVERY AND EXECUTION

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Examiner: Unassigned

SIR:

This is a request for filing a

☒ Continuation ☐ Divisional Application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) of pending prior application Serial No. 09/221,479, filed December 28, 1998, which is a continuation of application Serial No. 08/699,711, filed August 12, 1996, now abandoned, which is a continuation-in-part of application Serial No. 08/388,107, filed February 13, 1995, now abandoned.

1. ☒ Enclosed is a complete copy of the prior application as originally filed including the drawings but without the oath or Declaration. I hereby verify that the attached papers are a true copy of prior application Serial No. 08/699,711, as originally filed on August 12, 1996.
2. ☐ Enclosed is a substitute specification under 37 C.F.R. § 1.125.
3. ☒ Cancel Claims 1-6.
4. ☒ A Preliminary Amendment is enclosed.
5. ☒ The filing fee is calculated on the basis of the claims existing in the prior application as amended at 3 and 4 above.

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

JC812 U.S. PTO  
09/632944  
08/04/00

JC803 U.S. PTO  
08/04/00

09632944-030400

For	:	Number Filed	:	Number Extra	:	Rate	:	Basic Fee	\$	690.00
Total	:		:		:		:			
Claims	:	504	:	-20 =	:	x\$ 18.00=	:		\$	8,712.00
Independent	:		:		:		:			
Claims	:	4	:	- 3 =	:	1 x\$ 78.00=	:		\$	78.00
Multiple Dependent Claim(s) (if applicable)					:	+\$260.00=	:			
						Total	=	:		\$ 9,480.00
						Reduction by 1/2 for	:			
						filing by small entity :	-			
						TOTAL FILING FEE	=	:		\$ 9,480.00

6. ☒ A check in the amount of \$9,480.00 to cover the filing fee is enclosed.
7. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required including fees due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 and any other fees due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17, or credit any overpayment during the pendency of this application to Deposit Account No. 06-0916.
8. ☒ Amend the specification by inserting before the first line, the sentence:
- This application is a continuation of commonly assigned copending application Serial No. 09/221,479, filed December 28, 1998, which is a continuation of application Serial No. 08/699,711, filed August 12, 1996, now abandoned, which is a continuation-in-part of application Serial No. 08/388,107, filed February 13, 1995, now abandoned -- all of which are incorporated herein by reference.
9. ☒ New formal drawings are enclosed.
10. ☒ The prior application is assigned of record to: InterTrust Technologies Corporation.
11. ☐ Priority of application Serial No. \_\_\_\_\_, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_\_ (country) is claimed under 35 U.S.C. § 119. A certified copy
- ☐ is enclosed or ☐ is on file in the prior application.

004030-4462E960

## LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
 FARABOW, GARRETT  
 & DUNNER, L.L.P.  
 STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
 700 HANSEN WAY  
 PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
 650-849-6600

12. ☐ A verified statement claiming small entity status
- ☐ is enclosed or ☐ is on file in the prior application.
13. ☐ A copy of a new power of attorney is enclosed. This power of attorney replaces the power of attorney filed in the prior application.
14. ☒ Please address all correspondence to FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT and DUNNER, L.L.P., 1300 I Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005-3315.

PETITION FOR EXTENSION. If any extension of time is necessary for the filing of this application, including any extension in the parent application, Serial No. 09/221,479, filed December 28, 1998, for the purpose of maintaining copendency between the parent application and this application, and such extension has not otherwise been requested, such an extension is hereby requested, and the Commissioner is authorized to charge necessary fees for such an extension to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916. A duplicate copy of this paper is enclosed for use in charging the deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Date: August 3, 2000

By: Linda J. Thayer  
Linda J. Thayer  
Reg. No. 45,681

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Continuation Application of: )  
Karl L. GINTER, et al. )  
Serial No.: 09/221,479 ) Group Art Unit:  
Unassigned  
Filed: December 28, 1998 ) Examiner: Unassigned  
For: TRUSTED AND SECURE TECHNIQUES, )  
SYSTEMS, AND METHODS FOR ITEM )  
DELIVERY AND EXECUTION )

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**

Prior to the examination of this application, please amend the  
application as follows:

**IN THE CLAIMS:**

Please cancel claims 1-6 and add the following new claims 7 through  
510.

7. A system including:  
a first apparatus including  
user controls,  
a communications port,  
a processor,  
a memory containing  
a first rule, and



a first secure container containing an audio file, the first  
secure container having associated a second rule,  
the second rule governing, at least in part, access  
to or other use of at least a portion of the audio  
file;

hardware and/or software used for receiving and opening  
secure containers, said secure containers each including  
the capacity to contain at least one governed item, at  
least one rule being associated with each of said secure  
containers;

a protected processing environment at least in part protecting at  
least some information contained in said protected processing  
environment from tampering by a user of said first apparatus,  
said protected processing environment including hardware  
and/or software used for applying said first rule and said second  
rule in combination to at least in part govern at least one aspect  
of access to or use of said audio file; and

hardware and/or software used for transmission of secure  
containers to other apparatuses and/or for the receipt of secure  
containers from other apparatuses.

8. A system as in Claim 7, said first apparatus further including:  
a secure database.

9. A system as in Claim 8 said first apparatus further including:  
a rights operating system.
10. A system as in Claim 9, said first apparatus further including:  
node initialization hardware and/or software.
11. A system as in Claim 7, in which said first apparatus memory includes  
at least one rule associated with a group of users.
12. A system as in Claim 7, said first apparatus further including a card  
reader.
13. A system as in Claim 7, said first apparatus comprising a kiosk, said  
kiosk further including:
  - a document reader;
  - a camera;
  - a microphone; and
  - a speaker.
14. A system as in Claim 7, said first apparatus comprising a television  
set-top box.
15. A system as in Claim 7, said first apparatus comprising a network  
electronic delivery server.

004030" 11152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

16. A system as in Claim 7, said second rule at least in part governing the ability of a user of said first apparatus to transmit said audio file to a second apparatus.
17. A system as in Claim 16, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part governing the ability of a user to alter at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.
18. A system as in Claim 16, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part restricting the number of accesses and/or uses a user may make of at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.
19. A system as in Claim 16, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part restricting the duration of at least some accesses and/or uses of said audio file.
20. A system as in Claim 7, said memory further storing audit information.
21. A system as in Claim 20, said audit information being stored in a second secure container.
22. A system as in Claim 7, said memory further storing at least one routing slip.
23. A system as in Claim 22, said routing slip including:

004080-1152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

information relating to identification of at least one recipient of at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.

24. A system as in Claim 23, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part authorizing or allowing at least one action based at least in part on said routing slip identification information.
25. A system as in Claim 24, said at least one action including transmitting at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container to another apparatus and/or another user, said authorization of said transmission by said third rule being at least in part based on the identity of at least one prior recipient of said first secure container contents.
26. A system as in Claim 7, said first secure container having been received from a second apparatus and said second rule having been received from a third apparatus different from said second apparatus.
27. A system as in Claim 7, said memory further storing at least one audit trail record.
28. A system as in Claim 27, said audit trail record including information regarding the manner in which said first secure container and/or contents of said first secure container has been used.

004080" 4462E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

29. A system as in Claim 7, said first apparatus including a signature apparatus, said signature apparatus including signature affixation hardware and/or software.
30. A system as in Claim 7, said first apparatus further including user authentication hardware and/or software.
31. A system as in Claim 30, said user authentication hardware and/or software including biometric authentication hardware and/or software.
32. A system as in Claim 31, said biometric authentication hardware and/or software including hardware and/or software which analyzes palm prints, signatures, voices, fingerprints, retinas, irises and/or faces.
33. A system as in Claim 30, said user authentication hardware and/or software further including at least one secure identity token.
34. A system as in Claim 7, said memory storing a digital certificate.
35. A system as in Claim 34, said digital certificate including information relating to the identification of at least one individual.
36. A system as in Claim 34, said digital certificate including information relating to the identification of at least one group.

004030-1162660

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

37. A system as in Claim 34, said digital certificate including information relating to the identification of said first apparatus.
38. A system as in Claim 34, said digital certificate including biometric information related to the identification of at least one individual.
39. A system as in Claim 34, said digital certificate being stored in a second secure container, said second secure container being stored in said memory.
40. A system as in Claim 39, said memory storing one or more rules associated with said second secure container, said second secure container rules at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital certificate.
41. A system as in Claim 34, said digital certificate being stored in said first secure container.
42. A system as in Claim 7, said memory storing at least one digital signature.
43. A system as in Claim 42, said digital signature being stored in a secure container.
44. A system as in Claim 43, said secure container including at least one cryptographic key.

004030 " 4462E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

45. A system as in Claim 44, said secure container in which said digital signature is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.
46. A system as in Claim 45, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital signature.
47. A system as in Claim 7, said memory storing at least one electronic seal.
48. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including a digital representation of a handwritten signature.
49. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including receipt information.
50. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including usage information.
51. A system as in Claim 50, said usage information including information at least in part identifying usage of said audio file.
52. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including at least one image designed to allow for visual recognition of said seal.

004030-1162960

53. A system as in Claim 47, said seal including encoded information.
54. A system as in Claim 53, said encoded information being steganographically encoded in said seal.
55. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including a digital signature.
56. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including validation information.
57. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including information regarding at least one transmission of said first secure container.
58. A system as in Claim 57, said transmission information including information regarding the transmitter of said first secure container.
59. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including at least one rule.
60. A system as in Claim 59, said at least one electronic seal rule governing, at least in part, at least one aspect of access to or use of said first secure container contents.

004030-4462960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600



61. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including a representation of at least one aspect of said first secure container contents.
62. A system as in Claim 61, said representation including a hash of at least a portion of said first secure container contents.
63. A system as in Claim 62, said hash constituting a hash of at least a portion of said audio file after normalization of said portion.
64. A system as in Claim 62, said electronic seal further including a time value.
65. A system as in Claim 62, said electronic seal further including a certificate value obtained from a digital certificate.
66. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal including encrypted information.
67. A system as in Claim 66, said encrypted information being encrypted, at least in part, using a key from a public/private key pair.
68. A system as in Claim 67, said encryption key belonging to at least one individual or entity responsible at least in part for at least one transmission of said audio file.

004030-4452960

69. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal further including at least one error correction code.
70. A system as in Claim 69, said error correction code being derived from at least a portion of said first secure container contents.
71. A system as in Claim 47, said electronic seal being stored in a secure container.
72. A system as in Claim 71, said secure container in which said electronic seal is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.
73. A system as in Claim 72, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said electronic seal.
74. A system as in Claim 47, said audio file including at least one electronic seal.
75. A system as in Claim 74, said electronic seal being stored in said first secure container.
76. A system as in Claim 7, said memory storing at least one electronic fingerprint.

004030-1162660

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

77. A system as in Claim 76, said electronic fingerprint being stored in a secure container.
78. A system as in Claim 77, said secure container in which said electronic fingerprint is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.
79. A system as in Claim 7, said first secure container containing at least one digital signature.
80. A system as in Claim 79, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital signature.
81. A system as in Claim 7, said audio file including steganographically encoded information.
82. A system as in Claim 81, said steganographically encoded information including at least one electronic fingerprint.
83. A system as in Claim 81, said steganographically encoded information including a first portion encoded using a first steganographic encoding technique and a second portion encoded using a second steganographic encoding technique.

004030" 4452E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

84. A system as in Claim 83, in which said first steganographic encoding technique provides a higher degree of security than said second steganographic encoding technique.
85. A system as in Claim 84, in which at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information is encrypted.
86. A system as in Claim 83, in which said first portion is encrypted using a first technique which differs in at least one respect from a second encryption technique used for encryption of said second portion.
87. A system as in Claim 86, in which said encryption techniques differ in at least the key used for each technique.
88. A system as in Claim 86, in which said encryption techniques differ in the strength of encryption used.
89. A system as in Claim 81, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in spacings between words or characters, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.
90. A system as in Claim 81, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in spacings between lines of text, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.

004030-1452660

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

91. A system as in Claim 81, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in the gray scale used in at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.
92. A system as in Claim 81, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in the color frequencies used in at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.
93. A system as in Claim 7, said system further including:
- a second apparatus, said second apparatus including
  - user controls,
  - a communications port,
  - a processor,
  - a memory containing a third rule,
- hardware and or/software used for receiving and opening secure containers, said secure containers each including the capacity to contain at least one governed item;
- at least one rule being associated with each of said secure containers;

a protected processing environment at least in part protecting at least some information contained in said protected processing environment from tampering by a user of said apparatus, said protected processing environment including hardware and/or software used for applying said third rule and rules associated with secure containers in combination to at least in part govern at least one aspect of access to or use of said governed item; and

hardware and/or software used for transmission of secure containers to other apparatuses and/or for the receipt of secure containers from other apparatuses.

94. A system as in Claim 93, said system further including at least one electronic intermediary.
95. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary residing at said first apparatus.
96. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary being distributed between at least two locations, said two locations comprising said first apparatus and said second apparatus.
97. A system as in Claim 95, said first apparatus including a communications server at least in part facilitating communications between an internal network and a public network.

004030-11152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

98. A system as in Claim 97, wherein said public network constitutes the internet.
99. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary constituting at least a portion of an apparatus operated by a communications service provider.
100. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including digital signature hardware and/or software operatively connected to allow application of a digital signature to an item.
101. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including hash hardware and/or software operatively connected to allow calculation of a hash value based on an item.
102. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including electronic seal hardware and/or software operatively connected to allow application of a electronic seal to an item.
103. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including audit trail hardware and/or software operatively connected to record and store audit information relating to an item.
104. A system as in Claim 103, in which said intermediary audit information includes information regarding at least one transmission of said item.

004030-1462960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

105. A system as in Claim 103, in which said intermediary audit information includes information regarding at least one access to said item.
106. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including time stamp hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide time information.
107. A system as in Claim 106, said intermediary including time certification hardware and/or software operatively connected to said time stamp hardware and/or software, said time certification including certification of time of transmission, receipt and/or use of an item.
108. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including auditing hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide auditing services.
109. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including authentication hardware and/or software.
110. A system as in Claim 109, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate governed items.
111. A system as in Claim 109, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate a sender of a governed item and/or a site responsible for sending a governed item.

004030-1162E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600



112. A system as in Claim 109, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate a recipient of a governed item and/or a site at which a governed item is received.
113. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including auction hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide electronic auction services.
114. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including transaction clearing hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide services relating to clearing transactions.
115. A system as in Claim 114, said transaction clearing services including payment-related services.
116. A system as in Claim 115, said transaction clearing services including audit-related services.
117. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including certification hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide certification services.
118. A system as in Claim 117, said certification services including the creation of digital certificates.

004030" 44622960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

119. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including currency hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide currency-related services.
120. A system as in Claim 119, said currency-related services including currency conversion.
121. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including a secure archive.
122. A system as in Claim 121, said secure archive including receipt-related information.
123. A system as in Claim 121, said secure archive including information about transmissions of one or more items.
124. A system as in Claim 121, said secure archive including identification information relating to one or more items.
125. A system as in Claim 121, said secure archive including authentication information relating to one or more items.
126. A system as in Claim 121, said secure archive authentication information including at least one hash value of at least a portion of an item.

004080-1162E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

127. A system as in Claim 121, said secure archive including information relating to one or more controls.
128. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including transmission hardware and/or software operatively connected to receive items from other apparatuses and to transmit items to other apparatuses.
129. A system as in Claim 128, said transmission hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide store and forward services.
130. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including cryptographic key repository hardware and/or software operatively connected to maintain a repository of cryptographic keys.
131. A system as in Claim 94, said intermediary including a user rights authority clearinghouse.
132. A system as in Claim 131, said user rights authority clearinghouse operatively connected to make rights available to users.
133. A system including:  
a first apparatus including  
user controls,  
a communications port,  
a processor,  
a memory containing

004030-1162E960

a first rule, and

a first secure container containing a video file, the first secure container having associated a second rule, the second rule governing, at least in part, access to or other use of at least a portion of the video file;

hardware and/or software used for receiving and opening secure containers, said secure containers each including the capacity to contain at least one governed item, at least one rule being associated with each of said secure containers;

a protected processing environment at least in part protecting at least some information contained in said protected processing environment from tampering by a user of said first apparatus, said protected processing environment including hardware and/or software used for applying said first rule and said second rule in combination to at least in part govern at least one aspect of access to or use of said video file; and

hardware and/or software used for transmission of secure containers to other apparatuses and/or for the receipt of secure containers from other apparatuses.

134. A system as in Claim 133, said first apparatus further including:  
a secure database.

135. A system as in Claim 134, said first apparatus further including:

004030-4452E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.

STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

a rights operating system.

136. A system as in Claim 135, said first apparatus further including:  
node initialization hardware and/or software.
137. A system as in Claim 133, in which said first apparatus memory  
includes at least one rule associated with a group of users.
138. A system as in Claim 133, said first apparatus further including a card  
reader.
139. A system as in Claim 133, said first apparatus comprising a kiosk, said  
kiosk further including:  
a document reader;  
a camera;  
a microphone; and  
a speaker.
140. A system as in Claim 133, said first apparatus comprising a television  
set-top box.
141. A system as in Claim 133, said first apparatus comprising a network  
electronic delivery server.

004080-1162960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

142. A system as in Claim 133, said second rule at least in part governing the ability of a user of said first apparatus to transmit said video file to a second apparatus.
143. A system as in Claim 147, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part governing the ability of a user to alter at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.
144. A system as in Claim 147, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part restricting the number of accesses and/or uses a user may make of at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.
145. A system as in Claim 147, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part restricting the duration of at least some accesses and/or uses of said video file.
146. A system as in Claim 133, said memory further storing audit information.
147. A system as in Claim 146, said audit information being stored in a second secure container.
148. A system as in Claim 133, said memory further storing at least one routing slip.

004080 "1462E960

149. A system as in Claim 148, said routing slip including:  
information relating to identification of at least one recipient of at least  
a portion of the contents of said first secure container.
150. A system as in Claim 149, said first secure container having  
associated a third rule at least in part authorizing or allowing at least  
one action based at least in part on said routing slip identification  
information.
151. A system as in Claim 150, said at least one action including  
transmitting at least a portion of the contents of said first secure  
container to another apparatus and/or another user, said authorization  
of said transmission by said third rule being at least in part based on  
the identity of at least one prior recipient of said first secure container  
contents.
152. A system as in Claim 133, said first secure container having been  
received from a second apparatus and said second rule having been  
received from a third apparatus different from said second apparatus.
153. A system as in Claim 133, said memory further storing at least one  
audit trail record.
154. A system as in Claim 153, said audit trail record including information  
regarding the manner in which said first secure container and/or  
contents of said first secure container has been used.

004080" 11152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

155. A system as in Claim 133, said first apparatus including a signature apparatus, said signature apparatus including signature affixation hardware and/or software.
156. A system as in Claim 133, said first apparatus further including user authentication hardware and/or software.
157. A system as in Claim 156, said user authentication hardware and/or software including biometric authentication hardware and/or software.
158. A system as in Claim 157, said biometric authentication hardware and/or software including hardware and/or software which analyzes palm prints, signatures, voices, fingerprints, retinas, irises and/or faces.
159. A system as in Claim 156, said user authentication hardware and/or software further including at least one secure identity token.
160. A system as in Claim 133, said memory storing a digital certificate.
161. A system as in Claim 160, said digital certificate including information relating to the identification of at least one individual.
162. A system as in Claim 160, said digital certificate including information relating to the identification of at least one group.

001000011152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600



163. A system as in Claim 160, said digital certificate including information relating to the identification of said first apparatus.
164. A system as in Claim 160, said digital certificate including biometric information related to the identification of at least one individual.
165. A system as in Claim 160, said digital certificate being stored in a second secure container, said second secure container being stored in said memory.
166. A system as in Claim 165, said memory storing one or more rules associated with said second secure container, said second secure container rules at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital certificate.
167. A system as in Claim 160, said digital certificate being stored in said first secure container.
168. A system as in Claim 133, said memory storing at least one digital signature.
169. A system as in Claim 168, said digital signature being stored in a secure container.
170. A system as in Claim 169, said secure container including at least one cryptographic key.

171. A system as in Claim 170, said secure container in which said digital signature is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.
172. A system as in Claim 171, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital signature.
173. A system as in Claim 133, said memory storing at least one electronic seal.
174. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including a digital representation of a handwritten signature.
175. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including receipt information.
176. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including usage information.
177. A system as in Claim 176, said usage information including information at least in part identifying usage of said video file.
178. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including at least one image designed to allow for visual recognition of said seal.

179. A system as in Claim 173, said seal including encoded information.
180. A system as in Claim 179, said encoded information being steganographically encoded in said seal.
181. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including a digital signature.
182. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including validation information.
183. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including information regarding at least one transmission of said first secure container.
184. A system as in Claim 183, said transmission information including information regarding the transmitter of said first secure container.
185. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including at least one rule.
186. A system as in Claim 185, said at least one electronic seal rule governing, at least in part, at least one aspect of access to or use of said first secure container contents.

004030" 1152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

187. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including a representation of at least one aspect of said first secure container contents.
188. A system as in Claim 187, said representation including a hash of at least a portion of said first secure container contents.
189. A system as in Claim 188, said hash constituting a hash of at least a portion of said video file after normalization of said portion.
190. A system as in Claim 188, said electronic seal further including a time value.
191. A system as in Claim 188, said electronic seal further including a certificate value obtained from a digital certificate.
192. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal including encrypted information.
193. A system as in Claim 192, said encrypted information being encrypted, at least in part, using a key from a public/private key pair.
194. A system as in Claim 193, said encryption key belonging to at least one individual or entity responsible at least in part for at least one transmission of said video file.

004080-4452E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

195. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal further including at least one error correction code.
196. A system as in Claim 195, said error correction code being derived from at least a portion of said first secure container contents.
197. A system as in Claim 173, said electronic seal being stored in a secure container.
198. A system as in Claim 197, said secure container in which said electronic seal is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.
199. A system as in Claim 198, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said electronic seal.
200. A system as in Claim 173, said video file including at least one electronic seal.
201. A system as in Claim 200, said electronic seal being stored in said first secure container.
202. A system as in Claim 133, said memory storing at least one electronic fingerprint.

004030-1152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

203. A system as in Claim 202, said electronic fingerprint being stored in a secure container.
204. A system as in Claim 203, said secure container in which said electronic fingerprint is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.
205. A system as in Claim 133, said first secure container containing at least one digital signature.
206. A system as in Claim 205, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital signature.
207. A system as in Claim 133, said video file including steganographically encoded information.
208. A system as in Claim 207, said steganographically encoded information including at least one electronic fingerprint.
209. A system as in Claim 207, said steganographically encoded information including a first portion encoded using a first steganographic encoding technique and a second portion encoded using a second steganographic encoding technique.

004030" 1152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

210. A system as in Claim 209, in which said first steganographic encoding technique provides a higher degree of security than said second steganographic encoding technique.
211. A system as in Claim 210, in which at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information is encrypted.
212. A system as in Claim 209, in which said first portion is encrypted using a first technique which differs in at least one respect from a second encryption technique used for encryption of said second portion.
213. A system as in Claim 212, in which said encryption techniques differ in at least the key used for each technique.
214. A system as in Claim 212, in which said encryption techniques differ in the strength of encryption used.
215. A system as in Claim 207, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in spacings between words or characters, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.
216. A system as in Claim 207, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in spacings between lines of text, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.

004030-1162960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

217. A system as in Claim 207, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in the gray scale used in at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.

218. A system as in Claim 207, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in the color frequencies used in at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.

219. A system as in Claim 133, said system further including:

a second apparatus, said second apparatus including

user controls,

a communications port,

a processor,

a memory containing a third rule,

hardware and or/software used for receiving and opening secure

containers, said secure containers each including the capacity to contain at least one governed item, at least one rule being associated with each of said secure containers;

a protected processing environment at least in part protecting at least some information contained in said protected processing environment from

004080-1162E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600



tampering by a user of said apparatus, said protected processing environment including hardware and/or software used for applying said third rule and rules associated with secure containers in combination to at least in part govern at least one aspect of access to or use of said governed item; and

hardware and/or software used for transmission of secure containers to other apparatuses and/or for the receipt of secure containers from other apparatuses.

220. A system as in Claim 219, said system further including at least one electronic intermediary.
221. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary residing at said first apparatus.
222. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary being distributed between at least two locations, said two locations comprising said first apparatus and said second apparatus.
223. A system as in Claim 221, said first apparatus including a communications server at least in part facilitating communications between an internal network and a public network.
224. A system as in Claim 223, wherein said public network constitutes the internet.

004080-4452E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

225. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary constituting at least a portion of an apparatus operated by a communications service provider.
226. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including digital signature hardware and/or software operatively connected to allow application of a digital signature to an item.
227. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including hash hardware and/or software operatively connected to allow calculation of a hash value based on an item.
228. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including electronic seal hardware and/or software operatively connected to allow application of a electronic seal to an item.
229. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including audit trail hardware and/or software operatively connected to record and store audit information relating to an item.
230. A system as in Claim 229, in which said intermediary audit information includes information regarding at least one transmission of said item.
231. A system as in Claim 229, in which said intermediary audit information includes information regarding at least one access to said item.

004030-11622960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

232. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including time stamp hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide time information.
233. A system as in Claim 232, said intermediary including time certification hardware and/or software operatively connected to said time stamp hardware and/or software, said time certification including certification of time of transmission, receipt and/or use of an item.
234. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including auditing hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide auditing services.
235. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including authentication hardware and/or software.
236. A system as in Claim 235, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate governed items..
237. A system as in Claim 235, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate a sender of a governed item and/or a site responsible for sending a governed item.

000000-11111111

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

238. A system as in Claim 235, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate a recipient of a governed item and/or a site at which a governed item is received.
239. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including auction hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide electronic auction services.
240. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including transaction clearing hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide services relating to clearing transactions.
241. A system as in Claim 240, said transaction clearing services including payment-related services.
242. A system as in Claim 241, said transaction clearing services including audit-related services.
243. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including certification hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide certification services.
244. A system as in Claim 243, said certification services including the creation of digital certificates.

004080-1162560

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L. L. P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

- LAW OFFICES  
 GAN, HENDERSON,  
 ABOW, GARRETT  
 DUNNER, L. L. P.  
 RD RESEARCH PARK  
 O HANSEN WAY  
 ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
 50-849-6600

253. A system as in Claim 247, said secure archive including information relating to one or more controls.
254. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including transmission hardware and/or software operatively connected to receive items from other apparatuses and to transmit items to other apparatuses.
255. A system as in Claim 254, said transmission hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide store and forward services.
256. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including cryptographic key repository hardware and/or software operatively connected to maintain a repository of cryptographic keys.
257. A system as in Claim 220, said intermediary including a user rights authority clearinghouse.
258. A system as in Claim 257, said user rights authority clearinghouse operatively connected to make rights available to users.
259. A system including:  
a first apparatus including  
user controls,  
a communications port,  
a processor,  
a memory containing  
a first rule, and

a first secure container containing an image file, the first secure container having associated a second rule, the second rule governing, at least in part, access to or other use of at least a portion of the image file;

hardware and/or software used for receiving and opening secure containers, said secure containers each including the capacity to contain at least one governed item, at least one rule being associated with each of said secure containers;

a protected processing environment at least in part protecting at least some information contained in said protected processing environment from tampering by a user of said first apparatus, said protected processing environment including hardware and/or software used for applying said first rule and said second rule in combination to at least in part govern at least one aspect of access to or use of said image file; and

hardware and/or software used for transmission of secure containers to other apparatuses and/or for the receipt of secure containers from other apparatuses.

260. A system as in Claim 259, said first apparatus further including:  
a secure database.

261. A system as in Claim 260, said first apparatus further including:  
a rights operating system.

004080-4462360

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L. L. P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

- LAW OFFICES  
 GAN, HENDERSON,  
 ABOW, GARRETT  
 DUNNER, L. L. P.  
 RD RESEARCH PARK  
 O HANSEN WAY  
 ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
 50-849-6600



269. A system as in Claim 268, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part governing the ability of a user to alter at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.
270. A system as in Claim 268, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part restricting the number of accesses and/or uses a user may make of at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.
271. A system as in Claim 268, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part restricting the duration of at least some accesses and/or uses of said image file.
272. A system as in Claim 259, said memory further storing audit information.
273. A system as in Claim 272, said audit information being stored in a second secure container.
274. A system as in Claim 259, said memory further storing at least one routing slip.
275. A system as in Claim 274, said routing slip including:  
information relating to identification of at least one recipient of at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.

004030 "4462E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

276. A system as in Claim 275, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part authorizing or allowing at least one action based at least in part on said routing slip identification information.
277. A system as in Claim 276, said at least one action including transmitting at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container to another apparatus and/or another user, said authorization of said transmission by said third rule being at least in part based on the identity of at least one prior recipient of said first secure container contents.
278. A system as in Claim 259, said first secure container having been received from a second apparatus and said second rule having been received from a third apparatus different from said second apparatus.
279. A system as in Claim 259, said memory further storing at least one audit trail record.
280. A system as in Claim 279, said audit trail record including information regarding the manner in which said first secure container and/or contents of said first secure container has been used.
281. A system as in Claim 279, said first apparatus including a signature apparatus, said signature apparatus including signature affixation hardware and/or software.

- LAW OFFICES  
 GAN, HENDERSON,  
 ABOW, GARRETT  
 DUNNER, L. L. P.  
 RD RESEARCH PARK  
 O HANSEN WAY  
 ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
 50-849-6600

291. A system as in Claim 286, said digital certificate being stored in a second secure container, said second secure container being stored in said memory.
292. A system as in Claim 291, said memory storing one or more rules associated with said second secure container, said second secure container rules at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital certificate.
293. A system as in Claim 286, said digital certificate being stored in said first secure container.
294. A system as in Claim 259, said memory storing at least one digital signature.
295. A system as in Claim 294, said digital signature being stored in a secure container.
296. A system as in Claim 295, said secure container including at least one cryptographic key.
297. A system as in Claim 296, said secure container in which said digital signature is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.

0040830-44626960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

298. A system as in Claim 297, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital signature.
299. A system as in Claim 259, said memory storing at least one electronic seal.
300. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including a digital representation of a handwritten signature.
301. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including receipt information.
302. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including usage information.
303. A system as in Claim 302, said usage information including information at least in part identifying usage of said image file.
304. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including at least one image designed to allow for visual recognition of said seal.
305. A system as in Claim 299, said seal including encoded information.
306. A system as in Claim 305, said encoded information being steganographically encoded in said seal.

004080" 11152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

307. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including a digital signature.
308. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including validation information.
309. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including information regarding at least one transmission of said first secure container.
310. A system as in Claim 309, said transmission information including information regarding the transmitter of said first secure container.
311. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including at least one rule.
312. A system as in Claim 311, said at least one electronic seal rule governing, at least in part, at least one aspect of access to or use of said first secure container contents.
313. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including a representation of at least one aspect of said first secure container contents.
314. A system as in Claim 313, said representation including a hash of at least a portion of said first secure container contents.

004080-11622960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

315. A system as in Claim 314, said hash constituting a hash of at least a portion of said image file after normalization of said portion.
316. A system as in Claim 314, said electronic seal further including a time value.
317. A system as in Claim 314, said electronic seal further including a certificate value obtained from a digital certificate.
318. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal including encrypted information.
319. A system as in Claim 318, said encrypted information being encrypted, at least in part, using a key from a public/private key pair.
320. A system as in Claim 319, said encryption key belonging to at least one individual or entity responsible at least in part for at least one transmission of said image file.
321. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal further including at least one error correction code.
322. A system as in Claim 321, said error correction code being derived from at least a portion of said first secure container contents.

323. A system as in Claim 299, said electronic seal being stored in a secure container.
324. A system as in Claim 323, said secure container in which said electronic seal is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.
325. A system as in Claim 324, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said electronic seal.
326. A system as in Claim 299, said image file including at least one electronic seal.
327. A system as in Claim 326, said electronic seal being stored in said first secure container.
328. A system as in Claim 259, said memory storing at least one electronic fingerprint.
329. A system as in Claim 328, said electronic fingerprint being stored in a secure container.
330. A system as in Claim 329, said secure container in which said electronic fingerprint is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.

004080-1152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600



- LAW OFFICES

50

338. A system as in Claim 335, in which said first portion is encrypted using a first technique which differs in at least one respect from a second encryption technique used for encryption of said second portion.
339. A system as in Claim 338, in which said encryption techniques differ in at least the key used for each technique.
340. A system as in Claim 338, in which said encryption techniques differ in the strength of encryption used.
341. A system as in Claim 333, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in spacings between words or characters, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.
342. A system as in Claim 333, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in spacings between lines of text, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.
343. A system as in Claim 333, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in the gray scale used in at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.

004030" 4452E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

344. A system as in Claim 333, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in the color frequencies used in at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.

345. A system as in Claim 259, said system further including a second apparatus, said second apparatus including:

user controls,

a communications port,

a processor,

a memory containing a third rule,

hardware and or/software used for receiving and opening secure containers,

said secure containers each including the capacity to contain at least one governed item,

at least one rule being associated with each of said secure containers,

a protected processing environment at least in part protecting at least some information contained in said protected processing environment from tampering by a user of said apparatus, said protected processing environment including hardware and/or software used for applying said third

004030" 4462E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

rule and rules associated with secure containers in combination to at least in part govern at least one aspect of access to or use of said governed item, and

hardware and/or software used for transmission of secure containers to other apparatuses and/or for the receipt of secure containers from other apparatuses.

346. A system as in Claim 345, said system further including at least one electronic intermediary.
347. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary residing at said first apparatus.
348. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary being distributed between at least two locations, said two locations comprising said first apparatus and said second apparatus.
349. A system as in Claim 347, said first apparatus including a communications server at least in part facilitating communications between an internal network and a public network.
350. A system as in Claim 349, wherein said public network constitutes the internet.

004030-1162E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L. L. P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

- LAW OFFICES  
EGAN, HENDERSON,  
RABOW, GARRETT  
DUNNER, L. L. P.  
ORD RESEARCH PARK  
OO HANSEN WAY  
O ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

358. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary including time stamp hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide time information.
359. A system as in Claim 358, said intermediary including time certification hardware and/or software operatively connected to said time stamp hardware and/or software, said time certification including certification of time of transmission, receipt and/or use of an item.
360. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary including auditing hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide auditing services.
361. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary including authentication hardware and/or software.
362. A system as in Claim 361, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate governed items..
363. A system as in Claim 361, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate a sender of a governed item and/or a site responsible for sending a governed item.

004030" 1162E960

364. A system as in Claim 361, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate a recipient of a governed item and/or a site at which a governed item is received.
365. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary including auction hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide electronic auction services.
366. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary including transaction clearing hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide services relating to clearing transactions.
367. A system as in Claim 366, said transaction clearing services including payment-related services.
368. A system as in Claim 367, said transaction clearing services including audit-related services.
369. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary including certification hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide certification services.
370. A system as in Claim 369, said certification services including the creation of digital certificates.

004080"4452360

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L. L. P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

- LAW OFFICES  
 GAN, HENDERSON,  
 ABOW, GARRETT  
 DUNNER, L. L. P.  
 RD RESEARCH PARK  
 O HANSEN WAY  
 ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
 50-849-6600



379. A system as in Claim 373, said secure archive including information relating to one or more controls.
380. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary including transmission hardware and/or software operatively connected to receive items from other apparatuses and to transmit items to other apparatuses.
381. A system as in Claim 380, said transmission hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide store and forward services.
382. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary including cryptographic key repository hardware and/or software operatively connected to maintain a repository of cryptographic keys.
383. A system as in Claim 346, said intermediary including a user rights authority clearinghouse.
384. A system as in Claim 383, said user rights authority clearinghouse operatively connected to make rights available to users.
385. A system including:  
a first apparatus including,  
user controls,  
a communications port,  
a processor,  
a memory containing  
a first rule, and

a first secure container containing a text file, the first secure container having associated a second rule, the second rule governing, at least in part, access to or other use of at least a portion of the text file;

hardware and/or software used for receiving and opening secure containers, said secure containers each including the capacity to contain at least one governed item, at least one rule being associated with each of said secure containers;

a protected processing environment at least in part protecting at least some information contained in said protected processing environment from tampering by a user of said first apparatus, said protected processing environment including hardware and/or software used for applying said first rule and said second rule in combination to at least in part govern at least one aspect of access to or use of said text file; and

hardware and/or software used for transmission of secure containers to other apparatuses and/or for the receipt of secure containers from other apparatuses.

386. A system as in Claim 385, said first apparatus further including:  
a secure database.

387. A system as in Claim 386, said first apparatus further including:  
a rights operating system.

004080-4452E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

388. A system as in Claim 387, said first apparatus further including:  
node initialization hardware and/or software.
389. A system as in Claim 385, in which said first apparatus memory  
includes at least one rule associated with a group of users.
390. A system as in Claim 385, said first apparatus further including a card  
reader.
391. A system as in Claim 385, said first apparatus comprising a kiosk, said  
kiosk further including:  
a document reader;  
a camera;  
a microphone; and  
a speaker.
392. A system as in Claim 385, said first apparatus comprising a television  
set-top box.
393. A system as in Claim 385, said first apparatus comprising a network  
electronic delivery server.
394. A system as in Claim 385, said second rule at least in part governing  
the ability of a user of said first apparatus to transmit said text file to a  
second apparatus.

004080-1162E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

395. A system as in Claim 394, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part governing the ability of a user to alter at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.
396. A system as in Claim 394, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part restricting the number of accesses and/or uses a user may make of at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.
397. A system as in Claim 394, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part restricting the duration of at least some accesses and/or uses of said text file.
398. A system as in Claim 385, said memory further storing audit information.
399. A system as in Claim 398, said audit information being stored in a second secure container.
400. A system as in Claim 385, said memory further storing at least one routing slip.
401. A system as in Claim 400, said routing slip including:  
information relating to identification of at least one recipient of at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container.

001080 " 4422E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

402. A system as in Claim 401, said first secure container having associated a third rule at least in part authorizing or allowing at least one action based at least in part on said routing slip identification information.
403. A system as in Claim 402, said at least one action including transmitting at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container to another apparatus and/or another user, said authorization of said transmission by said third rule being at least in part based on the identity of at least one prior recipient of said first secure container contents.
404. A system as in Claim 403, said first secure container having been received from a second apparatus and said second rule having been received from a third apparatus different from said second apparatus.
405. A system as in Claim 403, said memory further storing at least one audit trail record.
406. A system as in Claim 405, said audit trail record including information regarding the manner in which said first secure container and/or contents of said first secure container has been used.
407. A system as in Claim 403, said first apparatus including a signature apparatus, said signature apparatus including signature affixation hardware and/or software.

004080-1152560

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

408. A system as in Claim 403, said first apparatus further including user authentication hardware and/or software.
409. A system as in Claim 408, said user authentication hardware and/or software including biometric authentication hardware and/or software.
410. A system as in Claim 409, said biometric authentication hardware and/or software including hardware and/or software which analyzes palm prints, signatures, voices, fingerprints, retinas, irises and/or faces.
411. A system as in Claim 408, said user authentication hardware and/or software further including at least one secure identity token.
412. A system as in Claim 403, said memory storing a digital certificate.
413. A system as in Claim 412, said digital certificate including information relating to the identification of at least one individual.
414. A system as in Claim 412, said digital certificate including information relating to the identification of at least one group.
415. A system as in Claim 412, said digital certificate including information relating to the identification of said first apparatus.
416. A system as in Claim 412, said digital certificate including biometric information related to the identification of at least one individual.

004080-4462E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

417. A system as in Claim 412, said digital certificate being stored in a second secure container, said second secure container being stored in said memory.
418. A system as in Claim 417, said memory storing one or more rules associated with said second secure container, said second secure container rules at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital certificate.
419. A system as in Claim 412, said digital certificate being stored in said first secure container.
420. A system as in Claim 403, said memory storing at least one digital signature.
421. A system as in Claim 420, said digital signature being stored in a secure container.
422. A system as in Claim 421, said secure container including at least one cryptographic key.
423. A system as in Claim 422, said secure container in which said digital signature is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.

004080" 4452E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

424. A system as in Claim 423, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital signature.
425. A system as in Claim 403, said memory storing at least one electronic seal.
426. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including a digital representation of a handwritten signature.
427. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including receipt information.
428. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including usage information.
429. A system as in Claim 428, said usage information including information at least in part identifying usage of said text file.
430. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including at least one image designed to allow for visual recognition of said seal.
431. A system as in Claim 425, said seal including encoded information.
432. A system as in Claim 431, said encoded information being steganographically encoded in said seal.

004080-11152E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600



433. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including a digital signature.
434. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including validation information.
435. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including information regarding at least one transmission of said first secure container.
436. A system as in Claim 435, said transmission information including information regarding the transmitter of said first secure container.
437. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including at least one rule.
438. A system as in Claim 437, said at least one electronic seal rule governing, at least in part, at least one aspect of access to or use of said first secure container contents.
439. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including a representation of at least one aspect of said first secure container contents.
440. A system as in Claim 439, said representation including a hash of at least a portion of said first secure container contents.

004080-4462E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

441. A system as in Claim 440, said hash constituting a hash of at least a portion of said text file after normalization of said portion.
442. A system as in Claim 440, said electronic seal further including a time value.
443. A system as in Claim 440, said electronic seal further including a certificate value obtained from a digital certificate.
444. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal including encrypted information.
445. A system as in Claim 444, said encrypted information being encrypted, at least in part, using a key from a public/private key pair.
446. A system as in Claim 445, said encryption key belonging to at least one individual or entity responsible at least in part for at least one transmission of said text file.
447. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal further including at least one error correction code.
448. A system as in Claim 447, said error correction code being derived from at least a portion of said first secure container contents.

004080" 1162E960

449. A system as in Claim 425, said electronic seal being stored in a secure container.
450. A system as in Claim 449, said secure container in which said electronic seal is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.
451. A system as in Claim 450, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said electronic seal.
452. A system as in Claim 425, said text file including at least one electronic seal.
453. A system as in Claim 452, said electronic seal being stored in said first secure container.
454. A system as in Claim 403, said memory storing at least one electronic fingerprint.
455. A system as in Claim 454, said electronic fingerprint being stored in a secure container.
456. A system as in Claim 455, said secure container in which said electronic fingerprint is stored being a second secure container, different from said first secure container.

004080" 4752E960

457. A system as in Claim 403, said first secure container containing at least one digital signature.
458. A system as in Claim 457, said memory storing at least one rule at least in part governing at least one aspect of access to or use of said digital signature.
459. A system as in Claim 403, said text file including steganographically encoded information.
460. A system as in Claim 459, said steganographically encoded information including at least one electronic fingerprint.
461. A system as in Claim 459, said steganographically encoded information including a first portion encoded using a first steganographic encoding technique and a second portion encoded using a second steganographic encoding technique.
462. A system as in Claim 461, in which said first steganographic encoding technique provides a higher degree of security than said second steganographic encoding technique.
463. A system as in Claim 462, in which at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information is encrypted.

004030-1162E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

- LAW OFFICES  
 GAN, HENDERSON,  
 ABOW, GARRETT  
 DUNNER, L. I. P.  
 ORD RESEARCH PARK  
 OO HANSEN WAY  
 ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
 550-849-6600

470. A system as in Claim 459, in which said steganographic encoding includes at least the creation of slight variances in the color frequencies used in at least a portion of the contents of said first secure container, said slight variances encoding at least a portion of said steganographically encoded information.

471. A system as in Claim 403, said system further including a second apparatus, said second apparatus including:

user controls,

a communications port,

a processor,

a memory containing a third rule,

hardware and or/software used for receiving and opening secure

containers,

said secure containers each including the capacity to contain at least one governed item,

at least one rule being associated with each of said secure containers,

a protected processing environment at least in part protecting at least some information contained in said protected processing environment from tampering by a user of said apparatus, said protected processing environment including hardware and/or software used for applying said third

004080" 4462E960

rule and rules associated with secure containers in combination to at least in part govern at least one aspect of access to or use of said governed item, and

hardware and/or software used for transmission of secure containers to other apparatuses and/or for the receipt of secure containers from other apparatuses.

472. A system as in Claim 471, said system further including at least one electronic intermediary.
473. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary residing at said first apparatus.
474. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary being distributed between at least two locations, said two locations comprising said first apparatus and said second apparatus.
475. A system as in Claim 473, said first apparatus including a communications server at least in part facilitating communications between an internal network and a public network.
476. A system as in Claim 475, wherein said public network constitutes the internet.

004080" 1162E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

477. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary constituting at least a portion of an apparatus operated by a communications service provider.
478. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including digital signature hardware and/or software operatively connected to allow application of a digital signature to an item.
479. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including hash hardware and/or software operatively connected to allow calculation of a hash value based on an item.
480. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including electronic seal hardware and/or software operatively connected to allow application of a electronic seal to an item.
481. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including audit trail hardware and/or software operatively connected to record and store audit information relating to an item.
482. A system as in Claim 481, in which said intermediary audit information includes information regarding at least one transmission of said item.
483. A system as in Claim 481, in which said intermediary audit information includes information regarding at least one access to said item.

004080" 4162E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600



484. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including time stamp hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide time information.
485. A system as in Claim 484, said intermediary including time certification hardware and/or software operatively connected to said time stamp hardware and/or software, said time certification including certification of time of transmission, receipt and/or use of an item.
486. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including auditing hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide auditing services.
487. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including authentication hardware and/or software.
488. A system as in Claim 487, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate governed items..
489. A system as in Claim 487, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate a sender of a governed item and/or a site responsible for sending a governed item.

004020-4162660

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

490. A system as in Claim 487, in which said authentication hardware and/or software is operatively connected to at least in part authenticate a recipient of a governed item and/or a site at which a governed item is received.
491. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including auction hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide electronic auction services.
492. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including transaction clearing hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide services relating to clearing transactions.
493. A system as in Claim 492, said transaction clearing services including payment-related services.
494. A system as in Claim 493, said transaction clearing services including audit-related services.
495. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including certification hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide certification services.
496. A system as in Claim 495, said certification services including the creation of digital certificates.

004080-4452360

497. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including currency hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide currency-related services.
498. A system as in Claim 497, said currency-related services including currency conversion.
499. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including a secure archive.
500. A system as in Claim 499, said secure archive including receipt-related information.
501. A system as in Claim 499, said secure archive including information about transmissions of one or more items.
502. A system as in Claim 499, said secure archive including identification information relating to one or more items.
503. A system as in Claim 499, said secure archive including authentication information relating to one or more items.
504. A system as in Claim 499, said secure archive authentication information including at least one hash value of at least a portion of an item.

004030-4452560

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

505. A system as in Claim 499, said secure archive including information relating to one or more controls.
506. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including transmission hardware and/or software operatively connected to receive items from other apparatuses and to transmit items to other apparatuses.
507. A system as in Claim 506, said transmission hardware and/or software operatively connected to provide store and forward services.
508. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including cryptographic key repository hardware and/or software operatively connected to maintain a repository of cryptographic keys.
509. A system as in Claim 472, said intermediary including a user rights authority clearinghouse.
510. A system as in Claim 509, said user rights authority clearinghouse operatively connected to make rights available to users.

### **Remarks**

The present application is a continuation of U.S. Patent Appln. No. 09/221,479, filed December 28, 1998, as a continuation of U.S. Patent Appln. No. 08/699,711, filed August 12, 1996, as a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Appln. No. 08/388,107, filed February 13, 1995.

In the immediate parent (09/221,479), the Examiner rejected claim 31, among others, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,412,717 to Fischer ("Fischer I") in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,499,298 to Nirasimhalu and U.S. Pat. No. 5,390,247 to Fischer ("Fischer II"). (See Office Action dated November 12, 1999, ¶ 10). On May 10, 2000, Applicants canceled without prejudice claim 31, among others, and the remaining claims in the '479 application are now in condition for allowance.

With this application, Applicants are submitting independent claims 7, 133, 259 and 385 for prosecution. Although these new claims are similar to claim 31 in immediate parent 09/221,479, the new claims specify that the secure container contents constitute various specific types of files, including audio, video, image and text. In each case, the file is governed by the combination of two rules.

Fischer I is directed at a method for limiting the damage which may be accomplished by an untrustworthy computer program (e.g., a virus). In order to limit such damage, the Fischer PAI controls the actions that a computer program may take. Fischer is quite clear that the described invention is designed to place limits on a computer program's ability to make use of computer resources: "In accordance with the present invention, a PAI is associated with programs to be executed." Fischer, 7:14-15. The invention is therefore directed to protecting the recipient of a computer program from undesired effects caused by a malicious programmer.

004080" 4452E560

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 7, 133, 259 and 385 are patentably distinct from Fischer I for at least the following reasons. As rewritten, independent claims 7, 133, 259 and 385 do not recite control over a computer program, but instead recite a secure container containing audio, video, text or image information. Fischer I does not teach control of files other than computer programs nor is such control suggested by Fischer. Fischer protects the recipient of a computer program from damage by restricting the actions the program may take. The invention recited in the new independent claims, on the other hand, does not relate to controlling the actions which may be performed by a received file, but instead relate to controlling the use that the recipient of the file may make of the file. Whereas in Fischer the control is imposed by the recipient and is designed to block actions which the sender of the program has designed it to take, the rewritten independent claims recite controls which limit the use the recipient may make of that file. Thus, Fischer seeks to control the sender's ability to perform actions the recipient does not desire, and does so by limiting the actions the sender's program may take. In the rewritten independent claims, on the other hand, the invention is designed to control the recipient's ability to make uses of the file which the sender (or someone else) does not desire.

004080" 4462E960

Not only does Fischer never describe or suggest using the Fischer invention with non-program files such as audio, video, etc., it does not appear that such use would even be possible, since the Fischer PAI acts to block the actions the received file may take which may affect the recipient's computer, rather than restricting the actions the recipient may take which may affect the received file.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, include fees for an extension of time, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: August 3, 2000

By: Linda J. Thayer  
Linda J. Thayer  
Reg. No.: 45,681

004080-4462E960

LAW OFFICES

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
STANFORD RESEARCH PARK  
700 HANSEN WAY  
PALO ALTO, CALIF. 94304  
650-849-6600





**TRUSTED AND SECURE TECHNIQUES  
FOR ITEM DELIVERY AND EXECUTION**

5       **CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

          This application is a continuation-in-part of commonly  
assigned copending application Serial Number 08/388,107 of Ginter  
et al. filed 13 February 1995, entitled "Systems and Methods for  
Secure Transaction Management and Electronic Rights Protection"  
10 (Attorney Reference No. 895-13) (hereafter "Ginter et al").

          This application is related to concurrently filed commonly  
assigned copending application Serial Number \_\_\_\_\_ of Ginter  
et al. entitled "Trusted Infrastructure Support Systems, Methods and  
Techniques for Secure Electronic Commerce, Electronic  
15 Transactions, Commerce Process Control and Automation,  
Distributed Computing, and Rights Management" (Attorney  
Reference No. 895-32rwf) (hereafter referred to as "Shear et al" to  
avoid confusion with the "Ginter et al" referenced in the paragraph  
above). The entire disclosure (including the drawings) of this related  
20 Shear et al. patent application is incorporated by reference into this  
specification as if expressly set forth in this specification.

## **FIELD OF THE INVENTION(S)**

These inventions relate to secure and trusted delivery of digital information. More specifically, these inventions pertain to techniques, methods and systems for providing reliable, trusted, verifiable delivery, handling, creation and/or execution of digital items such as documents, executable code (e.g., Java applets), and/or any other information capable of being represented in digital form. The present invention also relates to commercial and other electronic activities involving a trusted third party electronic go-between (such as a computer controlled process) to audit, validate, and/or direct electronic transactions, executions and/or delivery and/or to archive information representing and/or at least in part comprising securely communicated digital information..

## **BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTIONS**

There is a great need for convenient, cost effective techniques to securely handle and deliver documents and other items. Existing methods such as express and personal couriers, registered mail, facsimile and electronic mail fulfill some of these needs but these techniques each have their problems and are deficient in important ways.

### **Trusted Personal Couriers**

Perhaps the ultimate in secure document handling is the personal trusted courier. Many of us have seen spy films showing a trusted courier delivering documents containing state secrets. In such

scenarios, the document sender places the document or other item into a lockable attaché case. The sender seals and locks the case with a key or combination that only he and the recipient have. The courier handcuffs the case to his or her wrist, boards an airplane and flies to the required destination -- all the while carefully guarding the attaché case and its contents. Upon arriving at the destination, the courier personally delivers the case to the intended recipient. The recipient unlocks the case and retrieves its contents, all the while having a high degree of assurance that the contents have been kept secret.

10           The confidentiality, security and reliability provided by a personal trusted document courier has never really been matched by any other form of document delivery. Even though we sometimes might want or need the services of a personal trusted document courier, it is likely that practical reasons (such as cost and

15           availability) require us to use less trusted forms of delivery for even our most important and confidential documents or other items. Moreover, even the trusted courier technique does not provide a reliable means of later providing how and when the information was used by the recipient and/or subsequently handled by others to whom

20           the recipient may pass the information and what information was actually sent. This approach also cannot provide the degree of interactivity between the sender and the recipient possible in a world of near instantaneous communications, including seamlessly supporting processes related to rights management, and document

25           creation and dissemination.

As discussed below, existing alternatives to the trusted courier are more practical and less expensive, and some offer advantages such as instantaneous communications and interactivity -- but all suffer from various disadvantages.

## 5 Express Courier Services

Federal Express and other express courier services provide rapid (for example, overnight) delivery services at a relatively high degree of trustedness.

In the typical case, the sender places the items to be delivered into a special, tear resistant sealed envelope, and fills out an "air bill" that lists the sender's name, address and telephone number, and the intended recipient's name, address and telephone number. The "air bill" also lists options such as, for example, the type of delivery service required (i.e., delivery next business morning, next business afternoon, or second business day), whether the sender requires Federal Express to obtain the recipient's signature, the payment method, and a unique "tracking number" used to uniquely identify the package.

Once the package is complete and ready to send, the sender may provide it to Federal Express through a number of different methods:

- the sender may take the package to a Federal Express office and personally hand it to a clerk,
- the sender may drop the completed envelope in any one of many pervasive Federal Express drop off boxes, and someone

will come and collect the envelopes from the boxes sometime before the end of the business day and deliver them to a Federal Express office, or

- the sender can call Federal Express and arrange for a delivery person to come and pick up the package.

Federal Express maintains a fleet of aircraft that shuttle most packages to a central sorting and routing facility for subsequent dispatch to various destinations across the United States and the world. A fleet of delivery trucks deliver the packages from local airports to each recipient. At the sender's option, a delivery person may obtain a recipient's signature at the time she delivers the package -- providing documentation that may later be used to prove the package was in fact received by the intended recipient or someone at his or her home or office.

Federal Express uses automated computer tracking and package handling equipment to route individual packages to their destinations. Delivery information is put into the tracking computer to allow customers and service people to automatically retrieve information about when and to whom particular packages were actually delivered, or where the package happens to be at the moment.

Federal Express and other similar document delivery services have been highly successful because they cost-effectively ensure reliable delivery of original documents and other items.

Nevertheless, they do have some significant disadvantages and limitations. For example:

- They are much more expensive than other delivery mechanisms at least in part because of the high labor, transportation, and infrastructure (many offices, planes, etc.) costs involved.
- 5 • They do not provide the very high degree of confidentiality desired for certain confidential business or other documents.
- They generally can only reliably verify that the package was delivered to the intended recipient (or his or her home or place of business)—and not that the intended recipient opened the  
10 package or read or saw or used the document.
- The one (or two) day delay they introduce may be too great for time sensitive or time pressing items.

These problems are exacerbated when several individuals and/or organizations in different geographical locations are all parties  
15 to a transaction—a complex, multiparty contract, for example—and all must sign or otherwise process and/or execute one or more related documents.

### **Registered Mail**

A relatively more secure delivery technique is registered mail.  
20 Registered mail correspondents can have a high degree of confidence that their packages will arrive at their required destinations -- but may not like the time delays and additional expense associated with this special form of mail handling.

To use registered mail, the sender places her document or other  
25 items into a sealed envelope or package and takes her package to the

nearest Post Office. For security, the Post Office may prohibit the use of resealable tape and mailing labels, and instead require the package to be sealed with paper tape and the address to be written directly on the package. These safeguards help to ensure that any  
5 attempts to tamper with the package or its contents will be detected.

The Post Office securely transports the registered mail package to the recipient, requiring each postal employee who accepts custody of the package along its journey to sign and time stamp a custody record. The postal carrier at the recipient's end personally delivers  
10 the package to the recipient -- who also has to sign for it and may be asked to produce proof of identification. The custody record establishes a chain of custody, listing every person who has had custody of the package on its journey from sender to recipient.

As discussed above, registered mail is relatively secure and  
15 confidential but delivery takes a long time and is very labor and infrastructure intensive.

### **Facsimile**

Facsimile is an electronic-based technology that provides virtually instantaneous document delivery. A facsimile machine  
20 typically includes a document scanner, a document printer, and electronic circuits that convert document images to and from a form in which they can be sent over a telephone line. Facsimile requires each of the sender and the intended recipient to have a facsimile machine. The sender typically places the document to be sent into a  
25 document feeder attached to a facsimile machine. The sender then

typically keys in the telephone number of the intended recipient's facsimile machine and presses a "start" button. The sender's facsimile machine automatically dials and establishes contact with the recipient's facsimile machine.

5           Once a good connection is established, the sender's facsimile machine begins to optically scan the document one page at a time and convert it into digital information bits. The sender's facsimile machine converts the digital bits into a form that can be transmitted over a telephone line, and sends the bits to the intended recipient's  
10 facsimile machine. The sender's facsimile machine may also send as part of the document, a "header" on the top of each page stating the sender's identity, the page number of the transmission, and the transmission time. However, these headers can be changed at will by the sender and therefore cannot be trusted.

15           Since the recipient's facsimile machine receives the transmitted information at the same time the sender's facsimile machine is sending it, delivery is virtually instantaneous. However, sending a document to an unattended facsimile machine in an insecure location may result in the document falling into the wrong  
20 hands. Another common scenario is that the facsimile machine operator, through human error, dials the wrong telephone number and ends up delivering a confidential document to the wrong person (for example, the local grocery store down the street, or in some unfortunate cases, the opposing side of a negotiation, legal  
25 proceeding or other pitched battle). Thousands of faxes are lost every day in a "black hole" -- never arriving at their desired



destinations but possibly arriving at completely different destinations instead.

- Some secure facsimile machines such as those used by government and military organizations, or by companies needing a significantly higher level of security provide an extra security/authentication step to ensure that the intended recipient is physically present at the receiving facsimile machine before the sender's machine will transmit the document. In addition, it is possible to use encryption to prevent the facsimile transmitted information from being understood by electronic eavesdroppers. However, such specially equipped facsimile machines tend to be very expensive and are not generally available for common commercial facsimile traffic. Moreover, facsimile machines typically can send and receive documents only – and therefore are not very versatile. They do not, for example, handle digital items such as audio, video, multimedia, and executables, yet these are increasingly part and parcel of communications for commerce and other purposes. Thus, despite its many advantages, facsimile transmissions do not provide the very high degree of trustedness and confidence required by extremely confidential documents, nor do they provide the degree of flexibility required by modern digital communications. As with Express Courier Services and Registered Mail, faxing can only indicate that the package was delivered to the intended recipient (or his or her home or place

of business)—and not that the intended recipient opened the package or read or saw or used the document.

### **Electronic Mail**

More and more, people are using electronic mail to send documents, messages, and/or other digital items. The "Internet explosion" has connected millions of new users to the Internet. Whereas Internet electronic mail was previously restricted primarily to the academic world, most corporations and computer-savvy individuals can now correspond regularly over the Internet.

10       Currently, Internet electronic mail provides great advantages in terms of timeliness (nearly instantaneous delivery) and flexibility (any type of digital information can be sent), but suffers from an inherent lack of security and trustedness. Internet messages must typically pass through a number of different computers to get from  
15       sender to recipient, regardless of whether these computers are located within a single company on an "Intranet" for example, or on Internet attached computers belonging to a multitude of organizations. Unfortunately, any one of those computers can potentially intercept the message and/or keep a copy of it. Moreover, even though some  
20       of these systems have limited "return receipt" capabilities, the message carrying the receipt suffers from the same security and reliability problems as the original message.

      Cryptography (a special mathematical-based technique for keeping messages secret and authenticating messages) is now  
25       beginning to be used to prevent eavesdroppers from reading

004080-44522560

intercepted messages, but the widespread use of such cryptography techniques alone will not solve electronic mail's inherent lack of trustedness. These electronic mail messages, documents and other items (e.g., executable computer programs or program fragments) 5 that might have been sent with them as "attachments," remain vulnerable to tampering and other unauthorized operations and uses once decrypted and while delivery may be reported, actual use can not be demonstrated. Some people have tried to develop "privacy enhanced" electronic mail, but prior systems have only provided 10 limited improvements in reliability, efficiency and/or security.

#### **The Present Inventions Solve These and Other Problems**

As discussed above, a wide variety of techniques are currently being used to provide secure, trusted confidential delivery of documents and other items. Unfortunately, none of these previously 15 existing mechanisms provide truly trusted, virtually instantaneous delivery on a cost-effective, convenient basis and none provide rights management and auditing through persistent, secure, digital information protection.

In contrast, the present inventions provide the trustedness, 20 confidentiality and security of a personal trusted courier on a virtually instantaneous and highly cost-effective basis. They provide techniques, systems and methods that can bring to any form of electronic communications (including, but not limited to Internet and internal company electronic mail) an extremely high degree of 25 trustedness, confidence and security approaching or exceeding that

provided by a trusted personal courier. They also provide a wide variety of benefits that flow from rights management and secure chain of handling and control.

The present inventions preferred embodiment make use of a digital Virtual Distribution Environment (VDE) as a major portion of its operating foundation, providing unique, powerful capabilities instrumental to the development of secure, distributed transaction-based electronic commerce and digital content handling, distribution, processing, and usage management. This Virtual Distribution Environment technology can flexibly enable a wide variety of new business models and business practices while also supporting existing business models and practices.

The Virtual Distribution Environment provides comprehensive overall systems, and wide arrays of methods, techniques, structures and arrangements, that enable secure, efficient electronic commerce and rights management on the Internet and other information superhighways and on internal corporate networks such as "Intranets". The present inventions use (and in some cases, build upon and enhances) this fundamental Virtual Distribution Environment technology to provide still additional flexibility, capabilities, features and advantages. The present invention, in its preferred embodiment, is intended to be used in combination a broad array of the features described in Ginter, et al, including any combination of the following:

25

A. VDE chain of handling and control,

- B. security trusted internodal communication,
  - C. secure database,
  - D. authentication,
  - E. cryptographic,
  - 5 F. fingerprinting,
  - G. other VDE security and communication techniques,
  - H. rights operating system,
  - I. object design and secure container techniques,
  - J. container control structures,
  - 10 K. ARPMML rights and process control language,
  - L. electronic negotiation,
  - M. secure hardware, and
  - N. smart agent (smart object) techniques.
- 15 For example, parties using the Virtual Distribution Environment can participate in commerce and other transactions in accordance with a persistent set of rules they electronically define. Such techniques, systems and arrangements bring about an unparalleled degree of security, reliability, efficiency and flexibility
- 20 to electronic commerce, electronic rights management and other important business models. The present inventions make use of these persistent electronic rules to provide secure, automated, cost-effective electronic control for electronic document and other digital item handling and/or delivery, and for the electronic formation and
- 25 negotiation of legal contracts and other documents.

By way of non-exhaustive summary, these present inventions

provide a highly secure and trusted item delivery and agreement execution services providing the following features and functions:

- Trustedness and security approaching or exceeding that of a personal trusted courier.
- 5 • Instant or nearly instant delivery.
- Optional delayed delivery ("store and forward").
- Broadcasting to multiple parties.
- Highly cost effective.
- Trusted validation of item contents and delivery.
- 10 • Value Added Delivery and other features selectable by the sender and/or recipient.
- Provides electronic transmission trusted auditing and validating.
- Allows people to communicate quickly, securely, and
- 15 • confidentially.
- Communications can later be proved through reliable evidence of the communications transaction – providing non-repudiatable, certain, admissible proof that a particular communications transaction occurred.
- 20 • Provides non-repudiation of use and may record specific forms of use such as viewing, editing, extracting, copying, redistributing (including to what one or more parties), and/or saving.

- Supports persistent rights and rules based document workflow management at recipient sites.
- System may operate on the Internet, on internal organization and/or corporate networks ("Intranets" irrespective of whether they use or offer Internet services internally), private data networks, and/or using any other form of electronic communications.
- System may operate in non-networked and/or intermittently networked environments.
- Legal contract execution can be performed in real time, with or without face to face or ear-to-ear personal interactions (such as audiovisual teleconferencing, automated electronic negotiations, or any combination of such interactions) for any number of distributed individuals and/or organizations using any mixture of interactions.
- The items delivered and/or processed may be any "object" in digital format, including, but not limited to, objects containing or representing data types such as text, images, video, linear motion pictures in digital format, sound recordings and other audio information, computer software, smart agents, multimedia, and/or objects any combination of two or more data types contained within or representing a single compound object.
- Content (executables for example) delivered with proof of delivery and/or execution or other use.

- Secure electronic containers can be delivered. The containers can maintain control, audit, receipt and other information and protection securely and persistently in association with one or more items.
- 5 • Trustedness provides non-repudiation for legal and other transactions.
- Can handle and send any digital information (for example, analog or digital information representing text, graphics, movies, animation, images, video, digital linear motion
- 10 pictures, sound and sound recordings, still images, software computer programs or program fragments, executables, data, and including multiple, independent pieces of text; sound clips, software for interpreting and presenting other elements of content, and anything else that is electronically representable).
- 15 • Provides automatic electronic mechanisms that associate transactions automatically with other transactions.
- System can automatically insert or embed a variety of visible or invisible "signatures" such as images of handwritten signatures, seals, and electronic "fingerprints" indicating who
- 20 has "touched" (used or other interacted with in any monitorable manner) the item.
- System can affix visible seals on printed items such as documents for use both in encoding receipt and other receipt and/or usage related information and for establishing a visible



presence and impact regarding the authenticity, and ease of checking the authenticity, of the item.

- 5

  - Seals can indicate who originated, sent, received, previously received and redistributed, electronically view, and/or printed and/or otherwise used the item.
  - Seals can encode digital signatures and validation information providing time, location, sender and/or other information and/or providing means for item authentication and integrity check.
- 10

  - Scanning and decoding of item seals can provide authenticity/integrity check of entire item(s) or part of an item (e.g., based on number of words, format, layout, image – picture and/or text --composition, etc.).
  - Seals can be used to automatically associate electronic control
- 15

  - sets for use in further item handling.
  - System can hide additional information within the item using "steganography" for later retrieval and analysis.
  - Steganography can be used to encode electronic fingerprints and/or other information into an item to prevent deletion.
- 20

  - Multiple steganographic storage of the same fingerprint information may be employed reflecting "more" public and "less" public modes so that a less restricted steganographic mode (different encryption algorithm, keys, and/or embedding techniques) can be used to assist easy recognition by an

authorized party and a more private (confidential) mode may be readable by only a few parties (or only one party) and comprise of the less restricted mode may not affect the security of the more private mode.

- 5       • Items such as documents can be electronically, optically scanned at the sender's end -- and printed out in original, printed form at the recipient's end.
- Document handlers and processors can integrate document scanning and delivery.
- 10      • Can be directly integrated into enterprise and Internet (and similar network) wide document workflow systems and applications.
- Secure, tamper-resistant electronic appliance, which may employ VDE SPUs, used to handle items at both sender and  
15      recipient ends.
- "Original" item(s) can automatically be destroyed at the sender's end and reconstituted at the recipient's end to prevent two originals from existing simultaneously.
- Secure, non-repudiable authentication of the identification of a  
20      recipient before delivery using any number of different authentication techniques including but not limited to biometric techniques (such as palm print scan, signature scan, voice scan, retina scan, iris scan, biometric fingerprint and/or handprint scan, and/or face profile) and/or presentation of a  
25      secure identity "token."

- Non-repudiation provided through secure authentication used to condition events (e.g., a signature is affixed onto a document only if the system securely authenticates the sender and her intention to agree to its contents).
- 5 • Variety of return receipt options including but not limited to a receipt indicating who opened a document, when, where, and the disposition of the document (stored, redistributed, copied, etc.). These receipts can later be used in legal proceedings and/or other contexts to prove item delivery, receipt and/or
- 10 knowledge.
- Audit, receipt, and other information can be delivered independently from item delivery, and become securely associated with an item within a protected processing environment.
- 15 • Secure electronic controls can specify how an item is to be processed or otherwise handled (e.g., document can't be modified, can be distributed only to specified persons, collections of persons, organizations, can be edited only by certain persons and/or in certain manners, can only be viewed
- 20 and will be "destroyed" after a certain elapse of time or real time or after a certain number of handlings, etc.)
- Persistent secure electronic controls can continue to supervise item workflow even after it has been received and "read."

- Use of secure electronic containers to transport items provides an unprecedented degree of security, trustedness and flexibility.
  - Secure controls can be used in conjunction with digital electronic certificates certifying as to identity, class (age, organization membership, jurisdiction, etc.) of the sender and/or receiver and/or user of communicated information.
  - Efficiently handles payment and electronic addressing arrangements through use of support and administrative services such as a Distributed Commerce Utility as more fully described in the copending Shear, et al. application.
  - Compatible with use of smart cards, including, for example, VDE enabled smart cards, for secure personal identification and/or for payment.
  - Transactions may be one or more component transactions of any distributed chain of handling and control process including Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) system, electronic trading system, document workflow sequence, and banking and other financial communication sequences, etc.
- 20        The present inventions also provide for the use of a trusted third party electronic go-between or intermediary in various forms, including the "virtual presence" of such go-between through the rules and controls it contributes for distributed governance of transactions described in the present invention, and further through the use of a
- 25        distributed, go-between system operating in on-line and/or off-line

004030" 4452E960

modes at various user and/or go-between sites. Such a trusted third-party go-between can provide enhanced and automated functionality, features and other advantages such as, for example:

- 5       • Third party go-between can provide an independent, objective third party assurance of item authenticity, integrity, delivery and/or other actions and/or events.
- Third party go-between can support non-repudiation of items having legal and/or other important consequences.
- 10       • Third-party go-between can perform auditing, notarizing, authentication, integrity checking, archiving, routing, distributed chain of handling and control processing, and/or other processing.
- Third party can provide store and forward capabilities.
- 15       • Trusted go-between can supervise execution of legal items such as documents -- ensuring that all required conditions are satisfied and that all parties agree before permitting a document to be executed and informing parties of any as-yet-unsatisfied requirements and allow parties to view completed documents on-screen and/or in printed form with "draft, not
- 20       enforceable" or the like printed on the pages, before final agreement to commit. Actual execution (closing) occurs, for example, as the third party system verifies final, electronically asserted agreement and execution by all parties. Such "atomic" transactions are especially useful in supporting "closings" or
- 25       the like.

- Third party go-between can securely audit, manage, supervise, and/or control automated electronic negotiations, contract agreement, contract execution, contract notarization, and/or archiving of contracts, notarized contracts, and/or at least one VDE control set utilized in an electronic negotiation regardless whether or not that negotiation resulted in an executed contract, and regardless of whether or not the entire negotiation was conducted by electronic means.
- Secure electronic controls can direct tasks to be performed by the third party go-between.
- Third party go-between can provide a digital time stamp service to certify that a certain version of a certain document existed and was delivered to it at a certain day and time.
- Third party go-between can legally notarize the item(s) if desired, and can also "notarize" electronic control structures associated with the item(s).
- Third party go-between can authenticate an item by, for example, opening (e.g. decrypting content) one or more containers; digitally or otherwise "signing" one or more items to indicate the third party has seen the item(s); verifying the integrity of the item(s) (e.g., using a one way hash function); affixing its own distinctive seal and/or other information to the item; generating audit information for item tracking purposes; and collecting payment based on the services it has performed.

- Third party go-between can maintain a secure archive of the item(s) and/or identification/authentication information associated with the item(s) (e.g., a "one way hash" value of item contents or portions thereof). A portion or all of such archive (e.g., a "one way hash") may be stored within the affixed, visible seal applied described above.
- Go-between can also serve as an archive of controls relating to certain items or item types (e.g., to allow a sender to access common controls and/or templates from any of various electronic appliances).
- Secure electronic controls can provide a message digest that can be delivered to and registered by a trusted go-between as part of the object registry/archiving process.
- Third party go-between can deliver item(s) to an intended recipient, or simply oversee the delivery transaction as an impartial third party observer.
- Trusted go-between can deliver a copy and/or the original of an item with or without a seal affixed by the go-between.
- Trusted third party go-between can maintain or exert control over an item, distributed chain of handling and control process(s), and/or other processes or workflow associated with it.
- Trusted go-between can support governmental regulatory requirements by acting as a cryptographic key repository for encrypted communications; such secure communications may

5 be accessed by governmental authorities, for example, through a warrant process to provide court or otherwise mandated access to specific communications or communications related information (e.g., for encrypted communications employing long key lengths).

10 • Trusted go-between can act as a user rights authority clearinghouse for additional and/or alternative rights which may, for example, be available to particular classes, specific users, at a certain cost, or as specified by the sender. Trusted go-between may also mediate between sender(s) and recipient(s) in response to recipient's request for new, different and/or modified rights or sender's and/or receiver's request for third party archived information (which may require the agreement by only one, expressly either one, or both sender(s) and recipient(s).

15 • In addition to multiple individuals and/or parties in several organizations, a trusted go-between may also provide services to parties within a single organization, thus enhancing the security, reliability, auditability, authentication, efficiency, and timeliness of secure document delivery and secure transaction facilitation within a given organization.

20 • Trusted go-between may provide services both on public networks, such as the Internet, on internal corporate networks ("Intranets" – irrespective of whether or not they use Internet type conventions), and on private networks connecting two or



more individuals and/or organizations exchanging documents and other content in digital format and/or participating together in various transactions.

- 5       • A third party go-between can provide a communications switching integration. For example, a communications service provider may automatically provide the go-between services for a connection. For example, certain telephone numbers might be offered that have these services built in to the switching network, or a special dialing sequence might be used  
10       to access a communications channel with these characteristics. This can provide data links for networks, or be integrated with traditional fax lines, or even voice lines. For example, a fax transmission might be archived, have a seal inserted during transmission, and/or have a hash value stored for later  
15       reference. A voice transmission could be similarly managed. Both of these examples have the advantage of compatibility with the existing infrastructure (albeit at the cost of lacking persistent control after delivery). Using this infrastructure for data links has the added advantage of transparency.
- 20       • A third party go-between can provide Transaction Authority services as described in the copending concurrently filed Ginter et al patent application

## **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

These and other features and advantages provided by the present invention will become better and more completely understood by studying the following detailed description of presently preferred exemplary embodiments in conjunction with the drawings, of which:

Figure 1 illustrates an example of a "Virtual Distribution Environment";

Figure 1A is a more detailed illustration of an example of the "Information Utility" shown in Figure 1;

Figure 2 illustrates an example of a chain of handling and control;

Figure 2A illustrates one example of how rules and control information may persist from one participant to another in the Figure 2 chain of handling and control;

Figure 3 shows one example of different control information that may be provided;

Figure 4 illustrates examples of some different types of rules and/or control information;

Figures 5A and 5B show an example of an "object";

Figure 6 shows an example of a Secure Processing Unit ("SPU");

Figure 7 shows an example of an electronic appliance;

Figure 8 is a more detailed block diagram of an example of the electronic appliance shown in Figure 7;

Figure 9 is a detailed view of an example of the Secure Processing Unit (SPU) shown in Figures 6 and 8;

Figure 10 shows an example of a "Rights Operating System" ("ROS") architecture provided by the Virtual Distribution

5 Environment;

Figures 11A-11C show examples of functional relationship(s) between applications and the Rights Operating System;

Figures 11D-11J show examples of "components" and "component assemblies";

10 Figure 12 is a more detailed diagram of an example of the Rights Operating System shown in Figure 10;

Figure 12A shows an example of how "objects" can be created;

Figure 13 is a detailed block diagram of an example the software architecture for a "protected processing environment"

15 shown in Figure 12;

Figures 14A-14C are examples of SPU memory maps provided by the protected processing environment shown in Figure 13;

Figure 15 illustrates an example of how the channel services manager and load module execution manager of Figure 13 can

20 support a channel;

Figure 15A is an example of a channel header and channel detail records shown in Figure 15;

Figure 15B is a flowchart of an example of program control steps that may be performed by the Figure 13 protected processing environment to create a channel;

25

Figure 16 is a block diagram of an example of a secure data

base structure;

Figure 17 is an illustration of an example of a logical object structure;

Figure 18 shows an example of a stationary object structure;

5 Figure 19 shows an example of a traveling object structure;

Figure 20 shows an example of a content object structure;

Figure 21 shows an example of an administrative object structure;

Figure 22 shows an example of a method core structure;

10 Figure 23 shows an example of a load module structure;

Figure 24 shows an example of a User Data Element (UDE) and/or Method Data Element (MDE) structure;

Figures 25A-25C show examples of "map meters";

Figure 26 shows an example of a permissions record (PERC) structure;

Figures 26A and 26B together show a more detailed example of a permissions record structure;

Figure 27 shows an example of a shipping table structure;

Figure 28 shows an example of a receiving table structure;

20 Figure 29 shows an example of an administrative event log structure;

Figure 30 shows an example inter-relationship between and use of the object registration table, subject table and user rights table shown in the Figure 16 secure database;

25 Figure 31 is a more detailed example of an object registration table shown in Figure 16;

Figure 32 is a more detailed example of subject table shown in Figure 16;

Figure 33 is a more detailed example of a user rights table shown in Figure 16;

5        Figure 34 shows a specific example of how a site record table and group record table may track portions of the secure database shown in Figure 16;

Figure 34A is an example of a Figure 34 site record table structure;

10        Figure 34B is an example of a Figure 34 group record table structure;

Figure 35 shows an example of a process for updating the secure database;

15        Figure 36 shows an example of how new elements may be inserted into the Figure 16 secure data base;

Figure 37 shows an example of how an element of the secure database may be accessed;

Figure 38 is a flowchart example of how to protect a secure database element;

20        Figure 39 is a flowchart example of how to back up a secure database;

Figure 40 is a flowchart example of how to recover a secure database from a backup;

25        Figures 41A-41D are a set of examples showing how a "chain of handling and control" may be enabled using "reciprocal methods";

Figures 42A-42D show an example of a "reciprocal" BUDGET

method;

Figures 43A-43D show an example of a "reciprocal"  
REGISTER method;

Figures 44A-44C show an example of a "reciprocal" AUDIT  
5 method;

Figures 45-48 show examples of several methods being used  
together to control release of content or other information;

Figures 49, 49A-49F show an example OPEN method;

Figures 50, 50A-50F show an example of a READ method;

10 Figures 51, 51A-51F show an example of a WRITE method;

Figure 52 shows an example of a CLOSE method;

Figures 53A-53B show an example of an EVENT method;

Figure 53C shows an example of a BILLING method;

Figure 54 shows an example of an ACCESS method;

15 Figures 55A-55B show examples of DECRYPT and  
ENCRYPT methods;

Figure 56 shows an example of a CONTENT method;

Figures 57A and 57B show examples of EXTRACT and  
EMBED methods;

20 Figure 58A shows an example of an OBSCURE method;

Figures 58B, 58C show examples of a ELECTRONIC  
FINGERPRINT method;

Figure 59 shows an example of a DESTROY method;

Figure 60 shows an example of a PANIC method;

25 Figure 61 shows an example of a METER method;

Figure 62 shows an example of a key "convolution" process;

Figure 63 shows an example of how different keys may be generated using a key convolution process to determine a "true" key;

Figures 64 and 65 show an example of how protected processing environment keys may be initialized;

5        Figures 66 and 67 show example processes for decrypting information contained within stationary and traveling objects, respectively;

Figure 68 shows an example of how a protected processing environment may be initialized;

10       Figure 69 shows an example of how firmware may be downloaded into a protected processing environment;

Figure 70 shows an example of multiple VDE electronic appliances connected together with a network or other communications means;

15       Figure 71 shows an example of a portable VDE electronic appliance;

Figures 72A-72D show examples of "pop-up" displays that may be generated by the user notification and exception interface;

Figure 73 shows an example of a "smart object";

20       Figure 74 shows an example of a process using "smart objects";

Figures 75A-75D show examples of data structures used for electronic negotiation;

25       Figures 75E-75F show example structures relating to an electronic agreement;

Figures 76A-76B show examples of electronic negotiation

processes;

Figure 77 shows a further example of a chain of handling and control;

Figure 78 shows an example of a VDE "repository";

5        Figures 79-83 show an example illustrating a chain of handling and control to evolve and transform VDE managed content and control information;

Figure 84 shows a further example of a chain of handling and control involving several categories of VDE participants;

10        Figure 85 shows a further example of a chain of distribution and handling within an organization;

Figures 86 and 86A show a further example of a chain of handling and control; and

15        Figure 87 shows an example of a virtual silicon container model.

Figure 88 shows an example trusted electronic delivery system;

Figures 89 shows a detailed view of an example electronic intelligent kiosk appliance;

20        Figures 90A and 90B show example options the sender can select for electronic delivery;

Figure 91A shows example steps to send an item;

Figure 91B shows example steps to receive an item;

25        Figures 92 and 92A show example trusted electronic delivery providing a return receipt;

Figure 93 shows example trusted item delivery from an



intelligent kiosk to a personal computer;

Figures 94 & 95 show examples of trusted electronic delivery between personal computers;

5 Figure 96 shows an example trusted item handling and delivery within an organization;

Figure 97 shows an example trusted electronic document execution;

Figure 98 shows an example multi-party electronic document execution;

10 Figure 99 shows an example trusted electronic go-between;

Figure 100 shows an example use of the trusted electronic go-between for notarizing and/or archiving;

Figure 101 shows an example electronic legal contract execution using a trusted electronic go-between;

15 Figure 101A shows an example electronic requirements list;

Figure 101B shows an example multi-party electronic legal contract execution using a trusted electronic go-between;

Figure 102 shows example use of trusted electronic go-betweens within and outside of organizations;

20 Figure 103 illustrates an example secure object;

Figure 104 shows example electronically-generated signatures, seals and electronic fingerprints;

Figure 105A shows an example way of hiding information within line spacing;

25 Figure 105B shows an example way of hiding information within letter spacing;

Figure 105C shows an example electronic fingerprint;

Figures 106A-106C show example electronically generated seals;

Figures 107A and 107B show detailed electronically generated seal examples;

Figure 108 shows an example process for creating digital information for encoding into an item or item seal;

Figure 109 shows an example electronic appliance;

Figures 110-113 show example processes for securely sending an item;

Figure 113A shows an example routing slip data structure;

Figure 113B shows an example audit trail data structure;

Figure 114A-118 show example processes for securely receiving an item;

Figure 119 shows an example architecture for a trusted electronic go-between;

Figures 120A-120B show example reciprocal control set usage to provide a trusted electronic go-between having secure electronic notarization capabilities;

Figure 121 shows example steps performed by a trusted third party go-between to receive an item;

Figures 122 and 123 show example trusted go-between processes;

Figures 124A-124B and 125A-125B show example contract execution processes;

Figure 126 shows an example automobile purchase providing

electronic contract execution through a trusted electronic go-between;

Figure 127 shows an example use of a trusted electronic go-between to provide electronic item notarization;

5        Figure 128 shows an example secure item delivery with real time teleconferencing capabilities;

Figure 129 shows a health insurance example;

Figure 130 shows an example real estate "atomic" settlement;

Figure 130A shows example transaction rules;

10       Figure 131 shows an example judicial electronic data interchange (EDI);

Figure 132 shows an example Patent Office automation;

Figure 133 shows an example tax filing; and

Figure 134 shows an example using facsimile transmission..

## 15       **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EXAMPLE EMBODIMENTS**

The entire disclosure of the above-referenced Ginter et al. patent specification is incorporated by reference in connection with Figures 1-87.

20       Figure 88 shows an electronic trusted delivery system 4050. In this example, sender 4052 is sending an item 4054 to a recipient 4056 over an electronic network 4058. In this example, electronic delivery over network 4058 is by way of a secure, trusted electronic delivery virtual distribution environment transport mechanism 4060 which is shown for purposes of illustration as an electronic delivery person.

25       Delivery person 4060 is shown as a human being for purposes of

illustration, but in the example is actually an automatic, trusted electronic delivery means supported and provided by virtual distribution environment 100.

Item 4054 might be a document such as a handwritten or typed letter, or it could be a legal document such as a contract. It could have both text and pictures, just text or just pictures. It could be a sound recording, a multimedia presentation, or a visual work such as a film or television program. Item 4054 could be any item or information capable of being represented in digital form. The item 4054 can be initially presented to the appliance 600 in electronic form (for example, on a diskette), or the appliance can convert it from some other form into electronic form.

Electronic delivery person 4060 receives item 4054 in digital form and places it into a secure electronic container 302 -- thus forming a digital "object" 300. A digital object 300 may in this case be, for example, as shown in Figures 5A and 5B, and may include one or more containers 302 containing item 4054. Figure 88 illustrates secure electronic container 302 as an attaché case handcuffed to the secure delivery person's wrist. Once again, container is shown as a physical thing for purposes of illustration only -- in the example it is preferably electronic rather than physical, and comprises digital information having a well-defined structure (see Figure 5A). Special mathematical techniques known as "cryptography" can be used to make electronic container 302 secure so that only intended recipient 4056 can open the container and access the electronic document (or other item) 4054 it contains.

In this example, sender 4052 sends item 4054 by supplying the document to an electronic appliance 600A. In this example, electronic appliance 600A is an intelligent electronic walk-up kiosk that may be located in a public place or on private property, such as the offices or work areas of a firm. Appliance 600A in this example has a document slot 4102 into which sender 4052 can feed item 4054. Electronic appliance 600A can automatically, optically scan the item 4054 and convert it into digital information for sending over an electronic connection or network 4058 (such as, for example, electronic highway 108 shown in Figure 2). The item 4054 can be sent to one or many recipients specified by sender 4052.

Figure 89 shows an example appliance 600A in the form of an intelligent walk-up kiosk. This example kiosk appliance 600A could be installed in an office building lobby, shopping mall, office supply store, or other public place for walk-up use by members of the public. It could also be installed in a location within a corporate or business office (e.g., a mail room) for use by company employees. The kiosk appliance 600A is an example. Aspects of the present invention can be used with other types of electronic appliances such as personal computers or computer workstations for example (see Figures 7 and 8, and 93-93C for example).

Referring to Figure 89, the example kiosk appliance 600A can include a computer screen 4104 for displaying informational messages, and user operable controls 4106 such as push buttons for allowing sender 4052 to select between delivery options. Appliance 600 in this example may also include a card reader 4108 for reading a

004030-11522960

credit card or other kind of card provided by the sender 4052.

Additionally, if desired, electronic appliance 600A may include a telephone receiver 4110 and telephone dialing keypad 4112 (or other input devices) to allow sender 4052 to get information and assistance  
5 or give additional instructions. Electronic appliance 600A may optionally include a keyboard for entering textual and other information (not shown).

Also as shown in Figure 89, electronic appliance 600A may optionally include a video camera 4124 and may display remote  
10 video in a "window" 4126 on screen 4104 (or on an optionally separate screen not shown). Camera 4124 allows appliance 600 to take a photograph of sender 4052 and/or recipient 4056. It may also allow sender 4052 and recipient 4056 to see each other in order to simultaneously authenticate each other's identity visually -- and to  
15 have a "teleconference" discussion about item 4054 or other matters. The electronic appliance 600 may also have a microphone/speaker 4140 perhaps to coordinate details of the pending transaction. Appliance 600A might also include a media reader 4132 to read from a floppy diskette, smart card or other digital storage device. The  
20 appliance 600 can include, in addition, a document shredder/destroyer 4115.

Also as shown in Figures 88 and 89, appliance 600A in this example has a secure processing unit (SPU) 500 (see Figure 6). SPU 500 provides a tamper-resistant protected processing environment  
25 ("PPE") in which processes and transactions can take place securely and in a trusted fashion.

- Figure 91A shows example steps for sending an item such as item 4054. To send item 4054 to recipient 4056, sender 4052 may first press buttons 4106 and read display 4104 to select between different delivery options (see Figure 91A, step 4090A). Figure 90A shows some example service options, and Figure 90B shows some more detailed delivery options. For example, sender 4052 might press a button corresponding to "delivery options," which might cause appliance 600A to display the Figure 90A menu screen of various delivery options. These delivery options could include, for example:
- receipt options (what kind of receipt, if any, sender 4052 wishes to receive documenting delivery of item 4054 to intended recipient 4056);
  - integrity guarantee options (providing high levels of assurance that item 4054 was delivered in its entirety without any errors, and without any accidental or intentional modifications);
  - privacy options (for example, whether recipient 4056 is to know who sender 4052 is or where she has sent the document from); and
  - more options.

Electronic appliance 600A may also ask the user to identify intended recipient 4056 (Figure 91A, step 4090B). Sender 4052 may select different ways to identify recipient 4056 based on the confidentiality of the document and the level of security the sender is willing to pay for. In one example, sender 4052 might require the

recipient's appliance 600B to require recipient 4056 to prove that he is who he says he is. This secure "authentication" function might be met by, for example, requiring recipient 4056 to input a password, present digital proof of identity using, for example:

- 5       • a digital document or "certificate" issued by a trusted third party, and/or
- have appliance 600 measure a biometric characteristic of the recipient such as, for example, taking the recipient's photograph (and possibly automatically compare it with a
- 10       known photograph of the recipient supplied by sender 4052 or system 4050) or using any other biometric sensing technique.

Sender 4052 may also specify the electronic address of recipient 4056, or it might let system 4050 automatically, securely and confidentially locate the recipient using a secure directory

15       service as described in the copending Shear et al. application.

Once sender 4052 has selected the service options she desires, appliance 600 may next display a message on computer screen 4104 asking sender 4052 to insert item 4054 into document slot 102 for electronic scanning. When the sender 4052 inserts the document

20       4054 or other item (Figure 91A, block 4030C), electronic appliance 600 may (if necessary) automatically, optically scan item 4054 to create an electronic, digital form of the document (using conventional optical scanning and optical character recognition technology, for example). During this scanning process, appliance 600 might display

25       a message on computer screen 4104 such as "I am scanning your document now ...." Instead of feeding in a document, the sender



might provide the document or other item in digital form by inserting a floppy diskette or smart card into reader 4132, or by connecting a portable computer up to port 4130 and having the portable computer "upload" the document into appliance 600.

5           The item 4054 to be sent need not be a document, but could be any type of item capable of being transformed into digital form such as, for example:

- pictures or other graphical information;
- sound information such as voice, music or both;
- 10   • executable computer program or other code;
- video, film or other moving image sequences;
- multimedia, video games and the like;
- any combination or subcombination of the above.

After appliance 600 has scanned or otherwise received the  
15   entirety of document 4054 or other item, appliance 600 may calculate and display a total price on computer screen 4104 and ask sender 4052 to pay for the service (Figure 91A, block 4090D). The calculated price may, for example, depend in part on the size and/or number of items to be securely delivered. The appliance may then  
20   ask sender 4052 to confirm she wishes to send the document to the recipient 4056 (Figure 91A, block 4090E). Upon receiving that confirmation (Figure 91A, "y" exit to decision block 4090E), appliance 600 may request sender 4052 to pay, for example, by inserting her credit card into card reader 4108 as a form of payment,  
25   or it might use other payment arrangements (Figure 9aA, block





essentially unforgeable (which is to say, it would be easier to fabricate a electronic fingerprint carrying device, for example, than a well made certificate 4064 barring unforeseen advances in mathematics), but the trouble with certificates is the weakness of correlation between physical access (e.g., holding the card, or sitting at the appliance) and permission to use. Passwords are a weak form of authentication -- that is, establishing this correlation. Biometric techniques, particularly iris and retinal scans, are stronger forms of authentication. It is possible for biometric information to be encoded in a field of a certificate 4064, and for the software controlling the card to confirm that the biometric input is consistent with the field in the certificate prior to authorizing use of the certificate or the card in general. This authentication may be limited in time (e.g., using an inactivity time out, each time the card is inserted, etc.) In addition, a transaction might require this authentication to occur simultaneous with use (rather than for an entire session, even if the card only requires one authentication per session).

After payment has been arranged (Figure 91B, block 4092C), electronic delivery person 4060 will open secure container 302 and give recipient 4056 a printed and/or electronic copy of item 4054 only once he is satisfied -- to the degree required by sender 4052 -- that the recipient 4056 is the correct person.

Electronic delivery person 4060 may also note various information about the delivery (illustrated here by having him write the information down on a clipboard 4066, but implemented in practice by electronically storing an "audit" trail). System 4050 may

-- based on the particular receipt options sender 4052 requested --  
provide the sender with an electronic and/or paper receipt of the type  
shown in Figure 92A, for example (Figure 91B, step 4092D). Such  
an example receipt 4066 might specify, for example:

- 5       • item and/or transaction number;
- name of actual recipient 4056 to whom the item was delivered;
- the company recipient 4056 works for;
- day, date and time of day of delivery;
- who actually opened and read or used an item 4054;
- 10       • when (day, date and time of day) item 4054 was actually  
         opened and read, and
- the public key of the trusted third party that issued the digital  
         certificate 4064 attesting to the identity of recipient 4056.

The sender's electronic appliance 600A and the recipient's  
15   electronic appliance 600B can report their respective "audit trails"  
periodically or upon completion of delivery or some other event.  
They can report the audit information to a support facility such as  
information utility usage analyst 200C (see Figure 1A). Usage  
analyst 200C can work with report creator 200D to issue a written or  
20   electronic report to sender 4052. Alternatively, since electronic  
appliances 600A, 600B are secure, the electronic appliances can  
maintain copies of the audit trail(s) and produce them in secure form  
on demand at a later date to evidence or prove that the document was  
sent and delivered (for example, so sender 4052 can't deny she sent

the item and recipient 4056 can't deny he received the item). The appliances 600A, 600B could store an entire copy of the item 4054, or they could instead store a "message digest" that could later be used to securely prove which item was sent.

5

### **Other Types of Electronic Appliances Can Be Used**

As mentioned above, the kiosk appliances 600 shown in Figures 88 and 89 are just one example of electronic appliances that can be used for secure document delivery.

10        Secure electronic delivery can also be from one personal computer 4116 to another. Figures 93-96 show that system 4050 can be used to deliver documents securely between various different kinds of electronic appliances -- personal computers, for example.

15        Figure 93 shows that electronic kiosk appliance 600A may send item 4054 to a different type of electronic appliance 600C such as a personal computer 4116 having a display 4120, a keyboard 4118 and a pointer 4122. Personal computer 4116 in this example is also provided with a secure processing unit 500 or software based HPE 655 (See Figure 12) to provide secure, tamper-resistant trusted  
20        processing. In this example, kiosk appliance 600A and personal computer appliance 600C are both part of virtual distribution environment 100 and are interoperable with one another in a secure fashion.

25        Secure delivery can also be from one personal computer 4116 to another. Figure 94 shows a sender 4052 inputting item 4054 into

an optical scanner 4114 connected to a personal computer 4116'.  
Electronic delivery person 4060 can deliver the electronic version of  
item 4054 within secure container attaché case 302 from personal  
computer 4116' to another personal computer 4116 operated by  
5 recipient 4056.

Figure 95 shows that the item 4054 delivered by electronic  
delivery person 4060 need not ever exist in paper form. For example,  
sender 4052 might input digital information directly into personal  
computer 4116' through keyboard 4118—or the item could originate  
10 from any other secure or non-secure digital source. Sender 4052 may  
then cause electronic delivery person 4060 to deliver this digital item  
4054 to the recipient 4056's personal computer 4116 for viewing on  
display 4120 and/or printing on printer 4122. Item 4054 can also be  
inputted from and/or outputted to a floppy diskette or other portable  
15 storage medium, if desired. As mentioned above, item 4054 can be  
any sort of digital information such as, for example text, graphics,  
sound, multi-media, video, computer software. The electronic  
delivery functions can be provided by software integrated with other  
software applications (e.g., electronic mail or word processing)  
20 executing on personal computer 4116.

Figure 96 shows an example in which multiple electronic  
appliances 600(1), ..., 600(N), 600A and 600B communicate with a  
secure electronic delivery computer "server" 4150 over a network  
4152. For example, appliances 600(1), ..., 600(N) may each be a  
25 personal computer or other workstation 4116. Appliance 600A may  
be, for example, a network facsimile device including a document

scanner and document printer. Appliance 600B may be one or more additional "servers" of various types. Each of these various appliances 600 may use secure electronic delivery server 4150 to provide secure electronic item delivery and handling services. Server  
5 4150 may include a secure processing unit 500 (PPE) interoperable with other VDE- capable electronic appliances, and may communicate with such other electronic appliances over a communications link 4154 such as the Internet or other electronic network. Each of the other appliances 600 may also include an SPU  
10 500 (PPE) if desired to provide security and interoperability with other VDE-capable devices over network 4152.

### **Electronic Execution of a Legal Document**

Figure 97 shows that trusted delivery system 4050 can also be used to electronically execute a legal contract 4068. In many cases it  
15 may be very inconvenient for the parties 4070A, 470B to a legal contract 4068 to meet face-to-face and physically sign the contract. For example, one of the contracting parties may be geographically distant from the other. It may nevertheless be important for the contract 4068 to be finalized and executed rapidly, reliably and in a  
20 manner that cannot be repudiated by either party.

System 4050 supports "simultaneous" as well as non-simultaneous contract or other document execution among contracting parties 4070. Simultaneous completion allows multiple parties located in physically different locations to directly and  
25 simultaneously participate in the execution of legal documents and/or



other transactions that require authorizations.

Currently, businesses often prefer simultaneous execution of documents at what is called a "closing." Such closings for important documents frequently require the presence of all participants at the same location to simultaneously sign all necessary legal documents. Business executives are often reluctant to sign a set of documents and then send them to the next party to sign, since special legal language may be required to release the first (or early) signing party if the documents are not quickly signed by other participants and since certain liabilities may exist during this interim period.

Figure 97 shows an example in which two contracting parties 4070A, 4070B each simultaneously sit down in front of an electronic appliance 600 such as a personal computer or intelligent electronic kiosk. Each of the contracting parties 4070 may be required to securely identify themselves by, for example, inserting a card 4109 into a card reader 4108 and/or by allowing a biometric sensor 4124 to scan a part of their body such as a finger print or a retina pattern -- thereby proving that they are who they say they are.

One relatively weak form of authentication is physical possession of the card 4109. Nonetheless, if some form of weak authentication is used and biometric information is gathered in real time by sensor 4124, it may be correlated with some trusted record stored elsewhere, and/or delivered along with the item 4054. If biometric information is codelivered with the item 4054, and it is ever actually used, it must be correlated with a trusted record (this trusted record could, for example, be generated by the person

If the parties are simultaneously at their respective electronic appliances 600, they may verify each other's identity using video cameras and screens built into the kiosk. Such simultaneous execution has the advantage of allowing multiple parties at different physical locations to negotiate a deal in real time and then simultaneously, reliably execute and receive final, signed agreement copies that are valid and legally binding.

Trusted delivery mechanism 4060 may send messages such as offers 4054A and acceptances 4054B between the two electronic appliances 600A, 600B. These messages may be packaged within secure electronic containers 302. Some of these may be human readable, others may be automated as in Figures 76A and 76B. If they are human readable and operator managed during negotiation, they may represent a user interface aspect of control structures (e.g., see load module DTD description in connection with Figure 23, and pop up user interface usage in connection with Figure 72C).

25        Once the parties 4070A, 4070B agree on the terms of the  
contract, they may securely indicate their agreement and system 4050  
can generate an electronic and/or paper contract document 4068 that

evidences and memorializes the agreement. As will be discussed below, contract document 4068 may have special attributes such as seals 4200, hand-written signatures 4300 and/or visual or hidden "electronic fingerprint" information 4400. Such seals 4200, signatures 4300 and electronic fingerprints 4400 can be used to establish the authenticity of the document (for example, preventing a signatory from repudiating it and to allowing it to be admitted as evidence in a court of law).

Figure 98 shows that system 4050 can be used to electronically form contract 4068 between any number of different parties. Electronic network 4058 might, for example, be a world-wide electronic highway 108 or other network such as the Internet, with the various parties being located in many different locations around the world. Alternatively, electronic network 4058 might be a private data network within an organization -- or it might be a mixture of the two. Different contracting parties 4070 may use different kinds of electronic appliances 600 such as, for example, personal computers, intelligent walk-up kiosks, home television sets, or any other type of electronic appliance capable of securely receiving and providing information about contract 4068.

System 4050 can electronically pass contract 4068 along a "chain" from one party 4070 to the next ("Round Robin"), collecting signatures as it travels along. System 4050 can also allow each party 4070A-4070F to communicate with any other party. One copy of contract 4068 could be passed along from party to party and iteratively signed at the respective signers' locations. The last signer

could then broadcast final, signed copies of contract 4068 to all parties. The electronic containers 302 can specify who the next recipient of contract is -- forming a trusted chain of handling and control for contract 4068.

- 5           In one example, all of the parties 4070 may be required to hit an "I Agree" button (e.g., by placing a finger onto a biometric sender 4124 shown in Figure 97, "clicking" on a displayed "I agree" icon, etc.) before this transaction is actually carried out. Then, barring a system failure, the execution is effectively simultaneous, since it isn't initiated until everyone has indicated their approval, and won't be completed unless each system performs correctly.

### **Trusted Electronic Go-Between**

- 15           Figure 99 shows that system 4050 may introduce a trusted electronic "go-between" or intermediary 4700 between the sender 4052 and recipient 4056 (and/or between two or more contracting parties 4070). Trusted go-between 4700 acts as an impartial overseer who can document a transaction, and may also become actively involved in directing the transaction to see to it that it is completed properly. Trusted electronic go-between 4700 may provide valuable third party services such as, for example:

- maintaining a secure archive of data, receipts and other information about transmissions senders 4052 sends to recipients 4056;
- 25       • managing the transaction for example, so that not all

parties need to participate simultaneously or to ensure that all prerequisites or preconditions have been satisfied);

- making certain certifications about information sent via system 4050 such as acting as a digital witness by notarizing documents and transmissions.

The drawings show the trusted go-between 4700 as a person for purposes of illustration only. In the preferred example, trusted go-between 4700 may be a computer that performs its functions electronically in a highly automatic and efficient way. In one example, the computer's capabilities may be augmented by human participation.

Figure 100 shows one example use of a trusted electronic go-between 4700 to assist in delivering an item such as document 4054 from sender 4052 to recipient 4056. In this example, sender 4052 may send the item 4054 directly to recipient 4056 within one or more secure electronic containers 302. Alternatively, sender 4052 can send item 4054 (or a copy of it) to trusted electronic go-between 4700 within a secure electronic container 302A. When the trusted electronic go-between 4700 receives container 302A, she may be authorized to open the container, remove item 4054 and affix her seal 4200 to the document. Seal 4200 may certify, notarize and/or "date stamp" the item 4054 as having been received and seen by trusted electronic go-between 4700 on a certain day at a certain time. Trusted electronic go-between 4700 may keep a copy of item 4054 within a secure electronic library or archive 4702<sub>[BW1]</sub>. In addition, if

desired, trusted electronic go-between 4700 may deliver a copy of item 4054 with the affixed seal 4200 to recipient 4056. When recipient 4056 opens the secure electronic container 302B, he will notice the seal 4200 and have confidence that it is the same item 4054  
5 that was seen and archived by the trusted electronic go-between 4700. In this example, recipient 4056 may directly provide a return receipt 4066 within an additional secure electronic container 302C -- or trusted electronic go-between 4700 can provide such a return receipt to sender 4052 based on audit information provided by  
10 recipient 4056 and/or originated by the trusted go-between.

### **The Trusted Electronic Go-Between Can Help With Contracts**

Figure 101 shows how trusted electronic go-between 4700 can make it easier for parties 4070 to execute a legal contract 4068. In  
15 this example, the trusted electronic go-between 4700 can maintain a requirements list 4704. This requirements list 4704 (an example of which is shown in Figure 101A) may specify all of the steps that must be completed and all of the conditions that must be satisfied in order to execute legal contract 4068. Trusted electronic go-between  
20 4700 can monitor the electronic communications between the contractual parties 4070A, 4070B, and notify them of additional requirements that need to be met before the contract 4068 can be signed.

In one example, trusted electronic go-between 4700 can also  
25 act as a mediator to resolve disputes between the contracting parties

4070A, 4070B, and can help negotiate the contract. At the conclusion of the contracting process, trusted electronic go-between 4700 may affix its own seal 4200A to the executed contract document 4068. This seal 4200A may provide a guarantee or  
5 assurance that all of the steps required by trusted electronic go-between 4700 were fulfilled before the contract 4068 was executed and that the contracting parties 4070A, 4070B are who they say they are and had authorization to execute the contract.

Figure 101B shows how the trusted electronic go-between  
10 4700 could be the focal point for a contractual relationship between a number of different contracting parties. In this example, trusted electronic go-between 4700 might communicate directly with each of the various contracting parties 4070 via electronic digital messages, and create the resulting executed contract based on these  
15 communications. In one example, go-between 4700 doesn't tell any participant 4070 who has already agreed and who hasn't. The SPU's 500 (PPEs) of each party's appliance 600 can receive administrative objects (see Figure 21) with the information about each approval, yet this information does not need to be released outside the SPU (PPE).  
20 In this model, the rules associated with affixing electronic signatures (digital and/or an image of a physical signature) can be established at the beginning of the negotiation to indicate the list of parties 4070 that must agree. Then, as each party 4070 agrees, their electronic appliance SPU 500 (PPE) will send administrative objects to each of  
25 the other participants containing one or more events and data associated with those events that can be processed by the controls

associated with use of their signature. If the administrative objects omit the creator identity public header 804 information (see Figure 17), and the information is transmitted via a remailer (or other intermediary) when network addresses could be used to identify a sender, there will be no way to determine the identity of the sender outside the SPU (PPE) 500. As soon as all of the conditions for use of the signature have been fulfilled, and an event is presented to sign the document, the rest of the transaction can go forward.

It is extremely useful to have trusted go-between 4700 monitoring this activity to order the application of signatures (if required), and to allow a roll back if the system fails before applying all of the signatures. The role of go-between 4700 may, in some circumstances, be played by one of the participant's SPU's 500 (PPEs), since SPU (PPE) behavior is not under the user's control, but rather can be under the control of rules and controls provided by one or more other parties other than the user (although in many instances the user can contribute his or her own controls to operate in combination with controls contributed by other parties). In another example, the go-between role 4700 may comprise a "virtual go-between" comprised of a one, a combination of plural, or all, nodes of participants in a collective or other group. Governance can be shared through the interaction of rules and controls of the various node PPEs producing a go-between control role. Upon the completion of a go-between managed transaction, transaction audit information for archive, billing, security, and/or administrative purposes may be securely transmitted, directly, or through one or



more other participating in the virtual go-between.

### **The Secure Electronic Go-Between Can Be Used Within and Between Organizations**

Figure 102 shows an example use of system 4050 for inter- and  
5 intra-organizational communications. Figure 102 shows an  
organization A (left-hand side of the drawing) as having an "Intranet"  
(a private data network within a particular organization) 5100(A).  
Intranet 5100(A) may be a local and/or wide area network for  
example. User nodes 600(A)(1), ..., 600(A)(N) (for example,  
10 employees of organization A) may communicate with one another  
over Intranet 5100(A).

Figure 102 also shows another organization B that may have  
its own Intranet 5100(B), user nodes 600(B)(1), ..., 600(B)(N), and  
private trusted go-between 4700(B). In addition, Figure 102 shows a  
15 public data network 5104 (such as the Internet for example) and a  
public trusted go-between 4700(C). Figure 102 shows that in this  
example, organizations A and B communicate with the outside world  
through trusted go-between 4700(A), 4700(B) (which may, if desired,  
also include "gateways", "firewalls" and other associated secure  
20 communications components). In other examples, trusted go-  
between 4700(A), 4700(B) need not be the actual "gateway" and  
"firewall" to/from Internet 5104, but could instead operate wholly  
internally to the respective organizations A, B while potentially  
generating electronic containers 302 for transmission over Internet  
25 5104.

In this example, organization A user nodes 600(A)(1), ..., 600(A)(N) each have an instance of a virtual distribution environment protected processing environment, and can communicate with one another over Intranet 5100(A) via secure electronic containers 302. Similarly, organization A user nodes 600(B)(1), ..., 600(B)(N) each have an instance of a virtual distribution environment protected processing environment, and can communicate with one another over Intranet 5100(B) via secure electronic containers 302. In addition, organization A and organization B can communicate with one another over Internet 5104 via secure electronic containers 302.

Organization A's private trusted go-between 4700(A) may be used for facilitating organization A's internal communications and processes. Private trusted go-between 4700(A) might be used, for example, to carefully track documents and other items sent from one user to another within organization A. The public go-between 4700(C), meanwhile, can be used to coordinate between organization A and organization B without, for example, revealing confidential information of either organization to the other organization. Below are more detailed examples of how the Figure 102 arrangement might be advantageously used to conduct business transactions.

### **More About The Secure Electronic Container**

Figure 103 shows an example secure electronic object 300 and its contents. Once again, although object 300 is shown as a locked attaché case for illustration purposes, the object and its associated



004080" 1462E960

programs in one example (as mentioned above, item 4054 can also be a computer program such as a program being sold to the recipient).

Secure container 302 may also contain an electronic, digital control structure 4078. This control structure 4078 (which could also  
5 be delivered independently in another container 302 different from the one carrying the image 4068I and/or the data 4068D) may contain important information controlling use of container 302. For example, controls 4078 may specify who can open container 302 and under what conditions the container can be opened. Controls 4078 might  
10 also specify who, if anyone, object 300 can be passed on to. As another example, controls 4078 might specify restrictions on how the image 4068I and/or data 4068D can be used (e.g., to allow the recipient to view but not change the image and/or data as one example). The detailed nature of control structure 4078 is described  
15 in connection, for example, with Figures 11D-11J; Figure 15; Figures 17-26B; and Figures 41A-61.

Secure container 302 may also include one or more routing slips 4072 and one or more audit trails 4077. Routing slip 4072 and audit trail 4076 are data structures defined by and/or associated with  
20 electronic controls 4078, and may be integrated as part of these electronic controls (see Figures 22-26B for example). Routing slip 4072 might be used to electronically route the object 300 to the intended recipient(s) 4056 and to specify other information associated with how the object 300 is to be delivered and/or handled.  
25 Audit trail records 4077 may be used to gather and recover all sorts of information about what has happened to object 300 and its

contents (e.g., where container 302 has been, how image 4068I has been used, etc.). Audit trail 4077 may be used, for example, to generate a return receipt as shown in Figure 92A. Routing slip 4072 and/or audit trail records 4077 (and associated controls 4078) don't  
5 have to be delivered within the same container 302 that contains the image 4068I and/or the data 4077 – they can be delivered independently in another container 302 if desired.

### **Document Signatures**

Figure 104 shows some examples of how system 4050 can  
10 "sign" printed item 4054. In most modern societies, a person indicates his or her assent to a legal document by affixing his or her hand-written signature and/or seal. In the United States, for example, the act of hand writing one's signature on a document may legally bind the signer to the terms and conditions set forth in the document.  
15 In other countries (notably Japan), a person indicates assent and agreement to be legally bound by imprinting the document with a special stamp unique to that person. A corporation may emboss legal documents with its corporate seal to indicate the corporation's assent to the document contents. Governmental authorities in many  
20 countries use official seals to certify that the document is an official one.

System 4050 in this example can accommodate any or all of these conventions by imprinting various graphics and/or symbols on printed item 4054. In the Figure 104 example, item 4054 bears a  
25 "hand-written" signature 4300, a seal 4200, and a electronic

fingerprint 4400 (that in one example may comprise a "hidden signature").

Hand-written signature 4300 may be a graphical image of the signer's own hand-written signature. System 4050 can obtain this  
5 hand-written signature image 4300 in a number of ways. For example, system 4050 may require the signer to sign his or her signature at the time item 4054 is created. In this example, once the document is finalized, sender 4052 or contracting party 4070 can sign his or her signature using a magnetic or pressure-sensitive signature  
10 capture device, for example. Such conventional signature capture devices electronically capture the image of a person's signature and store it in a memory. System 4050 can then -- once it securely obtains the authorization of the signer with a very high degree of trustedness and sureness (e.g., by requesting a password, biometric  
15 test, etc.) -- place hand-written signature 4300 onto an appropriate part of item 4054.

Alternatively, the signer may carry his or her hand-written signature on a portable storage medium such as, for example, a magnetic, smart or memory card. The portable storage unit may  
20 employ rules and controls for budgeting the number of times and/or class and/or other circumstances of a transaction that a signature can be employed, or before the device needs to re-connect to a remote authority as disclosed in the above-referenced Shear et al. patent. The signer can present this storage medium to system 4050 as a  
25 source for the signature image 4300 shown in Figure 104. Once system runs certain checks to ensure that the signer is in fact the one

who has presented the signature card, the system can securely read the signer's hand-written signature from the medium and place it on to item 4054.

004080" 4452960

In still another example, system 4050 may securely maintain  
5 hand-written signature files for a number of different users in a secure archive or "secure directory services" as disclosed in the above-referenced Shear et al. patent disclosure. At a user's request, system 4050 may call up the signature file pertaining to that user and impress the corresponding signature onto item 4054. If an image  
10 representation of a signature is stored on portable media or in a directory service, the image may be stored in an electronic container 302. Such a container 302 permits the owner of the signature to specify control information that governs how the signature image may be used. In addition, or alternatively, the signature image may  
15 be stored in or securely associated with a field of a digital certificate (that may, for example, also incorporate other identifying information).

Figure 104 also shows a "electronic fingerprint" 4400. Electronic fingerprint 4400 may be used to indicate the signer's name  
20 and other information (such as, for example, the date and time of the transaction, the signer's public key, etc.) within the item 4054 contents in the way that makes it difficult to remove the information. A term derived from Greek roots, "steganography" which means "hidden writing" -- applies to such techniques that can be used to  
25 hide such information within a document while allowing it to be recovered later. Example techniques for hiding information from

within text include, for example, varying the spacing between lines of text by an almost imperceptible amount to encode information (see Figure 105A), varying by very slight amounts the spacings ("kerning") between words or characters (see Figure 105B). System 4050 can use such "steganography" techniques to hide information within an item 4054 (e.g., by slightly permuting the gray scale or color frequencies across a document) so it can be later recovered and used to authenticate and/or identify the document -- and/or it can use visible electronic fingerprinting or watermarking techniques to provide visible indications of such information (see Figure 105C).

System 4050 also is capable of imprinting special seals 4200 onto item 4054. Figures 106A-106C show example seals 4200. Seal 4200A shown in Figure 106A may be the type of seal one expects from a Governmental document bearing an official seal. While it is possible for system 4050 to provide an embosser creating a raised seal 4200A, in a preferred embodiment system 4050 prints seals 4200A using a conventional monochrome or color printer at high resolution so that the seal image is flat. Figure 106B shows an example rectangular seal 4200B in the center of the left margin of an item 4054, and another circular seal 4200C (for example, of the type that might be used in Japan) in the lower left hand corner of the document. Figure 106C shows an item 4054 bearing two circular seals: one seal 4200D in the lower left hand corner of the page, and another circular seal 4200E in the lower right hand corner. Figures 106A-106C are merely illustrative examples -- any desired quantity, shape or configuration of seals or other visual, machine-readable



codes can be used depending upon the prevailing legal climate, the country and aesthetic considerations.

Figures 107A and 107B show one example configuration for seal 4200. In this example, seal 4200 may include a center portion 4202, an outer portion 4204 and a border 4206. Center portion 4202 may bear a distinctive image to make the seal immediately recognizable. In this example, center portion 4202 is the great seal of the United States -- and would thus be appropriate for affixing on U.S. Government official documents. Other appropriate images for seals might include, for example, a family coat of arms, a printed or holographic photograph image of the signer, a predetermined complicated pattern, or the like. Besides being distinctive, the image 4203 within center portion 4202 should preferably be complex and difficult to copy -- making seal 4200 less prone to counterfeiting. Similarly, border 4206 may be an ornate pattern that might show discontinuities if printed or copied using inferior equipment.

In this example, outer portion 4204 is used for encoding digital information. Figure 107A shows an example "template" seal before this additional encoding information is added. Figure 107B shows an example of a completed seal in which many small lines have been added to at least portions of the outer ring 4204 of the seal 4200. Appliance 600 could "complete" the Figure 107A template seal to create a completed seal shown in Figure 107B based on one or more electronic controls 4078. Figure 107B also shows a close-up view illustrating that the line pattern can have variations that encode digital "bits" of information. In this particular example, lines 4208

004080" 4452E960

radiating outwardly from center portion 4202 may encode a digital "1" value, while lines 4210 radiating inwardly from border 4206 may encode a digital "0" value. As another example, the selective use of large dots 4211a, small dots 4211b and no dots 4211c could encode digital values. Any kind of information (*e.g.*, numerical, text, graphics, sound, or any combination of these) may be encoded into the image of seal 4200 using this technique. The particular line images shown in Figure 102B are illustrative only -- other visual patterns (and/or steganographic techniques) may be used to encode digital information into the seal's image.

System 4050 can recover the encoded information by scanning and analyzing an image of item 4054 in either digital or printed form. In one embodiment, system 4050 can create electronic controls 4078 based at least in part on this information it obtains from seal 4200.

Figure 108 shows one example of the type of "digital signature" information that might be encoded into the seal 4200's image. In this particular example, the text and/or graphics contents of item 4054 can be transformed into a compact value using a special cryptographic function called a "one-way hash" 4212. The resulting number may be "concatenated" (*i.e.*, put end to end) with other information such as, for example, a time value and a certificate value or number obtained from a "digital certificate" 4214. The time value may be obtained from a real time clock 528 incorporated in secure processing unit (SPU) 500 shown in Figure 9. The resulting string of digital information may then be encrypted with the private cryptographic key of sender 4052, the contracting party 4070 and/or

system 4050. The resulting digital signature value 4216 may be used to encode some or all of the seal 4200's pattern.

004030-1152E960

The hash function may operate on a document in its image form, or its text equivalent (producing two different hash values). In addition, the text version of a document may be pre-processed before operation of the hash function to simplify verification of a document if it must be rekeyed into a verification system (e.g., in the case where all electronic copies of a document have been lost). Since cryptographically strong hash functions are extremely sensitive to the slightest change in data (yielding different values if, for example, a tab character is keyed as a series of spaces) this pre-processing may normalize the document by, for example, discarding all font and formatting information and/or reducing each occurrence of "whitespace" (e.g., spaces, tabs, carriage returns, etc.) into a single space. If the same pre-processing is applied to a retyped version of the document before the hash function is applied, it will have a much higher likelihood of yielding the same hash value if the documents are substantively the same.

System 4050 may later recover this information by digitally and/or optically scanning the image of item 4054 and analyzing the pattern of seal 4200 to recover digital signature 4216. System 4050 may then apply the public key corresponding to the private key used to encrypt the information -- thereby recovering the hash, time and digital certificate, while at the same time authenticating the information as having been encrypted with the relevant private key(s). In this example, System 4050 also has the original document

image 4054 available to it, and may therefore duplicate the one-way hash process 4212 and compare the hash value it gets with the hash value encoded within seal 4200. Mismatches indicate that the seal 4200 may have been copied from another document and does not  
5 apply to the document currently being analyzed.

Other types of digital identifying information that system 4050 might affix to the document include, for example:

- digital information generated by algorithms (such as error correcting algorithms for example) including certain kinds of  
10 unique transmittal information or certain unique pseudo-randomly generated codes that might be combined with transmittal information and/or information representing transmittal content, such that representation of such a collection of relevant transmittal related information may  
15 uniquely and reliably confirm that a given document (or other information) sent by sender 4052 is actually the exact document sent; or
- Reed-Solomon codes or other error correcting or other algorithms relying on formalisms within abstract algebra for  
20 establishing a correct sequence of bits; or
- MD4 or other message digest algorithms employing, for example, one-way hash algorithms that attempt to uniquely identify a sequence of bits that is highly sensitive to content and ordering of bits in a sequence.

25

### **Example Electronic Appliance**

Figure 109 shows an example detailed architecture for electronic appliance 600. In this example, appliance 600 may include one or more processors 4126 providing or supporting one or more "protected processing environments" (PPE) 650 (e.g., SPEs 503 and/or HPEs 544) shown in Figures 6-12 and 62-72). Protected processing environment 650 may, for example, be implemented using a secure processing unit (SPU) 500 of the type shown in Figure 9 and/or may be based on software tamper-resistance techniques or a combination of software and hardware. As described above in detail, protected processing environment 650 provides a secure, trusted environment for storing, manipulating, executing, modifying and otherwise processing secure information such as that provided in secure electronic containers 302. In this particular example, secure containers 302 may not be opened except within a protected processing environment 650. Protected processing environment 650 is provided with the cryptographic and other information it needs to open and manipulate secure containers 302, and is tamper resistant so that an attacker cannot easily obtain and use this necessary information.

Electronic appliance 600 may be any type of electronic device such as a personal computer, intelligent kiosk, set top box, or dedicated stand-alone communications appliance -- just to name a few examples. Processor 4126 is connected to

- one or more user input devices 4106, 4118, 4140;

004080-4152E960

- card/media reader 4108, 4132;
- document reader/scanner 4114;
- biometric sensor(s) 4124;
- display 4104;
- 5     • document printer 4122; and,
- optionally, a receipt printer 4122A for printing receipts of the type shown in Figure 92A.

A document handler/destroyer 4115 may be provided to feed multi-page documents into document reader/scanner 4114 and -- in  
10     one embodiment -- to destroy documents to ensure that only one "original" exists at a time. Such controlled document destruction might, for example, be useful in allowing sender 4052 to deliver an original stock certificate to a transfer agent. The sender 4052 could insert the original certificate into appliance 600 -- which may scan  
15     the original to convert it to digital information (e.g., through use of OCR technology), confirm delivery, and then destroy the original paper version. Secure controls 4078 could be used to ensure that only a single original ever exists on paper.

Processor 4126 is also connected to secure and/or insecure  
20     digital or other storage 4130 (such as, for example, magnetic disks, random access memory, optical disks, etc.), and to a communications device 666 permitting the processor to communicate electronically with other processors or devices via an electronic network 4058 (672). In one example, appliance 600 may be provided with  
25     additional and/or different components such as shown in Figures 7

and 8.

### **Example Process to Send an Item**

- Figure 110 shows example steps electronic appliance 600 may perform to send an item such as item 4054. Initially, electronic
- 5 appliance 600 must be created or established at the user site (or the user must go to electronic appliance as shown in Figure 88). This establishing process may include, for example:
- node initialization (Figs 64, 68, and 69), and updates (Fig 65),
  - 10 • locally registering any rules and controls associated with the user's rights,
  - locally registering any rules and controls associated with any class-based rights, including, for example, any provision for integration of the item sending process into a
  - 15 user application (e.g., to be listed as a "printer" under a print set up in a Windows or other personal computer software application); and
  - the establishment of any necessary certified user identities, which may include, for example, the use of a wider purpose
  - 20 certified identity and/or the certified use of a non-certified identity (such as some network name service identifications) or certified delegation of use of a certified identity.

Once the appliance 600 has been properly initialized, the first

25 step in a send process 4500 may be to authenticate the identity of

sender 4052 (Figure 110, block 4502). This authentication step 4502 may be performed in a variety of ways such as, for example:

- use of biometric sensor 4124 to provide a retinal, iris, fingerprint, thumbprint, or other scanning/matching;
- 5     • the use of a voice print for identity verification;
- hand-written signature capture and biometric analysis;
- requiring the user to present an identification card 4109 (which may be a smart card, magnetic card, or other storage information) that contains information about the sender's
- 10     identity;
- capture and pattern recognition of a photographic image of the sender's face;
- requiring the sender to respond orally and/or via other user input devices 4106, 4118, such as keyboards or the like to
- 15     provide "secret" information such as Mother's maiden name, special passwords or code words, or other information uniquely known to the sender;
- any combination or subcombination of these various techniques.

20         In this particular example, the authentication step 4502 may involve an application program executing on appliance 600 requesting authentication support from protected processing environment 650 – for example, sending to the protected processing environment an authentication “event” requesting the protected



processing environment to authenticate the sender and providing authentication information to the protected processing environment (Figure 110, block 4502) as a basis for the authentication.

Figure 111 shows example steps that protected processing environment 650 may perform in response to receipt of an authentication event. The example steps shown in Figure 111 are control set dependent – that is, that are typically based on one or more electronic control sets previously delivered to the protected processing environment 650 during the registration process described above.

In this particular example, the protected processing environment 650 may examine the authentication information provided to it (e.g., the output of biometric sensors, password information, information read from an identity card, etc.) and determine (based on methods provided in one or more electronic control sets) whether it has sufficient basis to conclude with a requisite, specified degree of assurance that the sender is who she says she is (Figure 111, decision block 4502A). Processes identified within the control sets operating within the PPE650 may perform these functions using resources provided by the PPE – providing an important degree of programmable, general purpose behavior.

The nature and characteristics of this sender authentication test performed by PPE 650 may vary depending on the particular electronic control set being used – as dictated by particular applications. As discussed above, in situations that have legal significance in which non-repudiation is very important, PPE 650

may impose a relatively stringent authentication test. Other, more routine situations may use control sets that impose less stringent authenticity checks.

5       The PPE 650 may abort the process if it decides there is insufficient information to form a trusted belief of authenticity and/or if it determines that the sender is not who she says she is (Figure 111, block 4502B). PPE 650 may indicate/authorize that the process may continue if the authenticity check is successful (Figure 111, “Y” exit to decision block 4502).

10       The sender’s appliance 600 may next need to identify or “register” the intended recipient(s) 4056 (Figure 110, block 4506). In this particular example, the step of registering the intended recipient(s) involves generating a “register recipient” event and sending this event to protected processing environment 650. Upon  
15       receiving this “register recipient” event, protected processing environment 650 may – based on one or more methods within a corresponding electronic control set -- perform certain steps required to coordinate its activities with the intended recipient’s electronic appliance 600 – including, for example, contacting the intended  
20       recipient. Example steps are shown in Figure 112.

      Why might the sender’s PPE 650 need to contact the recipient before sending the item? The answer is that it may be necessary or desirable for the sender 4052 and the recipient 4056 to negotiate and/or agree as to the appropriate electronic controls that should  
25       apply. In an item transmission scenario, for example, such an “agreement” might work out who is going to pay for the delivery

service, which recipient appliance (home or office) the document is to be delivered to, what kind of return receipt is acceptable to both parties, etc.

5 The PPE 650's "register recipient" event processing may, for example, allow the proposed recipient to deliver a set of controls to the sender's system that defines the parameters of receipt. Some general purpose systems may use the default settings in the kiosk or other transmission station. The address itself may provide an indication to the transmitting station as to whether it may or must  
10 request a set of control information from the recipient prior to transmission.

More complicated scenarios may require further coordination. For example, an option to destroy the original item at the send end and recreate it at the recipient's end (e.g., in the case of the stock  
15 certificate mentioned earlier) is both a send option and a receipt option. Similarly, options pertaining to procedures for electronic contract execution typically will require pre-agreement from both the sender and the recipient (i.e., from all parties to the contract). In these cases, there should be some menu options that are driven by the  
20 address of the proposed recipient – and there may be an electronic (or humanly-driven) negotiation to resolve conflicts.

The PPE 650's "register recipient" processing may also require input or other interaction from the user. Figures 90A and 90B show a relatively straightforward menu-based user interface that may be used  
25 to elicit information from sender 4052. In a more advanced example, DTDs 1108 (see Figure 23 and following) associated with one or



recipient(s) (Figure 112, block 4503). For example, PPE 650 may request sender 4052 to provide various types of identification information corresponding to intended recipient(s) 4056 such as, for example, name; physical address; electronic address; public key; and the like. PPE 650 may check this user input for validity (decision block 4503A), and may abort the process (or perform some other exception handling routine) if the input is not valid (e.g., it falls outside of the permissible scope as defined by associated electronic controls). PPE 650 may also, at this time -- with or without input from sender 4052 as may be necessary -- identify any other information required for identifying recipients, such as for example, any preset template(s), class identification requirements, and/or other automation factors and/or workflow assignments, redistribution, and/or content interaction parameters.

15       The PPE 650 then may determine whether it needs to request and obtain a control set from the recipient to proceed (Figure 112, decision block 4506A). The PPE 650 may have obtained the required control set(s) during a previous transaction, the sender may supply the required control set, or the PPE may in some cases be able to use a "default" control set it already has so that no additional control set might be required ("N" exit to decision block 4506A, Figure 112) -- and send processing may proceed to the next step.

On the other hand, if PPE 650 must get a recipient's control set (Figure 112, "Y" exit to decision block 4506A), the PPE 650 may contact the intended recipient's electronic appliance 600 and/or a control set archive (Figure 112, block 4506B) over network 672 for

25

example. PPE 650 may employ secure directory/name services as shown in Figure 12 (and/or as described in the above-reference Shear et al. patent disclosure) to obtain sufficient information for sending and addressing the item to the intended recipient(s) 4056.

5           Once PPE 650 determines how to contact the recipient, it may construct an administrative object 870 (see Figure 21) requesting the appropriate recipient controls (Figure 112, block 4506C), and send the administrative object to the recipient's PPE 650 or other appropriate VDE node that can supply the information (Figure 112,  
10   block 4506D).

          The PPE 650 within the recipient's electronic appliance 600 or other responding VDE node may process administrative object 870 upon receiving it (Figure 112 block 4506E) – constructing a response (e.g., a responsive administrative object containing the requested or  
15   require control sets) (Figure 112 block 4506G) and sending it to the sender's PPE 650.

          The sender's PPE 650 may register the received controls (Figure 112, block 4506H) upon receiving them from the recipient's PPE 650. The sender's PPE 650 may then determine, based on the  
20   received controls, whether it can continue (Figure 112, decision block 4506I). If there is a problem with the controls (e.g., they are for some reason unacceptable to the sender, they are not valid, etc.), the sender's PPE 650 determines whether the problem is critical (Figure 112, decision block 4506J). If the problem is critical, PPE 650 aborts  
25   the whole process ("Y" exit to Figure 112 decision block 4506J).

          If the problem is not critical ("N" exit to Figure 112 decision

block 4506J), PPE 650 performs an exception process (Figure 112, decision block 4506L) to handle the problem and then waits for the next event – which in this particular example may be a “generate secure object” event (see Figure 110, block 4512). Figure 113 shows  
5 example steps the PPE 650 may perform in response to this “create secure object” event based on the control sets registered in accordance with step 4506, for example.

Referring to Figure 113, the PPE 650 may use the dynamic user interaction techniques described above to request sender 4052 to  
10 select between send options and to otherwise specify the type and level of service he or she desires (Figure 113 block 4512A; see Figure 91A block 4090A). Generally, sender 4052 may be required to select between various options; each option may carry with it a certain price. The following are example options the sender 4052  
15 may select from:

**Document Options**

**Signature Options**

- a. digital
- b. visual
- 20 c. both

**Seal options**

- a. visual
- b. hidden (steganographic)
- c. both

**25 Seal options**

- a. Insert third party seal

- b. Complete sender seal
- c. Provide handwritten signature
- d. Provide steganographic electronic fingerprint
- e. Provide visual electronic fingerprint

5

#### Privacy/Use Options

- a. modify/no modify
- b. partial disclosure

#### Item Destruction Option

- a) destroy paper original
- b) destroy digital "original"

10

### **Delivery Options**

#### Receipt Options

- a) receipt to send
- b) receipt to sender and trusted go-between
- c) receipt to trusted go-between
- d) no receipt requested

15

#### Integrity Guarantee Options

- a) no modifications permitted (final version, for example)
- b) no modifications other than signing permitted
- c) no cut, paste, excerpting permitted
- d) other document (item) controls

20

25

#### Privacy Options

- a) public transaction



- b) authorization list
  - c) direct parties to transaction (sender, receiver, etc.)
  - d) direct parties plus transaction authorities
- 5 (see Shear et al.)

#### Authentication Options

- a) type and/or "strength" of recipient authentications (e.g., biometric, password, other)
- 10 b) strength requirement

#### Delivery Type

- a) direct delivery
  - b) store and forward
  - c) permit proxy delivery (registered or certified)
- 15

#### **Contract Execution Options**

- send offer
  - a) single recipient
  - b) multiple recipients
- 20 send acceptance
- propose modification
- add comments
- negotiate (with or without saving negotiation history)
- execute contract
- 25 degree/type of non-repudiation evidence required

### **Teleconferencing Options**

- Name of party
- Address of party (if known)
- Secure directory lookup (if address unknown)
- 5      Quality (speed) of connection
- Payment methods (if different for teleconference)
- Advanced options
  - Teleconference protocol
  - Teleconference network carrier

10

### **Trusted Go-Between Options**

- Contract settlement options
- Audit options
- 15      Archival options
  - a)    archive digital "original"
  - b)    archive "sent" audit record
  - c)    archive "received" audit record
  - 20    d)    archive negotiation history audit record(s)
- Notary options
  - a)    notarize digital "original"
  - b)    notarize sub-sections of digital "original"
  - c)    notarize "sent" audit record
  - 25    d)    notarize "received" audit record

- e) notarize negotiation history audit record(s)

#### Negotiations

- a) Automated negotiations enabled (yes/no)
- b) Specific human go-between (if yes, who)

Length of time to store records (days, months, years, forever)

Contents inaccessible to trusted-go-between (automated service only)

#### Payment methods

- a) Mastercard
- b) Visa
- c) American Express
- d) ACH
- e) EDI X.12
- f) other

In the dynamic user interface model, for example, the user options associated with a contract offer (which are used to create electronic controls associated with the electronic transaction) might relate to a suggested addition, modification, deletion, etc. to an existing item 4054. If the VDE-aware applications used by the participants included word processing capabilities (given that the negotiation has a text based portion), for example, the VDE protected content in the offer could be represented as a "redline" or "revision marking." The controls could further include aspects that manage

modification of content in a controlled way (e.g., see Fig 51, and Figs 51a-f). A more complex example might include several of these modifications, insertions, deletions, etc. in a single offer to represent a "horse trading" offer indicating a willingness to make a series of changes at once, for example, a willingness to pay more money in exchange for removing a restrictive clause.

The options (and associated controls) associated with a contractual offer may also permit the offerer and/or the recipient to add comments to the offer before it is sent and/or accepted. These comments and/or some or all of the negotiation history may be recorded and managed using the audit capabilities of VDE and/or one or more repositories for VDE objects.

In this example, the PPE 650 checks the user input for validity (Figure 113, decision block 4512B) based on applicable controls, and may abort the process (or provide other suitable exception handling) if the input is not valid.

PPE 650 may next specify any audit and routing controls based on the user input it has received and/or the recipient controls it has registered (Figure 113, block 4512C). As mentioned above, object 300 may include one or more control sets 4073 (contained in one or more PERCs 306 for example) that specify the type of routing and auditing to be performed in connection with sending an item 4054 (and also providing one or more control methods for use in auditing and/or routing. Step 4512C typically also involve creating electronic controls specifying permissions and/or restrictions relating to the use of item 4054. In fact, the electronic control set(s) 4078 created by

block 4512C may, for example, specify a variety of different document delivery or other characteristics such as, for example:

- document delivery options selected by sender 4052;
- authentication requirements applicable to intended recipient(s)  
5 4056;
- what use, if any, is to be made of a third part electronic go-between 4700 and what the third party electronic go-between is authorized to do and is restricted from doing;
- other document flow requirements such as direct, pass through  
10 or round robin (interactive);
- applicable payment methods;
- restrictions concerning use of the document (e.g., whether or not the document can be modified, whether or not the document can be passed along to another party, other  
15 restrictions concerning document use and/or privacy); and
- other item chain of handling and/or control restrictions.

Control set 4078 can be used to enforce a secure chain of handling and control on document container 302 and/or its contents. This secure chain of handling and control may be used, for example,  
20 to specify delivery, routing, auditing or other parameters as discussed above.

In performing step 4512, appliance 600 may also create routing slip 4072 (see Figure 103) and a template for return receipt(s) 4066. In one example, items 4066, 4072, may be embodied within

electronic control set 4078 and expressed by the various elements within the electronic control set. Figure 113A shows an example of a routing slip 4072 data structure that may be maintained within secure electronic container 302 (e.g., as one or more DTDs 1108 in

5 connection with one or more load modules 1100 – see Figure 23).

This routing slip data structure 4072 may include, for example:

- a transaction ID field 4520;
- a sender ID field 4522;
- a recipient 1 ID and node ID field 4524 (1), 4526 (1),  
10 respectively, and a corresponding recipient receipt information field 4527(1);
- a recipient 2 ID and node ID field 4524 (2), 4526 (2),  
respectively, and a corresponding recipient receipt information field 4527(2);
- 15 • a recipient N ID and node ID field 4524 (N), 4526 (N),  
respectively, and a corresponding recipient receipt information field 4527(N);
- communication/routing information 4528;
- exception list 4529; and/or
- 20 • other information 4530.

Exception list 4529 may indicate "named exceptions" (e.g., communications failure, line busy, refused receipt, refused payment request, etc.) paired with a list of responses (e.g., try again, cancel entire transaction, send report, invoke event in PPE) and data

parameterizing the responses (e.g., number of retries, list of recipients of cancellation notices, report recipients, control information identifier and additional parameters for control use and/or invocation; respectively).

5           Recipient receipt information field 4527 for each recipient may indicate, for example, the nature of the receipt required, and the recipients of that receipt. A receipt "template" may be included in the container, may be referenced in an archive, or may be named out of a set of default templates stored in each appliance.

10           The routing slip 4072 (see Figure 103) associated with the document(s) in the container may be integrated with control information 4078 reflecting chain of handling and control relationships among recipients. For example, the control information 4078 associated with the item(s) 4054 may be correlated with fields  
15 of the routing slip 4072. Successful completion of a receipt may qualify a specific user to become eligible to use a subset of the control information 4078 that permits them to make changes in a portion of the item, and describe their own control information for the changes, so long as this control information does not provide  
20 further recipients with the right to modify the new material. The control information 4078 may further specify that no changes may be made to an item 4054 until one or more specified recipients has read the item, and (through use of reciprocal controls as show in Figures 41a-41d for example) indicated their approval of further changes.

25           In another example, an entire class of users may be permitted to access the documents (through the presence of a certificate

- indicating their membership in a class, for example), and the routing slip 4072 may be used to record who has handled a particular version of the document. Through use of chain of handling and control techniques, the presence of certain users on the routing slip may
- 5 permit further control information to be specified by a user. For example, after an analyst's research report has been reviewed by three other analysts, a manager may be permitted to modify the control information associated with the report to permit transmission to "public" users.
- 10 Electronic controls 4077 may also include one or more control methods specifying the type of audit information that is to be maintained in connection with the electronic transaction. This audit information may be used for constructing a receipt 4066, to provide evidence preventing repudiation, and for a variety of other functions.
- 15 Such audit information may be maintained exclusively within the sender's appliance 600, it might be maintained exclusively within the recipient's appliance secure database, it might be maintained exclusively within the trusted go-between 4700's appliance 600 secure database, or it might be maintained in a combination of any or
- 20 all of these. Additionally, the audit information may or may not be delivered with item 4054 depending on the particular objectives. A usage clearinghouse 200c as described above in connection with Figure 1A and/or as disclosed in the Shear et al. patent disclosure may be used to track the audit information based on event-driven or
- 25 periodic reporting, for example. Audit records could be transmitted to a usage clearinghouse (or to a trusted go-between 4700) by an



automatic call forwarding transmission, by a supplemental call during transmission, by period update of audit information, by the maintenance of a constant communication line or open network pathway, etc.

5           Figure 113B shows an example of secure audit information 4077 that may be maintained under the control of one example set of electronic controls 4078. This audit information may include, for example:

- a transaction identifier 4532;
- 10   • sender identifier 4534 identifying sender 4052;
- an identifier 4536 identifying the location (*e.g.*, node) of sender 4052;
- an identifier 4538 of recipient 4056;
- an identifier 4540 specifying the location (*e.g.*, node ID) of the  
15   intended recipient 4056;
- an identifier 4542 of the document or other item being sent;
- a secure document descriptor (*e.g.*, a one-way hash value produced from the document's contents);
- other document information 4546 (*e.g.*, format and/or size);
- 20   • document delivery options 4548;
- cost/payment information 4550;
- time/date the item the item was sent (field 4552);
- time/date stamp 4554 of document receipt;

- identification of who opened the document (field 4556);
- a time stamp identifying the location/node date and time of document opening (4558); and
- other information 4560.

5           As mentioned above, audit information 4077 associated with use of a document may be transmitted to many different parties. Audit information 4077 may also be treated as part of the signaling methodology described for reciprocal methods (see Figures 41a-41d) to provide receipts. For example, copies of receipts may be delivered  
10 to the sender, as described above, as well as to the sender's manager in a corporate setting, or to the sender's legal counsel or other professional advisors (such as tax advisers, accountants, physicians, etc.) Some items 4054 which are delivered to, or used by, recipients to gather information (such as tax forms, purchase orders, sales  
15 reports, and insurance claims) may require delivery of receipts to several parties other than the sender. Some transactions may require the delivery of such receipts before completion. For example, a sales report requesting delivery of products from a company's inventory may require that a receipt from the reading of a document delivered  
20 to the sales organization be received by the accounting department for audit purposes before permitting receipt of the document by the sales organization.

Referring once again to Figure 113, electronic appliance 600 may next request authority from sender 4052 to obtain payment for  
25 delivery of the item (Figure 110, block 4505; Figure 113, block

4512D). Payment may be by any convenient mechanism, and may be made by the sender, the recipient and/or by a third party. This payment processing in this example is handled by PPE 650 in accordance, for example, with one or more billing methods as shown  
5 in Figure 49D for example.

The appliance 600 is then ready to accept item 4054 (such as a document) to be sent if the item hasn't already been inputted (Figure 110, block 4507; Figure 113 block 4512E). PPE 650 may (based on control sets specifying this) use the dynamic user interaction  
10 technique described above to interact with the sender 4052 and obtain the requested item for transmission. As mentioned above, for physical documents, appliance 600 can optically scan the document into electronically readable form employing document reader/scanner 4114 using page reader technology and/or optical character  
15 recognition, for example. For electronic documents or other items such as those created by a personal computer 4116 (see Figure 95), this "inputting" step may be a matter of having sender 4054 select or create the item using standard document or file creation applications, or physically picking such document using icons or other menu-  
20 driven techniques. In one particular example, sender 4052 may "select" a document or item to send by commanding a word processing or other application to "print" or otherwise write the item to a particular virtual printer or other output device which is mapped into the overall secure electronic delivery process.

25 Appliance 600 may store the item in any of multiple representations. For example, it could store it in Adobe Acrobat



placement of the sender's signature or seal on the document may be based on the PPE 650's authentication of the sender as shown in Figure 111 – and may require an additional indication of assent from the sender – for example, pressing a “Yes” button, providing

5 additional biometric or other identification information (e.g., “place your finger on the sensor if you want to sign this letter” or “Provide your mother's maiden name to sign this letter”). Such authentication is important for non-repudiation and to prevent fraud. The sender might actually sign his signature on a pressure-sensitive or magnetic-

10 sensing signature capture and/or verification pad, provide a bit-map image of his signature by presenting a “smart card” storing it (plus using appropriate authentication techniques to assure that the bitmap image is being presented by the true signature owner), or provide enough information through user interaction as described above that

15 the PPE 650 can access an electronic signature file containing the signature (e.g., stored locally within appliance 600 or accessible over network 672 from an archive).

In the multi-party execution example shown in Figures 97 & 98, appliance 600 could simultaneously embed two or more

20 signatures into the same document or other item 4054 -- but only upon securely receiving indications that all signatories assent to the document's terms.

Appliance 600 may next place the item and associated electronic controls into one or more secure containers 302 (Figure

25 113, block 4512H). Referring to Figure 103 once again, step 4512 normally involves placing the image 4068I of item 4054 (including

any seals, signatures and other information) into the secure container 302. It may also involve placing a data (e.g., text) version of the item 4068D into the same or different container 302, along with possibly adding tools 4074 for using the item in either or both forms. The  
5 PPE 650 may then send the completed object 300 to an object switch 734 (see Figure 12) for transmission to the recipient.

Referring to Figure 110, appliance 600 may then deliver the secure container(s) 302 to the intended recipient 4056 and/or to trusted electronic go-between 4700 based upon the instructions of  
10 sender 4052 as now reflected in the electronic controls 4078 associated with the object 300 (Figure 110, block 4514). Such delivery is preferably by way of electronic network 4058 (672), but may be performed by any convenient electronic means such as, for example, Internet, Electronic Mail or Electronic Mail Attachment,  
15 WEB Page Direct, Telephone, floppy disks, bar codes in a fax transmission, filled ovals on a form delivered through physical mail, or any other electronic means to provide contact with the intended recipient(s).

Appliance 600 may, through further interaction with PPE 650,  
20 immediately and/or later provide a receipt such as shown in Figure 89A (Figure 110, block 4516). Appliance 600 can immediately issue a receipt indicating that the object 300 has been sent. If rapid electronic communications means are being used, appliance 600 may also receive audit trail information from the recipient's appliance 600  
25 while the sender waits, and issue a receipt indicating some or all of the kind of recipient interaction information shown in the Figure 92A

004060" 4452E960

example receipt. This receipt providing step may, for example, be based on PPE 650 receiving one or more administrative or other objects 300 containing audit information (see Figure 113B).

For purposes of security and trustedness, PPE 650 may actually "issue" the receipt – although it may use various other portions of appliance 600 (e.g., receipt printer 4112A, display 4104, card/media reader 4108, 4132, etc.) to output the receipt to the sender 4052. PPE 650 may also or alternatively maintain a copy of the receipt information (and/or the audit information 4077 on which it is based) within its secure database 610 (see Figure 16). The trusted go-between 4700 similarly may maintain a copy of the receipt information (and/or the audit information 4077 on which it is based) within a secure electronic archive 4702.

### **Example Receive Process**

Figures 114A and 114B show an example process 4600 for receiving an item. In this example, electronic appliance 600 that has received an electronic object 300 may first generate a notification to PPE 650 that the container has arrived (Figure 114A, block 4602). PPE 650 may, in response, use the dynamic user interaction techniques discussed above to interact with and authenticate the recipient in accordance with the electronic controls 4078 within the received object 300 (Figure 114A block 4603; authentication routine shown in Figure 111).

Intended recipient 4056 may be given an option of accepting or declining delivery of the object (Figure 114A, block 4604). If

intended recipient 4056 accepts the item, appliance may store the container 302 locally (Figure 114A, block 4606) and then generate a “register object” event for processing by PPE 650.

Figure 115 shows example steps that PPE 650 may perform in response to a “register object” event. In this particular example, PPE 650 may generate and send any return receipt to sender 4052, trusted electronic go-between 4700, or other parties as required by the control set 4078 within container 302 (Figure 115, block 4607A) – by for example recording audit records 4077 and transmitting them within an administrative object(s) 870 to the required appliances 600.

Appliance 600 may next, if necessary, obtain and locally register any methods, controls or other information required to manipulate object 300 or its contents (Figure 115, block 4607B; see registration method shown in Figures 43a-d). For example, item 4054 may be delivered independently of an associated control set 4078, where the control set may only be partial, such that appliance 600 may require additional controls from permissioning agent 200f (see Figure 1A and “rights and permissions clearing house” description in the copending Shear et al. patent disclosure) or other archive in order to use the item.

PPE 650 may next securely authenticate the received item to ensure that it is not a counterfeit (Figure 115, block 4607C). For example, appliance 600 may check one or more digital signatures 4076 within container 302 to ensure that they are authentic, or perform other authentication tests as described in detail above. PPE 650 may perform critical and/or non-critical exception processing (not shown) if the received object 300 and its contents are not



authentic.

PPE 600 may analyze any seal or other secure information that is part of the item 4054. For example, although the item image may be captured and cropped by untrusted processes, the analysis of the  
5 image data is preferably done inside the PPE 650. Once the seal option of the image is identified, an analysis process will be run to recover the digital information stored in the seal (or steganographically encoded in the document). The next step is to determine what the expected values should be. To do this, the PPE  
10 650 may make requests of an application program running locally to determine a user's expectations, may use a digital representation of a receipt or other audit data, and/or may contact a trusted go-between or other trusted third party to obtain the appropriate expected values. To facilitate this process, there may be some unencrypted  
15 information in the seal that can be used to establish a correlation with other information (e.g., a receipt, a transaction number, etc.). If such information is not available, a local store or a trusted third party might compare the entirety of the recovered digital information with stored records to determine such a correlation. In other cases, the  
20 expected values may be determined from context (e.g. a default set of expected values; or by examining the seal information itself, in either encrypted or decrypted form, for "tags" or other schema or semantic information).

Once the expectation values of the information is determined,  
25 any encrypted portion must be decrypted using the public key

corresponding to the private key used above to make the seal. This key can be obtained using the mechanisms discussed in Ginter et al.

Once decrypted, the expected values may be compared with the actual values to determine correlation. Information about the correlation may be reported to a user and/or a third party, as appropriate. In addition, some or all of the seal information may be included in such report.

Once PPE 650 is satisfied that the received item is authentic, it may embed receipt related information into the item if the electronic controls 4078 associated with the item require it (Figure 115, block 4607D). In one example, the "electronic fingerprinting" techniques described above in connection with Figures 58B and 58C may be used for encoding various types of information onto item 4054 -- for example, to show where the document has been. PPE 650 may embed seals 4200 and/or hidden information 4400 onto the item image 4068I at this time if desired. Electronic fingerprinting, sealing and embedding hidden information may also be performed by the PPE 650 at the sender's 4052 site -- but, it may be advantageous to delay this process until the item arrives at the recipient's site because more things have happened to the item by then. For example, it may be desirable to encode, into seal 4200, hidden information 4400 and/or hidden or unhidden electronic fingerprinting and/or watermarking information, the time stamp of when the recipient actually opened the container 302. In some arrangements, one seal, hidden signature or hidden or unhidden electronic fingerprint could be added at the end of sender 4052, and an additional seal, piece of

hidden information and/or hidden or visible electronic fingerprint could be added at the end of recipient 4056. Any or all of these various techniques may be used depending upon business requirements, convenience, logistics and aesthetics.

- 5           PPE 650 may next perform any required payment and/or other processing as needed (Figure 115, block 4607E). For example, PPE 650 may charge the recipient 4056 for receiving the document (*e.g.*, "collect on delivery") or it may perform other processing such as debiting, crediting, initiating a local audit, round robin pass along, or
- 10   the like – all as specified for example by electronic controls 4078.

- Referring again to Figure 114A, appliance 600 may next index or otherwise catalog item 4054 for later access and reference (Figure 114A, block 4618), and may automatically identify document/file format for storage or presentation to recipient 4056 (Figure 114A,
- 15   block 4620). Appliance 600 may then select any additional information necessary to allow the recipient 4056 to interact with the document (*e.g.*, conduct any associated database searches or the like) (Figure 114B, block 4622), and then initiate any associated application(s) and any carrier application required to interact with the
- 20   document/file (Figure 114B, block 4624). Appliance 600 may then generate a "send" or "open" event to PPE 650 requesting the PPE to open container 302 and allow the user to access its contents.

- Figure 116 shows example steps that may be performed by PPE 650 in response to an "open" or "view" event. In this example,
- 25   PPE 650 may -- upon allowing recipient 4056 to actually interact with the item 4054 -- embed additional recipient interaction related

information into the document such as, for example, the time the recipient actually looked at the document (Figure 115, block 4625A).

PPE 650 can at this time also send additional audit and/or return receipt information to the sender 4052 indicating this event (Figure 5 116, block 4625B) if the associated electronic controls 4078 require it. PPE 650 may then release the image 4068I and/or the data 4068D to the application running on electronic appliance 600 – electronic fingerprinting or watermarking the released content if appropriate (Figure 116, block 4625C).

10 Referring again to Figure 114B, appliance 600 may then wait for further instructions from the recipient 4056. If the recipient wishes (and is permitted by controls 4078) to print the item 4054 (Figure 114B, decision block 4628), appliance 600 may send a “print” event to PPE 650. Figure 117 shows example steps PPE 650 15 may perform in response to such a “print” event. In this example, the PPE 650 may print the item using a suitable printer 4122, including (if necessary or desirable) a certifying seal 4200 and/or other markings on each page of the document (Figure 117, block 4630A).

If recipient 4056 wants to redistribute the item to another 20 person (Figure 114B, decision block 4632), appliance 600 may generate a “distribute” event to PPE 650. Figure 118 shows example steps PPE 650 may perform in response to such as “distribute” event. If the electronic control set 4078 associated with the item 4054 permits redistribution, PPE 650 and appliance 600 may redistribute 25 the item within a secure container(s) 302 based on the conditions set forth in the applicable control set. For example, the control set may

specify that item 4054 is to be "electronic fingerprinted" to indicate that recipient 4056 has received and looked at it (Figure 118, block 4634A). Other information that may be embedded into the document at this time could include, for example, information related to the retransmittal such as, for example, name of sender(s), name of recipient(s), location of sender(s), location of recipient(s), employer(s) of sender(s) and/or recipient(s), and/or any other identifying information. PPE 650 may then package all required information within the same or different electronic container 302 and release the completed object(s) 300 to appliance 600 for transport using electronic or other communications means (Figure 118, block 4634B). PPE 650 may, if required by controls 4078, also send an administrative object 870 providing additional audit and/or receipt information to the sender 4052 indicating that the item has been passed on to the next intended recipient(s) (Figure 118, block 4634C).

#### **Example Trusted Electronic Go-Between Detailed Architecture and Operation**

- 20 In addition to the secure archive, witnessing and transaction management functions discussed above, trusted electronic go-between 4700 may perform additional services, such as, for example:
- notary services;
  - provide an electronic trading environment allowing multiple parties to electronically auction goods or services;
- 25

- "clearing" transaction details, such as, payments, audit information and the like;
- acting as a "certifying authority" (see Shear et al. patent disclosure) by issuing digital certificates 4064;
- 5     • provide any or all of the various support and administrative services described in the Shear et al. patent disclosure;
- act as a trusted registry for electronic control sets;
- provide electronic or human arbitration, mediation or negotiation services to facilitate formation of agreements or
- 10    electronic contracts;
- provide legal, accounting, architectural, design or other professional services;
- provide document assembly services;
- provide document disassembly and component distribution
- 15    services;
- provide real estate, commercial or other closing or settlement services;
- provide court document docketing, filing or other services to assist a judiciary;
- 20    • provide document registry certification, witnessing and other services to assist a judge in ruling on the admissibility of evidence in a court of law;

- provide tax filing services including income tax form preparation, payment handling and the like;
- assist in communications between co-counsel, inside and outside corporate counsel, and/or opposing counsel;
- 5 • deliver highly confidential information critical to national security interests;
- international commerce and management of complicated international commercial transactions;
- stock and bond trading and/or brokerage;
- 10 • managing and/or coordinating internal organizational functions (e.g., corporate, government);
- provide currency conversion and arbitrage services;
- provide arbitrage services related to equity, bonds, options, and other financial instruments
- 15 • provide equity, bond, currency, options and other financial instruments trading, authentication, non-repudiation, transfer agent, and related administrative and/or support services;
- creation, execution, interface with, and use of "smart agents" as described in the co-pending Ginter et al., application (see  
20 Figure 73).

The trusted electronic go-between 4700 may comprise or include a "transaction authority" as disclosed in the above-referenced Shear et al. patent disclosure, and may have the same structure and architecture as shown in Figures 55 *et seq.* of that co-pending

004080" 77152E960  
application.

The trusted electronic go-between 4700 may be one computer or many. It may be centralized or distributed. It may be public or private. It may be self-sufficient, or it may operate in conjunction  
5 with other go-betweens or other support services. It may be entirely automatic, or it may include functions and tasks that must be performed using human skills and expertise. It could be owned by a corporation or other organization, or it could be a cooperative. It could charge for its services, or it might offer its services free of  
10 charge.

As illustrated in Figures 119-120B, the trusted go-between 4700 may use reciprocal methods and distributed processing (see Figure 41a and following) to perform its tasks. For example, the trusted go-between 4700 could actually be a group of organizations  
15 (e.g., a "trusted go-between" and a notary public) that each provide an aspect of the overall function. For example, a certifying authority, a governmental regulator, and an arbitrator could provide the trusted go-between function with the arbitrator acting as the "front end" (i.e. appearing as "the" trusted go-between from the participants' point of  
20 view). Alternatively, all three of these parties may each play a role as independent trusted go-betweens (with the cost of more complex control structures, and all three parties requiring some level of coordination by one or more of the other participants to the extent their functions relate to the same subject matter).



In another trusted go-between topology, each of the participants could have one or more trusted intermediaries that interact with each other on behalf of the participants.

Figure 119 shows an example architecture for a trusted go-between 4700 that provides notarization functions. In this example, trusted go-between 4700 may include an electronic appliance 600 providing one or more protected processing environments 650 and a secure electronic archive 4072. In this example, electronic appliance 600 may include a server 4710 that communicates with protected processing environment 650 and supports one or more administrative applications 4712. Server 4710 may, in turn, communicate with additional electronic appliances 600B including associated protected processing environments 650B.

In this specific example, additional electronic appliance 600B may be owned and/or operated by an entity having the legal authority to be an electronic notary public. The notary public protected processing environment 650B may execute a control set 914B relating to notary functions. Control set 914B in this example, has a reciprocal relationship between an overall control set 914A executed by a protected processing environment 650A of electronic appliance 600A. As shown in Figure 120A, a notary protected processing environment 650B may originate both parts of reciprocal control sets, and deliver one half 914A for operation by appliance 600A – or electronic appliance 600A could originate both parts and deliver part 914B to the notary electronic appliance 600B.

The illustrated reciprocal control sets 914A, 914B may reciprocally interact as described above in connection with Figure 41A-41D, for example. Figure 120B shows example reciprocal methods 1000 that might be contained within an example pair of reciprocal control sets 914A, 914B. In this specific example, the control set 914B operated by the notary protected processing environment 650B may include, for example, the following methods 1000:

- respond
- 10 • initialize
- request certificate
- reply certificate
- validate certificate
- request "get document"
- 15 • reply "get document"
- calculate hash and other parameters
- make seal
- modify document
- request "send document"
- 20 • reply "send document"
- store document into secure database 610.

Similarly, the reciprocal control set 914A operated by electronic appliance protected processing environment 650A may

include methods 1000 responding to reciprocal events, such as, for example:

- request initialize
- reply initialize
- 5   • response certificate
- response “get document”
- response “send document”
- additional reciprocal methods

The control sets 914B, 914A thus define and control the  
 10   processing which go-between 4700 performs on documents and other  
      items in order to notarize them. Human users may interact with this  
      process if desired through optional user interfaces 4714, 4716. Such  
      human intervention may be required under certain circumstances (for  
      example, if a live human witness might be required to testify as to  
 15   certain notarization facts, if the automatic processes determine that a  
      fraud is being attempted, etc.). The dynamic interface technology  
      described above can provide a mechanism for delivering a user  
      interface through the system without direct intervention by the  
      provider of the overall service with respect to user interface, and by  
 20   the notary with respect to the customer relationship.

#### **Example Trusted Go-Between Process Upon Item Receipt**

Figure 121 shows an example process 4750 that may be performed by a trusted electronic go-between 4700 in the Figure 100 scenario shown above. In this example, the trusted electronic go-

between 4700 receives notification that the electronic container 302 has arrived (Figure 121, block 4752), may store the container locally (Figure 121, block 4754), and opens and authenticates the container and its contents (Figure 121, block 4756). The trusted electronic go-between 4700 may then, if necessary, obtain and locally register any method/rules required to interact with secure container 302 (Figure 121, block 4758). The trusted electronic go-between automatically accesses and identifies any controls indicating processing options (Figure 121, block 4759), and may generate any audit trails or other notification(s) that the container has arrived (Figure 121, block 4760). The trusted electronic go-between 4700 may then optionally archive the electronic container (and/or transmission related data) locally (Figure 121, block 4761) -- according to specific options chosen by the sender or other participant and/or the default processing options of the trusted go-between (in one example, all containers and their contents may be stored for five years unless processing options were chosen to the contrary). The trusted electronic go-between 4700 may perform further processes as required by associated electronic controls (Figure 121, block 4764). The trusted electronic go-between 4700 may, if necessary, redistribute the container to the next recipient (Figure 121, block 4766), and may then notify the sender 4052 or other parties of the actions taken (Figure 121, block 4766).

Trusted electronic go-between 4700 may also archive transmission related data as determined by the electronic control set 4078 associated with the item 4054 being sent, the transaction type

and/or sender and/or recipient information (Figure 121, block 4760).  
For example, trusted electronic go-between 4700 might automatically  
determine archiving requirements based at least in part on certified  
class based identification information regarding sender 4052 and/or  
5 recipient 4056. In one example, trusted electronic go-between 4700  
archives transmittal related information such as receipt data structure  
4066 in an object oriented database employing secure containers 302.  
It may also perform data reduction analysis and/or authentication  
processes (Figure 121, block 4762) to provide client specific, class  
10 and/or transaction type usage analysis.

Trusted electronic go-between 4700 may next further process  
the received item 4054 in accordance with requirements provided by  
electronic control set 4078 (Figure 121, block 4764). For example,  
the trusted electronic go-between 4700 might perform an integrity  
15 check on the item, or it may notarize the item before archiving it.  
Other processes that might be performed by trusted electronic go-  
between 4700, depending on the particular scenario, include for  
example the following non-exhaustive list of functions and/or  
operations:

- 20       • Applying signatures (digital, visual, or both)
- Applying seals (visual, hidden, steganographic)
- Inserting a third party seal
- Completing a sender seal
- Providing a handwritten signature
- 25       • Providing a steganographic electronic fingerprint
- Providing a visual electronic fingerprint

- Determining Privacy/Use Controls (e.g., modify/no modify and/or partial disclosure, recording public transactions, permitting disclosure only to those on authorization lists)
  - Issuing receipts (e.g., to sender)
- 5
- Integrity Guarantees (e.g., no modifications permitted, no modifications other than signing permitted, no cut, paste, excerpting permitted)
- Contract execution functions such as:
    - send offer to single and/or multiple recipients,
    - 10      • send acceptance
    - propose modification
    - add comments
    - negotiate (with or without saving negotiation history)
    - 15      • execute contract
    - degree/type of non-repudiation evidence required
    - Teleconferencing options such as use of secure directory lookup (if address unknown), quality (speed) of connection, payment handling, and
    - 20      advanced options
    - Audit functions
    - Contract Settlement functions
    - Archival functions such as
      - archive digital “original”
      - 25      • archive “sent” audit record
      - archive “received” audit record

- archive negotiation history audit record(s)
- Length of time to store records (days, months, years, forever)
- Contents inaccessible to trusted-go-between (automated service only)
- Notary functions, for example:
  - notarize digital "original"
  - notarize sub-sections of digital "original"
  - notarize "sent" audit record
  - notarize "received" audit record
  - notarize negotiation history audit record(s)
- Electronic negotiation functions, for example:
  - Automated negotiations enabled (yes/no)
  - Specific human go-between (if yes, who)
- Payment handling, for example:
  - Mastercard
  - Visa
  - American Express
  - ACH
  - EDI X.12
  - other

As part of this processing, trusted electronic go-between 4700 may, if necessary, redistribute the electronic container 302 to the

intended recipient 4056 (Figure 121, block 4766).

**Example Trusted Go-Between Process to Archive and  
Redistribute An Item**

5           Figure 122 shows an example process 4770 performed by  
trusted go-between 4700 to archive and redistribute an item 4054. In  
this example process 4770, the trusted go-between 4700 receives  
notification that an object 300 (e.g., a container 302 containing an  
item(s) 4054) has arrived (Figure 122, block 4772). Trusted go-  
10   between 4700 may store the object 300 into its secure archive 4702  
(Figure 122, block 4774). It may then open the container 302 and  
authenticate its contents (Figure 122 block 4776). If necessary,  
trusted go-between 4700 may obtain and register any methods, rules  
and/or controls it needs to use or manipulate the object 300 and/or its  
15   contents (Figure 122 block 4778).

Unless it already has the required permission to redistribute the  
object 300 (e.g., based on controls within the object's container 302),  
trusted go-between 4700 may need to request permission to  
redistribute (Figure 122, block 4780). Trusted go-between 4770 may  
20   test to determine whether it has the required permissions (Figure 122,  
decision block 4782) – and request them from the appropriate party  
or parties if necessary.

If trusted go-between 4700 is unable to obtain the necessary  
additional permissions ("no" exit to decision block 4782, Figure  
25   122), the trusted go-between may send a failure notification (Figure  
122, block 4784) and may archive the requests, replies and audit



records (Figure 122, block 4786). If, on the other hand, trusted go-between 4700 has the necessary permission(s) to redistribute the received object 300 ("yes" exit to decision block 4782, Figure 122), the trusted go-between may affix one or more new seals 4200 to the  
5 item(s) 4068 (Figure 122, block 4788), and may then send the sealed copies within secure containers 302 to the appropriate recipient(s) (Figure 122, block 4790).

Trusted go-between 4700 may perform appropriate payment processing (Figure 122, block 4792), and may optionally provide  
10 appropriate return receipts as required by the controls associated with the object 300 (Figure 122, block 4794).

**Example Process For Trusted Go-Between To Provide An Item From Its Secure Archives**

15 In most instances, retrieving archived data requires a user to authenticate themselves, and present information identifying the container. Some containers may require more than one party to retrieve data (e.g., both the recipient and the sender), in most cases a user who is not party to the transaction cannot retrieve data (an  
20 exception could be a government authority, such as a court or tax auditor). In one interesting case, all electronic copies have been lost or were never stored (presumably, the archive only contains transaction information and a hash value).

25 Figure 123 shows an example process 4800 for trusted electronic go-between 4700 to provide items 4068 it has archived

In this example, the trusted go-between 4700 may authenticate the received request, and in the process may also satisfy itself that the requestor has authorization to make the request (Figure 123, blocks 4810, 4812). This authentication process provides assurance that the request is authentic and has come from a party with authorization to obtain the requested information (for example, a court of competent jurisdiction).

Assuming the request and requestor are both authentic, trusted go-between 4700 may access the requested item(s) from its secure archive 4702(Figure 123, block 4814). Trusted go-between 4700 may affix one or more appropriate seals 4200 to the item(s) (Figure 123, block 4816), and then send the sealed copy(s) of the item(s) to the requestor (Figure 123, block 4818).

In this example, trusted go-between 4700 may optionally  
25 notify the owner(s) or other interested parties of item 4054 that it has  
provided a copy to the authorized requestor (Figure 123, block 4820).

Trusted go-between 4700 may perform appropriate payment processing as may be required for this transaction (Figure 123, block 4822), and may optionally issue appropriate receipts to appropriate parties (Figure 123, block 4824).

5

### **Example Contract Execution Process**

Figures 124A-124B are together a flow chart of an example process for contract execution such as shown in Figure 97. In this example process 4830, Alice and Bob wish to enter into a contract.

- 10 Alice creates the contract 4068 using a word processor or other appropriate mechanism (Figure 124A, block 4832). Alice identifies Bob as the other party to the contract (Figure 124A, block 4834). The protected processing environment 500 within Alice's electronic appliance 600 may create appropriate electronic controls (Figure 124A, block 4836) specifying that Bob is the other party and other parameters (e.g., her offer is only good for thirty days, Bob's electronic appliance must use biometric sensing techniques of a certain type for execution, Bob may or may not change the contract)

- 15 Alice may indicate to protected processing environment 500 within her electronic appliance 600 that she wishes to sign the contract -- thereby creating a legal "offer" (Figure 124A, block 4838). She may do so by, for example, clicking on a "I agree" icon or button her PPE 500 causes to be displayed, by placing her finger on a biometric sensor, etc. The particular mechanism used is preferably
- 25 sufficiently secure to make it difficult for Alice to later repudiate her decision to sign. The strength of the authentication should be

004080-4452E960

indicated in the transmission, as well as some requirement for this strength. This is central to "commercial trustedness," and furthermore the level of assurance (e.g. location, tamper resistance, etc.) is directly tied to this. The level of trustedness is based on the strength of authentication which can never exceed the strength of the assurance level; both of which should be disclosed to all relevant parties in a transaction.

In this response to this action, Alice's protected processing environment 500 may affix Alice's signature 4300 and/or appropriate personal seals 4200 to the contract (see Figure 97) (Figure 124A, block 4838). The process 4830 may, at this point, perform an appropriate payment method pre-authorization (for example, to ensure that Alice will pay the compensation required under the contract) (Figure 124A, block 4840). Alice's protected processing environment 500 may package the sealed, signed contract 4068 with appropriate controls provided by block 4836 within an electronic container 302 (Figure 124A, block 4842). Alice's electronic appliance 600 may send the resulting object 300 to Bob's electronic appliance 600.

Upon receipt by Bob's electronic appliance (Figure 124A, block 4844), Bob's protected processing environment 500 may open the container 302 and authenticate the received object 300, Alice's signature 4300 and/or her seal 4200 (Figure 124A, block 4846). Bob's protected processing environment 500 may then cause Alice's signed contract to be displayed so that Bob can read and understand it (Figure 124A, block 4848).

Alice's protected processing environment 500 may send notification of Alice's confirmation to Bob (Figure 124A, block 4854). Upon receipt of Alice's confirmation (Figure 124B, block 4856), Bob may also sign the contract conditional on Alice's signature (Figure 124B, block 4858). Bob's protected processing environment 500 may send the conditionally signed and sealed contract to Alice's protected processing environment (Figure 124B, block 4860). Alice may then sign and seal the contract (Figure 124B, block 4862) and her protected processing environment 500 may send the signed and sealed contract to Bob -- retaining a copy for Alice herself (Figure 124B, block 4864)).

In this example, Alice's protected processing environment may also send a copy of the signed, sealed contract to a trusted go-between 4700 for notarization and/or archival purposes (see Figure 101) (Figure 124B, block 4866). The trusted go-between 4700 may

notarize and/or archive the signed, sealed contract (Figure 124B, block 4868), and may issue archival and/or notary receipts to both Alice and Bob (Figure 124B, block 4870).

5 In one specific example, the delivered contract can be a non-disclosure agreement co-delivered with an item(s) 4054 subject to the non-disclosure provisions of the agreement. Associated electronic controls automatically enforce the non-disclosure provisions of the agreement with respect to the co-delivered item(s) 4054.

10

**Example Contract Execution Mediated By A Trusted Go-Between**

15 Figures 125A-125B show an example contract execution process in which the trusted electronic go-between 4700 is more directly involve as an intermediary in forming the contract (see Figures 101, 101A, 101B). In this example routine 4872, steps 4832A-4840A may be similar or identical to steps 4832-4840 shown in Figure 124A. However, instead of Alice sending the completed "offer" object 300 directly to Bob's electronic appliance 600, Alice  
20 may send the object to trusted go-between 4700 (Figure 125A, block 4874).

Upon receiving the object (Figure 125A, block 4876), the trusted go-between 4700 may open the object and authenticate it (Figure 125A, block 4878). The trusted go-between 4700 may then  
25 apply its own seal 4200, and send its sealed, notarized copy of the offer in an electronic container 302 with associated appropriated

electronic controls to Bob (Figure 125A, block 4880). Trusted go-between 4700 may notarize and archive the item and associated audit information so far created (Figure 125A, block 4882) (e.g., to keep a secure record of the negotiation process).

- 5           Upon receipt of the object, Bob's protected processing environment 500 may open the container 302 (Figure 125A, block 4884) and send audit records indicating receipt and opening of the object (Figure 125A, block 4886). Assuming that Bob agrees to sign the document (e.g., after he has read it) (Figure 125B, block 4848A),
- 10   Bob may indicate his assent through biometric sensing or other techniques as described above -- and his protected processing environment 500 may at that point send an object 300 with "agreement" controls to the trusted go-between 4700 (Figure 125, block 4888).
- 15           The trusted go-between 4700 may notify Alice of Bob's intention to sign the contract (Figure 125B, block 4890). Alice may then send the trusted go-between her signature with electronic controls making the signature conditional on Bob's signature (Figure 125B, block 4892). The trusted go-between 4700 may archive
- 20   Alice's signature, and send Bob notification of Alice's conditional signature (Figure 125B, block 4894). Bob may the sign the contract conditional on Alice's signature (Figure 125B, block 4858A), and send the conditionally signed and sealed contract to the trusted go-between 4700 (Figure 125B, block 4896). The trusted go-between
- 25   4700 may apply Alice's signature and/or seal to the contract based on the controls she sent to the trusted go-between at block 4892 (Figure

125B, block 4897). The trusted go-between 4700 may deliver the completed, signed and sealed contract to both Alice and Bob (Figure 125B, block 4898), and may optionally itself notarize and/or archive the signed, sealed contract (Figure 125B, block 4899).

5

### Additional Examples

The following are some non-exhaustive examples of how system 4050 provided by the present inventions can be used in a variety of different, illustrative contexts.

10

### Example – Automobile Purchase

Figure 126 shows an example of how trusted electronic go-between 4700 might help to coordinate and complete a complex contractual arrangement, such as the purchase of a car. Suppose buyers 4070A want to buy a car from manufacturer 4070B through car dealership 4070C. Buyers 4070A could use an electronic appliance 600 to specify the car model, options and price they are willing to pay. They could also fill out a credit application, provide a down payment, package all of this information into a secure electronic object 300A, and send the electronic container to trusted electronic go-between 4700. Trusted electronic go-between 4700 might then contact the car dealership 4070C, present the buyers' offer and receive (in another secure electronic object 300B) the car dealership's counter offer concerning price and availability. Trusted electronic go-between 4700 could negotiate or mediate between the two parties, and supervise the creation of a contract 68 finalizing the



deal. Trusted electronic go-between 4700 could send a copy of the final contract 4068 to the buyers 4070A and to the car dealership 4070C, using secure electronic objects 300C and 300D to ensure secure electronic delivery of this information. Trusted electronic go-between 4700 could include the buyers' down payment within secure object 300D for receipt by car dealership 4070C. Trusted electronic go-between 4700 could also forward the buyers' credit application within yet another secure electronic object 300E to a credit company 4070D. The credit company could provide the proceeds of an automobile loan to car dealership 4070C to pay for the new car. Meanwhile, car dealership 4070C could send an order to the manufacturer 4070B who could manufacture and deliver the new car to the buyers 4070A either directly or through the car dealership 4070C.

15 **Example -- Document Notary**

Figure 127 shows an example of how system 4050 could be used to notarize a contract, statement or other document. In this example, Bob (4070a) and Ted (4070b) may enter into a contract using electronic or other means. They may sign the contract electronically by having their electronic appliances 600, 600' insert their handwritten and digital signatures (and if desired, also their own personal seals or other affirmations). They may then individually or jointly place the executed contract 4068 into one or more electronic containers 302(1) and transmit the contract to a trusted go-between 4700 for registration.

To prevent either party from later repudiating the contract 4068, trusted go-between 4700 may require certain secure indication(s) allowing the trusted go-between to verify that Bob and Ted are who they say they are. These indications required by trusted go-between 4700 should have sufficient reliability that they will later stand up in a court of law. One possibility is for trusted go-between 4700 to capture biometric information such as photographic images, fingerprints, handprints, retina patterns or the like. Another possibility is to rely on the digital signatures (and thus the security of the private keys) of Bob and Ted -- possibly in conjunction with digital certificates and biometric sensing techniques as described above. In system 4050, Bob's private key and Ted's private key might never be exposed outside of their respective secure electronic appliances 600, 600' -- preventing each of them from voluntarily exposing their private keys as a basis for repudiating the contract.

Trusted go-between 4700 may be completely electronic and automatic. It may receive container 302(1), and open the container to access the contract 4068 it contains. Trusted go-between 4700 may create a notarial seal 4200 on the document encoded with information encrypted using the trusted go-between's private key. This encrypted information might indicate the time and date the trusted go-between received the document; a digital certificate number that securely identifies the trusted go-between; and the "hash" value of the signed contract 4068 (see Figure 103 above). Trusted go-between 4700 may include this resulting digital signature within its notarial seal 4200 and/or may place the digital signature elsewhere on the document

4068 to create a notarized version 4068'.

Trusted go-between 4700 may then store the notarized document 4068' within its secure electronic archive 4702. The trusted go-between 4700 may also, if desired, supply copies of the  
5 notarized document back to Bob (4070a) and Ted (4070b) within additional electronic containers so they each have record copies of the notarized contract 4068'.

Suppose a dispute arises between Bob and Ted. Bob wants to enforce the contract 4068 against Ted. Ted claims he never signed  
10 the contract. Trusted go-between 4700 supplies a copy of the notarized contract 4068' to a court of law 5016 or other dispute resolver. By electronically analyzing the executed contract 4068', the court 5016 can read the notarization assurance of trusted go-between 4700 that Ted did in fact execute contract 4068. So long as the  
15 trusted go-between 4700 required sufficient verification of Ted's identity before electronically notarizing the document 4068', the court 5016 should accept the notarization as conclusive evidence that Ted executed it.

Because of the extremely high degree of trustedness possible  
20 using system 4050, the Figure 127 example could be used to communicate national security secrets or highly sensitive criminal investigation results (e.g., wiretaps) between authorized government agents. Trusted go-between 4700 might be authorized to register (but not open) the containers 302(1) it receives for later use as evidence in  
25 court 5016.

### **Example -- Teleconferencing**

Figure 128 shows the variation on the Figure 127 example including a teleconferencing capability. In this Figure 128 above, intelligent kiosk appliances 600, 600' are each equipped with a video camera 4124 that allows sender 4052 and recipient 4056 to see and speak with one another in real time. Sender 4052 can see recipient 4056 on the sender's display, and recipient 4056 can see sender 4052 on the recipient's display. Similarly, the sender and recipient can each hear each other through microphones/speakers 4128 (and/or telephone handsets 4110) their intelligent kiosks are equipped with.

This teleconferencing capability can be useful, for example, to allow sender 4052 and recipient 4056 to verify they each are who they say they are, and to assist in negotiating contract 4068 or otherwise discussing the content of an item 4054. In order to further assure the authenticity of the communication, a secure communications link may be established using key exchange techniques (e.g., Diffie-Hellman) and encryption of the signal between the stations.

Secure containers 302 may be used to encapsulate the video and audio being exchanged between electronic kiosk appliances 600, 600' to maintain confidentiality and ensure a high degree of trustedness. Thus, in this example, each secure container 302(2) might contain some portion of or multiple video images and/or some portion of or multiple audio segments. Electronic appliances 600, 600' can exchange such secure container 302(2) back and forth in rapid succession to provide real time audio and video transmission

In order to improve performance, the containers themselves may remain at the users' sites, and only the encrypted contents transmitted between the participants. This may allow one or two containers to protect the entire communications between the parties.

5           In still another variation, the teleconferencing shown in Figure 128 does not need to be simultaneous. For example, sender 4052 could walk up to kiosk appliance 600 and operate the kiosk to record a brief video and audio recording of a message. Sender 4052 could use appliance 600 to review and approve the recording, and then send  
10   the recording to recipient 4056 in more or more secure containers 302. Recipient 4056 could present himself to the same or different electronic appliance 600' at a later time. The electronic appliance 600' could verify that recipient 4056 is who he says he is, and then play back the sender's recording.

15   **Example-- Doctor Management/Coordination of Health Records**

          Figure 129 shows how system 4050 might be used to help a doctor 1000 manage and coordinate health records. In this example, after seeing a patient, doctor 5000 might use an electronic appliance 600 (such as a personal computer for example) to electronically  
20   create a patient record 5004 and a drug prescription 5006. The doctor 5000 could instruct electronic appliance 600 to package a copy of patient record 1004 and drug prescription 5006 into one or more secure electronic containers 302(1). Doctor 5000 could specify to electronic appliance 600 (in the form of electronic controls 4078)  
25   that:

- 004000"462E960
- neither document can be modified;
  - each document is highly confidential;
  - patient record 5004 may be revealed only to the patient's insurance company 5008; and
- 5      • drug prescription 5006 may be revealed only to the patient 5002 and to the patient's chosen drug store 5010.

The doctor 5000 may then send container 302(1) to a trusted go-between 4700. Trusted go-between 4700 could be a computer within a doctor's office, or it could be a commercially operated

10    trusted go-between specializing in health care transactions or usable in general types of transactions. Trusted go-between 4700 might be instructed by electronic controls 4078 to time and date stamp electronic container 302(1) upon receipt, and to store the electronic container within its secure archive 4702. It might also be instructed

15    to maintain patient records 5004 completely confidential (indeed, controls 4078 may prevent the trusted go-between 4700 from itself having any access to these patient records), but to forward a copy of the patient records 5004 to the patient's insurance company 5008 so the insurance company can pay for the medical services rendered by

20    the doctor 5000. For example, the trusted go-between 4700 in one example has no access to the content of the container 302(1), but does have a record of a seal of the contents. If trusted go-between 4700 has the seal, it can interact with other parties to confirm the contents of the seal -- without needing to know or disclosing (as the

25    case may be) the contents. Controls 4078 might also instruct trusted

go-between 4700 to forward the drug prescription 5006 to the patient's selected drug store 5010 upon the request of patient 5002.

The patient 5002 could make such a forwarding request, for example, by operating an intelligent kiosk 600' at the drug store  
5 5010. The patient's electronic request 5012 could be sent to trusted go-between 4700, which in response might retrieve the drug prescription 5006 from its secure archive and forward it electronically within a secure container 302(3) to the drug store 5010 chosen by patient 5002.

10 One of the patient records 5004 might be a consent form that was executed by patient 5002. To help prevent the patient 5002 from later repudiating his consent, doctor 5000 might register this consent form with trusted go-between 4700 -- which could then "witness" it by notarizing it and affixing its seal, date stamp and/or digital  
15 signature. Trusted go-between 4700 could provide this consent form 5014 to a court of law 5016 and/or medical malpractice company in the event that patient 5002 sued the doctor for medical malpractice.

### **Example-- Complex Business Transaction**

Figure 130 shows an example of how system 4050 might be  
20 used to accomplish a real estate transaction. In this example, seller 5030 wants to sell his house 5032, and buyer 5034 wants to buy the house. The seller 5030 and buyer 5034 and their respective real estate agents 5036, 5038 write a legal contract which the seller and buyer then sign. The seller 5030 and buyer 5034 use an electronic  
25 appliance 600 to create an electronic version of contract 4068 (or the

electronic execution techniques discussed above could be used to initially create the contract). They place the executed electronic version of the contract 4068 within one or more secure electronic containers 302(1), and send the contract to trusted go-between 4700.

5           Trusted go-between 4700 registers the contract 4068, and then creates an electronic list of rules based on contract 4068. A partial example rule list is shown in Figure 130A. Although the Figure 130A conditions are shown as being written on a clipboard, in the preferred embodiment the "clipboard" is electronically implemented  
10 by a computer and comprises one or more electronic control sets 4078 that specify the conditions that must be satisfied in order for the overall real estate transaction to settle.

Trusted go-between 4700 may need to communicate with each of a number of parties in order to determine whether the conditions  
15 have been satisfied. For example:

- trusted go-between 4700 may need to confirm, via a secure communication 302(2) with an escrow bank 5040, that the buyer 5034 and buyer's agent 5038 have deposited a purchase money deposit  
20 with the escrow bank;
- trusted go-between 4700 may assist buyer 5034 in creating and filing loan applications with one or more banks 5042, along with supporting documentation, and may require confirmation  
25 from the lending bank 5042 that the buyer's financing has been approved so the transaction



can go forward;

- trusted go-between may have to coordinate with an inspector, appraiser and/or surveyor 5044 to ensure that house 5032 has no termites, has an appraised value in excess of the value buyer 5034 is attempting to borrow from lender 5042, has been properly surveyed as required by the lender, etc.;
- trusted go-between 4700 may need to coordinate with a lawyer 5046 to ensure that the title to the property for sale is clear and unencumbered; and
- trusted go-between 4700 may need to communicate with other parties to take care of other details leading up to the transaction completion.

In this example, trusted go-between 4700 may receive electronic notifications in secure containers 302 as each step in the overall process is completed. As illustrated in Figure A3A, trusted go-between 4700 can electronically check each completed condition off of its electronically-maintained condition list as it receives such event notifications. Trusted go-between 4700 maintains this electronic list 4704 in a secure, validated and authenticated manner using system 4050 -- requiring, for example, receipt of electronic containers having event notifications that are signed cryptographically with one or more digital signatures from the appropriate parties. In this way, trusted go-between 4700 can

maintain a highly reliable and validated, authenticated audit of the transaction steps as the overall transaction proceeds.

In addition, trusted go-between 4700 may, if desired, be empowered to issue additional requirements and/or instructions to facilitate the progress of the transaction. For example, trusted go-between 4700 might be a private trusted go-between operated by lender 5042 -- and thus, might be empowered to select which lawyer 5046 to use and to send that lawyer, automatically, appropriate instructions and forms for completing the transaction. As another example, the trusted go-between 4700 may be part of the business operated by lawyer 5046 or other settlement agent, and may thus be empowered to select and instruct escrow bank 5040.

When trusted go-between 4700 determines, based on the electronic rules/control set 4704 and the notifications it has received that all conditions for settlement have been satisfied, the trusted go-between may allow the "atomic transaction" to settle by issuing appropriate notifications and/or instructions -- once again using secure electronic containers 302 and the receipt, verification, authentication, and other mechanisms discussed above to ensure reliability, confidentiality and a high degree of trustedness. For example:

- The trusted go-between 4700 might instruct the lender 5042 to deposit the loan proceeds into loan escrow bank 5040. Upon receiving notification from escrow bank 5040 that the loan proceeds have been properly deposited and the money is available, the trusted go-between 4700 could instruct escrow

bank 5040 to transfer the funds to seller's bank 5048 and thereby release the seller's outstanding mortgage on the property.

- 5 • Trusted go-between 4700 might also instruct escrow bank 5040 to transfer or otherwise pay the seller's agent 5036 and the buyer's agent 5038 their appropriate commissions as set forth in contract 4068.
- 10 • Trusted go-between 4700 might also notarize the deed which seller 5030 has executed in favor of buyer 5034, and could electronically file the deed with the court 5016 (or other governmental authority) for recordation.
- Trusted go-between 4700 might also at the same time file the lender's 5042 deed of trust and a release executed by the seller's bank 5048.
- 15 All of these various coordination steps can be performed nearly simultaneously, efficiently, rapidly and with an extremely high degree of trustedness based on the user of electronic containers 302 and the secure communications, authentication, notarization and archiving techniques provided in accordance with the present
- 20 inventions.

### **Example -- Court Filings and Docket Management**

Figure 131 shows how system 4050 could be used to manage filings in a court of law. In this example, the plaintiff's attorney 5050  
 25 and the defendant's attorney 5052 can electronically exchange court filings and other documents (e.g., letters, discovery requests,

discovery responses, motions, briefs, responses, etc.) by sending secure containers 302 between their respective electronic appliances 600, 600'. Because of the high degree of security and trustedness provided by system 4050, even confidential information can be

5 exchanged using this technique with little risk that the information will fall into the wrong hands (of course, the system cannot prevent people from making mistakes, in addition to the chance -- however remote -- that a determined adversary could dedicate sufficient resources to cracking the system (such as, for example, through brute

10 force techniques to "crack" the algorithms). The lawyers can specifically specify who can open the containers 302 and have a very high degree of trust that no one other than the specified individuals (e.g., opposing counsel and the court 5056) will be able to access the information within.

15 For example, defendant's attorney 5052 can specify one container 302 for opening by his co-counsel, client or client's in-house counsel, and program another container 302 for opening only by opposing (plaintiff's) counsel 5050. Because of the unique trustedness features provided by system 4050, the defendant's

20 attorney 5052 can have a high degree of trust and confidence that only the authorized parties will be able to open the respective containers and access the information they contain.

Appliances 600, 600' may issue highly trusted and reliable return receipts as described above. These highly trusted electronic

25 return receipts may substitute for certificates of service if court 5016 permits.

The lawyers 5050, 5052 can also electronically file any of these exchanged documents with the court 5056 by sending the documents to the clerk 5054 via secure electronic containers 302. In this example, the clerk 5054 may actually be a computerized trusted go-between 4700 (represented here by a person but implemented in practice in whole or in part by one or more secure electronic appliances 600). The clerk 5054 may present a digital certificate evidencing that it is authorized to open a secure container 302 it has received. The clerk may then date stamp each received document (this may involve placing a seal 4200 on the document but more typically might involve simply placing a digital time signature on the document). The clerk 5054 may file the document electronically within a secure electronic archive 4702 that can provide a database for linking related documents together.

15       The judge 5056 might have a secure electronic appliance 600 in the courtroom or in chambers that could be used to view and/or print documents from the secure electronic archive 4702. The judge 5056 could instantly call up any filing to determine when it was received by the clerk 5054 and to review its contents. Different

20       authorizations and/or encryption strengths could be used with respect to publicly available documents and documents under seal (for example, so that sealed documents could only be opened by the judge 5056 or her staff).

      The judge 5056 could write her orders and opinions using

25       electronic appliance 600. She could then send these documents within a secure electronic container 302(3) for filing by the clerk

5054 in secure electronic archive 4702, and for automatic service on the lawyers 5050, 5052.

In this example, the clerk/trusted go-between 4700 could also be used to ensure compliance with the local rules of court. For  
5 example, the clerk/trusted go-between 4700 could maintain, in electronic form, electronic controls 4078 indicating the time and formal requirements with respect to different kinds of filings. The clerk/trusted go-between 4700 could automatically check all  
10 incoming filings from the lawyers 5050, 5052 to ensure compliance with the local rules, and to issue notices and other appropriate forms in accordance with the local rules. Use of a dynamic interface technology may be used to generate and deliver a set of controls to the sender's system that defines the parameters of receipt – and default controls may be used to specify appropriate parameters,  
15 formats, etc.

Figure 131 shows that this system can be extended to allow communications between defendant's counsel 5052, his co-counsel (e.g., defendant's in-house counsel) 5052A, and his client (e.g., the defendant's Chief Executive Officer) 5052B. Because of the high  
20 degree of trustedness and security provided by system 4050, there is no danger that privileged communications between defendant's CEO 5052B and defendant's litigating counsel 5052 will be disclosed to plaintiff's counsel 5050. On the other hand, defendant's litigating counsel 5052 could automatically distribute certain documents (e.g.,  
25 court filings not under seal, discovery requests and responses, etc) to defendant's CEO 5052B and defendant's inside counsel 5052A in

addition to sending them to the court 5016 and to plaintiff's counsel 5050. In this example, defendant's litigating counsel 5052 could enforce any/all of the following different electronic control set options on electronic container contents:

- 5     •     accessible by inhouse counsel 5052A and CEO 5052B only (e.g., for privileged, attorney-client communications);
- accessible by the court 5016, plaintiff's counsel 5050, inhouse counsel 5052A, CEO 5052B (e.g., for court filings not under seal);
- 10    •     accessible by the court 5016, plaintiff's counsel 5050, and inhouse counsel 5052A but not CEO 5052B (e.g., for court filings under seal);
- accessible by the court 5016 only (e.g., for documents being reviewed in camera).

15       Note that in this example, documents can be controlled independently of where they are routed. For example, defendant's litigating counsel 5052 could specify electronic controls that would allow court 5016 to access a document that need not be filed with the court but which might be of interest to the court at a later date (e.g.,

20   letter between opposing counsel later used as an exhibit to a motion). The fact of document transmission (along with some information about the document such as document title and identifier) could be transmitted without actually transmitting the document itself – allowing the court to retrieve the document itself independently at a

25   later time if desired.

### **Example-- Patent Office Automation**

Figure 132 shows how system 4050 might be used for Patent Office automation. In this example, an inventor 5060 might file her patent application 5062 by sending it to the Patent Office 5064 in one or more secure electronic containers 302(1). The high degree of trustedness, confidentiality and security provided in accordance with these inventions ensure that the patent application 5062 will arrive at the Patent Office 5064, and will not be disclosed to or accessed by anyone other than the Patent Office.

Upon receiving the patent application 5062, a trusted go-between 4700 within the Patent Office 5064 could open the container 302(1) and access the patent application 5062. Trusted go-between 4700 could electronically examine the patent application 5062 to ensure it meets all formal requirements, and could also date/time stamp the received patent application in order to document its filing date.

Trusted go-between 4700 could automatically issue the inventor 5060 a filing receipt based upon secure receipt of the patent application 5062 using the return receipt techniques described above.

Trusted go-between 4700 could then deposit the patent application 5062 into a secure electronic archive 4702 to await examination. Trusted go-between 4700 could include appropriate routing information based on a routing slip as described above to route the patent application 5062 to the appropriate group and/or patent examiner 5064 within the Patent Office 5064.

A patent examiner 5064 could examine the patent application





that the invention is patentable -- is fully and completely satisfied that the inventor 5060 is entitled to a patent, the examiner 5064 could instruct the trusted go-between 4700 to grant the application as a patent. Trusted go-between 4700 could retrieve a copy of the  
5 application 5062 from the secure electronic archive 4702, use automatic means to transform it into an issued patent, and insert a seal 4200 (for example, bearing the digital certificate of the Patent Office 5064) onto the document. The trusted go-between 4700 could then issue the granted patent 5066 to the inventor 5060 by sending it  
10 in a secure electronic container 302(3) -- thus ensuring that it does not get lost and is in fact received by the inventor.

Members of the public could obtain a copy of the issued patent 5066 by requesting one from trusted go-between 4700. Trusted go-between 4700 could maintain a copy of the issued patent 5066 within  
15 secure electronic archive 4702, along with electronic controls 4078 that specify the document is a matter of public record and can be disclosed to members of the public. Other documents in secure electronic archive 4702 (e.g., patent applications 5062 that have not yet been published) can be maintained confidential by use of  
20 electronic controls 4078 specifying that only certain people (e.g., patent examiner 5064) can access them.

The Figure 132 example also provides a convenient mechanism for registering invention disclosure documents with the patent office or other organization. For example, inventor 5060  
25 could use electronic appliance 600 to file an invention disclosure document with the trusted go-between 4700. Trusted go-between

- 4700 would notarize or witness receipt of the document upon receipt by embedding the document with a digital signature specifying the trusted go-between's identity, the current time and date, and a hash value for use in an integrity check. Trusted go-between 4700 could
- 5 then file the invention disclosure document within secure electronic archive 4702. At a later date, inventor 5062 could prove the invention disclosure document had been created as of a certain date by requesting trusted go-between 4700 to produce a copy of the invention disclosure document from secure electronic archive 4702.
- 10 Trusted go-between 4700 would thus provide a secure, trusted independent corroboration of document creation -- since it could demonstrate (based upon its imprinted digital signature) that it had received the document on a certain date and that the document had a certain contents.
- 15 The disclosure service could also simply send the inventor a signed hash value, and then discard the document; since the hash value could be used with a copy preserved by the inventor. The service could archive the signed hash value themselves as well (although that is not required).

20

### **Example-- Tax Filing System**

- Figure 133 shows an example of how system 4050 can be used to facilitate filing of income or other taxes. Sender 4052 can use electronic kiosk appliance 600 to file her income tax return 5070.
- 25 Appliance 600 transmits the income tax return 5070 to the governmental taxing authority 5072 within a secure container 302(1).

Secure container 302(1) ensures that the tax return 5070 is opened by no one other than the governmental tax authority 5072. System 4050 can electronically provide a return receipt to sender 4052 proving that tax authority 5072 received the tax return 5070.

5           Appliance 600 may help the taxpayer 4052 complete her tax return 5070. For example, the appliance 600 could ask a series of questions based on a preprogrammed electronic script. The appliance 600 could calculate the taxes owed, and -- once taxpayer 4052 approved the tax return 5070 -- allow the taxpayer to electronically  
10   sign the return as described above. Appliance 600 could accept tax payments via credit or smart cards, debit authorizations from bank accounts, etc. Appliance 600 could also issue a paper or electronic receipt to the taxpayer 4052 assuring the taxpayer that the tax return 5070 has been filed. A court might accept this receipt as evidence of  
15   timely filing.

          Tax authority 5072 may include an internal trusted go-between 4700 that registers and securely date stamps all tax return filings 5070 and places them into a secure electronic archive 4702. The trusted go-between 4700 can also analyze each tax return 5070 to  
20   ensure that it complies with electronic rules embodying the tax laws (some of this process could be performed by humans and some by computers if desired). Trusted go-between 4700 can provide, to a payment mechanism 5074, an electronic container 302(2) requesting the payment mechanism to issue a refund to (or collect a deficiency  
25   from) the tax payer 4052. In one example, payment can be in the form of electronic currency carried within one or more secure

containers 302(3). If the return is structured appropriately for automated processing, tax calculations and application of relevant tax rules can also be automated by the trusted go-between.

5           **Example -- Inter and Intra Organization Communications**

Figure 102 (described above) shows an example of secure trusted electronic go-betweens for use within and outside of organizations such as corporations. As described above, the secure electronic go-betweens 700(A), 700(B) can be used to facilitate  
10   secure item handling and delivery within an organization. As one example, suppose a confidential memo needs to be approved by users 600(A)(1), 600(A)(3) and 600(A)(5) (who can each revise the memo) before being distributed to each of users 600(A)(2), 600(A)(7)-600(A)(10) and 600(A)(12) (none of whom can change the memo),  
15   with copies to users 600(A)(1), 600(A)(3) and 600(A)(5) (who also can't change the memo after all three of them have signed off on it) and to no one else. Private trusted go-between 4700(A) can maintain a rule set that specifies these requirements. Trusted go-between 4700(A) can:

- 20   •     send the memo (in secure containers) in "round robin" fashion to each of users 600(A)(1), 600(A)(3) and 600(A)(5) for approval.
- If any one of these users changes the memo, then trusted go-between 4700(A) can circulate the revised memo to the other  
25   two for additional comments and revisions.
- Once all three of users 600(A)(1), 600(A)(3) and 600(A)(5)

5 approve the memo, trusted go-between 4700(A) may be empowered to place each of their digital and/or handwritten signatures or initials on the memo, place it into one or more secure containers with a control set specifying it is read only and can only be read by users 600(A)(1)-600(A)(3), 600(A)(5), 600(A)(7)-600(A)(10) and 600(A)(12).

- Trusted go-between 4700(A) may then send a copy of the memo in a container to each of these users, or could require the same container to circulate from one to another.
- 10 • The trusted go-between 4700 may require the electronic controls to maintain a secure audit trail indicating where the container has been, who has opened it, who has accessed the memo it contains, and when. Trusted go-between 4700(A) might thus increase personal accountability by evidencing  
15 whether a particular person had seen a particular document, when, and for how long.

Organization A's Intranet 5104 might also be used to exchange and/or distribute highly confidential design specifications. System 4050 can provide a highly secure audit trail indicating who has had  
20 access to a container containing the confidential design specifications; when the person(s) accessed it; and what they did with the specification (print a copy, view it on screen for so many minutes, make a copy of it, etc.) System 4050 (with or without the assistance of a trusted go-between 4700(A) can also maintain, in digital form, a  
25 detailed record of who has "signed off" on the design specifications -  
- thus ensuring personal accountability and providing a high degree

of efficiency.

Private transaction authorities 4700(A), 4700(B) can also provide a "firewall" function to protect confidential information from escaping to outside of the respective organizations A, B. Suppose for example that organization A is an integrated circuit design house and organization B is an integrated circuit foundry. Organization A designs and specifies the circuit layout of a chip, producing a "tape out" that it sends to organization B. Organization B manufactures an integrated circuit based on the "tape out", and delivers chips to organization A.

System 4050 can be used to facilitate the above business transaction while protecting confidentiality within each of organizations A and B. For example:

- organization A's private trusted go-between 4700(A) can supervise an overall design and specification development effort within organization A. All communications take place in secure containers 302 over organization A's Intranet 5100(A) to maintain confidentiality. Trusted go-between 4700(A) can maintain a secure archive of historical design documents, works in progress, and specification versions as the design process progresses.
- Organization A's private trusted go-between 4700(A) can manage the final design specification development -- ensuring that all conditions required to finalize the design specifications are followed.
- Once the design specification has been finalized, trusted go-

between 4700(A) can circulate it within secure containers 302 to those individuals within organization A that need to "sign off" on it. Their respective appliances 600(A)(1), ... 600(A)(k) can affix and/or embed digital signatures, handwritten signatures, seals and/or electronic fingerprints as described above to indicate specification approval.

• Upon being satisfied that the specification has been "signed off" by the appropriate people, trusted go-between 4700(A) can send it over Internet 1104 within a secure container 302 to public trusted go-between 4700(C). Public trusted go-between 4700(C) may be a commercial trusted go-between retained by organizations A and B to act as a liaison between them. Organization A's private trusted go-between 4700(A) can filter (or protect) all information it sends to public trusted go-between 4700(C) to ensure that organization B can access only that information intended for it. For example, private trusted go-between 4700(A) might provide additional electronic controls within the container to prevent organization B from seeing any detailed audit information showing where the specification has been within organization A.

• The public trusted go-between 4700(C) might act as an independent trusted third party, notarizing the design specification to later evidence that organization A delivered it on a particular date and time in accordance with a contract.

• Public trusted go-between 4700(C) could then forward the design specification (still within a secure container) over



Internet 5104 to organization B's private trusted go-between 4700(B).

- Organization B's private trusted go-between 4700(B) could automatically send a copy of the design specification over organization B's Intranet 5100(B) to the appropriate users 600(B)(1), 600(B),(N) within organization B. No one outside of organization B would need to know who received a copy of the specification. On the other hand, organization A's trusted go-between 4700(A) could, if desired, include electronic controls restricting access to only certain engineers within organization B - and these secure controls would be carried along into organization B and securely enforced by electronic appliances 600(B)(1),..., 600(B)(N).
- Organization B's trusted go-between 4700(B) could manage the chip manufacturing process, ensuring that all steps and conditions required to manufacture chips in accordance with organization A's design specification are followed.

#### **Example - Integration With Communications Switching**

- 20 Telecommunications are becoming ubiquitous in post-industrial societies. As a convenience to customers, the trusted go-between could offer many of its services as part of, or in conjunction with providers of telecom services. In one non-limiting example shown in Figure 134, a trusted go-between 4700 is co-located and
- 25 integrated with a telephone switch that connects to a telephone or

other telecommunications network via wires (or other connections) 5100 (in another example, the switch and trusted-go between 4700 cooperate, but are not co-located). In one example, a person with a laptop 5102 or other computer lacking a PPE 650 wishes nonetheless  
5 to take advantage of a subset of secure item delivery services. The computer 5102 is equipped with a fax modem and associated application software. The computer dials a special number which may be an "800" number and is connected to the trusted go-between 4700 who authenticates the sender using a pre-established password  
10 and/or stronger methods such as biometric measurements. The sender indicates the telephone number of fax machine to receive the document.

After selection of delivery options and trusted go-between services, and after making arrangements for payment, the sender's  
15 computer 5102 faxes the document pages 4058d, 4058e, 4058h to the trusted go-between 4700. In one example, the trusted go-between 4700 applies seals 4200 to each page 4058d, 4058e, 4058f of the faxed document and an additional seal for the overall document. The trusted go-between 4700 then faxes the sealed document to the  
20 recipient fax machine 5104. The trusted go-between 4700 also archives and notarizes the sealed document in case the sender or other authorized party requires proof that the document was sent on a particular time and date to a device with a particular telephone number. In the event that the sender's and/or recipient's appliance is  
25 VDE aware (e.g., fax machine 4014c equipped with a protected

processing environment 650), this service will be provided with additional levels of security and trustedness.

In another example, the sender may prefer to have the document delivered in a secure container over a network such as the Internet, in which case, the sender may indicate the recipient's network address. The sender may connect a personal computer 5102 with a modem to another special number and send a digital item to the trusted go-between 4700 using Internet protocols. In this one example, the sender may not have yet installed VDE, and so the trusted go-between takes the document or item and puts it in a secure container along with controls selected by the sender and delivers the secure container to the recipient, who in this example, does have VDE installed.

These examples illustrate the more general point that the trusted go-between 4700 may provide a range of value-added services even to parties who do not yet have the VDE installed on their appliances, and can enhance the security and trustedness of item delivery nevertheless.

\* \* \* \* \*

While the invention has been described in connection with what is presently considered to be the most practical and preferred embodiment, it is to be understood that the invention is not to be limited to the disclosed embodiment, but on the contrary, is intended to cover various modifications and equivalent arrangements included within the spirit and scope of the appended claims.

**WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

- 5           1. A trusted delivery system comprising:  
            first and second protected processing environments; and  
            means for delivering at least one digital object from the  
            first protected processing environment to the second protected  
            processing environment, the digital object including secure  
            control information that controls at least one aspect of the  
10           delivery and/or use of said delivered object.
2. A system as in claim 1 wherein the second protected  
            processing environment comprises a trusted go-between that securely  
            archives and/or notarizes at least a part of the delivered object.  
15           3. A system as in claim 1 wherein at least one of the first  
            protected processing environment and the second protected  
            processing environment applies a digital seal to the digital object.
- 20           4. A system as in claim 1 wherein at least one of the first and  
            second protected processing environments generates return receipt  
            information.
5. A system as in claim 1 wherein at least one of the first and  
25           second protected processing environments authenticates the identity  
            of at least one human being based at least in part on electronic

controls associated with the object.

6. A system as in claim 1 wherein the first protected  
processing environment associated secure electronic controls with the  
5 object, the secure electronic controls securely specifying a chain of  
handling for the object.

## **ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE**


Documents and other items can be delivered electronically

5 from sender to recipient with a level of trustedness approaching or exceeding that provided by a personal document courier. A trusted electronic go-between can validate, witness and/or archive transactions while, in some cases, actively participating in or directing the transaction. Printed or imaged documents can be

10 marked using handwritten signature images, seal images, electronic fingerprinting, watermarking, and/or steganography. Electronic commercial transactions and transmissions take place in a reliable, "trusted" virtual distribution environment that provides significant efficiency and cost savings benefits to users in addition to providing

15 an extremely high degree of confidence and trustedness. The systems and techniques have many uses including but not limited to secure document delivery, execution of legal documents, and electronic data interchange (EDI).

FIG. 1






FIG. 1A



FIG. 2






FIG. 2A



004080" 4462E960

FIG. 3



004020"44622960




FIG. 4

FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B







FIG. 6







**FIG. 8** ELECTRONIC APPLIANCE 600 ("VDE NODE")






FIG. 9




FIG. 10

FIG. 11C




FIG. 11B






FIG. 11A



004030" 4462E960







Fig. 11H  
DATA STRUCTURES

Fig. 11I






Fig. 11J





**FIG. 12A**  
OBJECT CREATION





FIG. 13

PROTECTED PROCESSING ENVIRONMENT 650

503, 655



004080" 44622960

<b>DEVICE FIRM WIRE LOW LEVEL SERVICES 582</b>
INITIALIZATION
POST
DOWNLOAD CHALLENGE/RESPONSE AND AUTHENTICATION
RECOVERY
EEPROM/FLASH MEMORY MANAGER
<b>KERNEL/DISPATCHER 552</b>
INITIALIZATION
TASK MANAGER 576 (SLEEP/AWAKE/CONTEXT SWAP)
INTERRUPT HANDLER 584 (TIMER/BIU/POWER FAIL/WATCHDOG TIMER/ENCRYPTION COMPLETED)
BIU HANDLER 586
<b>MEMORY MANAGER 578</b>
INITIALIZATION (SETTING MMU TABLES)
ALLOCATE
DEALLOCATE
<b>VIRTUAL MEMORY MANAGER 580</b>
SWAP BLOCK PAGING
EXTERNAL MODULE PAGING
MEMORY COMPRESS
<b>RPC AND TABLES 550</b>
INITIALIZATION
MESSAGING CODE /SERVICES MANAGER
SEND/RECEIVE
STATUS
RPC DISPATCH TABLE
RPC SERVICE TABLE

⋮


**FIG. 14A**

<b>TIME BASE MANAGER 554</b>
<b>ENCRYPTION/DECRYPTION MANAGER 556</b>
PK
BULK
<b>KEY AND TAG MANAGER 558</b>
KEY STORAGE IN EEPROM
KEY LOCATOR
KEY GENERATOR
CONVOLUTION ALGORITHM
<b>SUMMARY SERVICES MANAGER 560</b>
EVENT SUMMARIES
BUDGET SUMMARIES
DISTRIBUTER SUMMARY SERVICES
<b>CHANNEL SERVICES MANAGER 562</b>
CHANNEL HEADERS
CHANNEL DETAILS
<b>LOAD MODULE EXECUTION SERVICES 568</b>
<b>AUTHENTICATION MANAGER/SECURE COMMUNICATION MANAGER 564</b>
<b>DATABASE MANAGER 566</b>
MANAGEMENT FILE SUPPORT
TRANSACTION AND SEQUENCE NUMBER SUPPORT
SRN/ HASH
<b>DTD INTERPRETER 590</b>
<b>LIBRARY ROUTINES 574</b>
I/O CALLS (STRING SEARCH ETC.)
MISC. ITEMS THAT ARE PROBABLY LIBRARY ROUTINES
TAG CHECKING, MD5, CRC'S
<b>INTERNAL LM'S 572 FOR BASIC METHODS</b>
METER LOAD MODULE(S)
BILLING LOAD MODULE(S)
BUDGET LOAD MODULE(S)
AUDIT LOAD MODULE(S)
READ OBJECT LOAD MODULE(S)
WRITE OBJECT LOAD MODULE(S)
OPEN OBJECT LOAD MODULE(S)
CLOSE OBJECT LOAD MODULE(S)

⋮


**SPU ROM/EEPROM/FLASH 532**

**FIG. 14B**



001000"4462E960

FIG. 14C



004080" 4452E960





FIG. 15

FIG. 15A



004030" 1162E960



FIG. 15B






FIG. 16






LOGICAL OBJECT

FIG. 17


004030" 4752E960



STATIONARY OBJECT


FIG. 18

004080-4452E960




TRAVELING OBJECT

FIG. 19



CONTENT OBJECT


FIG. 20



ADMINISTRATIVE OBJECT


FIG. 21

# FIG. 22






**FIG. 23**



**LOAD MODULE**

004080" 4462E960

FIG. 24



UDE (MDE)

004080" 4462E960

FIG. 25A




FIG. 25B






FIG. 25C



# FIG. 26



004080" 4462E960

# FIG. 26A





FIG. 26B



**FIG. 27**  
SHIPPING TABLE

HEADER 444A	444A(1)		SITE RECORD NUMBER	444
			USER (GROUP) ID	444A(2)
			REF. TO "FIRST" COMPLETED OUTGOING SHIPPING RECORD	444A(3)
			REF. TO "LAST" COMPLETED OUTGOING SHIPPING RECORD	444A(4)
			REF. TO "FIRST" SCHEDULED OUTGOING SHIPPING RECORD	444A(5)
			REF. TO "LAST" SCHEDULED OUTGOING SHIPPING RECORD	444A(6)
			VALIDATION TAG FROM NAME SERVICES RECORD	444A(7)
			VALIDATION TAG FOR "FIRST" OUTGOING SHIPPING RECORD(S)	444A(8)
			CHECK VALUE	444A(9)
SHIPPING RECORD 445(1)			SITE RECORD NUMBER	445(1)(A)
			FIRST DATE/TIME FOR SCHEDULED SHIPMENT	445(1)(B)
			LAST DATE/TIME FOR SCHEDULED SHIPMENT	445(1)(C)
			ACTUAL DATE/TIME OF COMPLETED SHIPMENT	445(1)(D)
			OBJECT ID OF ADMINISTRATIVE OBJECT (TO BE) SHIPPED	445(1)(E)
			REF. TO ENTRY IN ADMINISTRATIVE EVENT LOG	445(1)(F)
			REF. TO NAME SERVICES RECORD NAMING RECIPIENT	445(1)(G)
			PURPOSE OF SHIPMENT	445(1)(H)
			STATUS OF SHIPMENT	445(1)(I)
			REF. TO "PREVIOUS" OUTGOING SHIPPING RECORD	445(1)(J)
			REF. TO "NEXT" OUTGOING SHIPPING RECORD	445(1)(K)
			VALIDATION TAG FROM HEADER	445(1)(L)
			VALIDATION TAG TO ADMINISTRATIVE EVENT LOG	445(1)(M)
			VALIDATION TAG TO NAME SERVICES RECORD	445(1)(N)
			VALIDATION TAG FROM PREVIOUS RECORD	445(1)(O)
			VALIDATION TAG TO NEXT RECORD	445(1)(P)
			CHECK VALUE	445(1)(Q)
			⋮	
			SHIPPING RECORD N	445(1)(R)



**FIG. 28**  
RECEIVING TABLE

		446A(1)	
HEADER 446A	SITE RECORD NUMBER		446
	USER (GROUP) ID		446A(2)
	REF. TO "FIRST" COMPLETED INCOMING RECEIVING RECORD		446A(3)
	REF. TO "LAST" COMPLETED INCOMING RECEIVING RECORD		446A(4)
	REF. TO "FIRST" SCHEDULED INCOMING RECEIVING RECORD		446A(5)
	REF. TO "LAST" SCHEDULED INCOMING RECEIVING RECORD		446A(6)
	VALIDATION TAG FROM NAME SERVICES RECORD		446A(7)
	VALIDATION TAG FOR "FIRST" INCOMING RECEIVING RECORD(S)		446A(8)
	CHECK VALUE		446A(9)
RECEIVING RECORD 447(1)	SITE RECORD NUMBER		447(1)(A)
	FIRST DATE/TIME FOR SCHEDULED RECEPTION		447(1)(B)
	LAST DATE/TIME FOR SCHEDULED RECEPTION		447(1)(C)
	ACTUAL DATE/TIME OF COMPLETED RECEPTION		447(1)(D)
	OBJECT ID OF ADMINISTRATIVE OBJECT (TO BE) RECEIVED		447(1)(E)
	REF. TO ENTRY IN ADMINISTRATIVE EVENT LOG		447(1)(F)
	REF. TO NAME SERVICES RECORD NAMING SENDER		447(1)(G)
	PURPOSE OF RECEPTION		447(1)(H)
	STATUS OF RECEPTION		447(1)(I)
	REF. TO "PREVIOUS" INCOMING RECEIVING RECORD		447(1)(J)
	REF. TO "NEXT" INCOMING RECEIVING RECORD		447(1)(K)
	VALIDATION TAGS		447(1)(L)
	CHECK VALUE		447(1)(M)
	⋮		
	RECEIVING RECORD N		447(2)

**FIG. 29**  
ADMINISTRATIVE EVENT LOG






FIG. 30






**FIG. 31**  
OBJECT REGISTRATION TABLE

**FIG. 32**

SUBJECT  
TABLE

"HEADER"  
468

SUBJECT  
RECORD  
470(1)



**FIG. 33** USER RIGHTS TABLE





FIG. 34



**FIG. 34A**

**SITE RECORD**

482	
482(J)	
TYPE OF RECORD	484(1)
OWNER OR CREATOR OF RECORD	484(2)
CLASS	484(3)
INSTANCE	484(4)
TYPE SPECIFIC DESCRIPTOR (e.g., OBJECT ID) ASSOCIATED WITH RECORD	484(5)
TABLE IN WHICH THE RECORD IS LOCATED	484(6)
POINTER - OFFSET, WITHIN THE TABLE, TO WHERE THE RECORD BEGINS	484(7)
RECORD LENGTH	484(8)
VALIDATION TAG FOR RECORD	484(9)
CHECK VALUE	484(10)



004080"44622960

**FIG. 34B**

**GROUP RECORD**




FIG. 35





FIG. 36



004030" 4462E960

FIG. 37







FIG. 38



**FIG. 39**  
BACKUP



**FIG. 40**  
RECOVER SECURE DATABASE



004030" 44622960




Figure 41a






Figure 41b




Figure 41c

004080" 44622960




Figure 41d

# BUDGET Method Use Process Flow

004030" 111522560




Figure 42a

004080"44622E960




Figure 42b

# BUDGET Method Administrative Response Process Flow





Figure 42c



# REGISTER Method Use Process Flow

2400

004030-1462E960




Figure 43a



# REGISTER Method Administrative Request Process Flow




Figure 43b

# REGISTER Method Administrative Response Process Flow




Figure 43c




Figure 43d

2520

# AUDIT Method Administrative Request Process Flow




Figure 44a

# AUDIT Method Administrative Response Process Flow





Figure 44b



**Figure 44c**

FIG. 45




FIG. 46






FIG. 47





FIG. 48



# OPEN Method Use Process Flow




Figure 49

1500

1502

004030" 4452E960




Figure 49a





Figure 49b



004080" 44622560




Figure 49d

004030" 4462E960




Figure 49e



004080" 4462E960




Figure 49f

# READ Method Use Process Flow

004020"44622360




Figure 50




Figure 50a

004030-4462360





Figure 50b

004080"4462E950



004030" 4452E560




Figure 50d

004020" 4452E 560





Figure 50e





# WRITE Method Use Process Flow




Figure 51




Figure 51a




Figure 51b

004020" 7762E960





Figure 51c

004030" 4452960



004030" 44622960




Figure 51e

004030" 4452E560





Figure 51f

1920

# CLOSE Method Process Flow



004030" 44522560

Figure 52



# EVENT Method Process Flows

004080" 1112E960




Figure 53a

# Sample EVENT Method Mapping Process




Figure 53b

# BILLING Method Process Flows




Figure 53c




Figure 54

# DECRYPT Method Process Flow




Figure 55a

# ENCRYPT Method Process Flow




Figure 55b

# CONTENT Method Process Flow




Figure 56

# EXTRACT Method Process Flow

004080" 4462E960




Figure 57a



2110

# EMBED Method Process Flow





Figure 57b


004080" 44622960



# OBSCURE Method Process Flow

Figure 58a

004080" 44522960



## FINGERPRINT Method Process Flow

Figure 58b




FIG. 58C

# DESTROY Method Process Flow





Figure 59

2200



[2216

# METER Method Use Process Flow





Figure 61

004030"4452E560


**FIG. 62**  
**KEY CONVOLUTION PROCESS**









**FIG. 64** SPU KEY INITIALIZATION/INSTALLATION




**FIG. 65** KEY INSTALLATION & UPDATE



004030"4462E950





**FIG. 66** STATIONARY OBJECT DECRYPTION



**FIG. 67** TRAVELING OBJECT DECRYPTION

**FIG. 68**  
SPU INITIALIZATION





**FIG. 69**

SPU FIRMWARE  
DOWNLOAD





FIG. 70



FIG. 71

PORTABLE APPLIANCE



LOG IN USER INTERFACE

182

A rectangular window titled "LOG IN USER INTERFACE" (182). It contains three input fields: "USER NAME:" with the text "SHEAR, V.", "PASSWORD:" with five asterisks, and a checkbox labeled "LOGIN AT STARTUP". To the right of these fields are three buttons: "LOGIN", "CANCEL", and "HELP".

FIG. 72A

FIG. 72B

A rectangular window titled "YOU HAVE REQUESTED THESE PROPERTIES:" (2660). It features a warning icon (triangle with an exclamation mark) on the left. Below the title, the text "LOONEY TUNES NEWS!" (2662) is displayed. To the right of this text are two buttons: "APPROVE" and "SUSPEND". At the bottom left is a button labeled "PROPERTY INFO". At the bottom center is the text "Your Cost: \$7.50". At the bottom right is the text "MORE OPTIONS" (2664) next to a musical note icon. A "CANCEL" button is located at the top right.

FIG. 72C

**SET LIMITS:**


SESSION DOLLAR LIMIT: \$	<div>2666</div> <div>50</div>	<div>2674</div> <div>OK</div>
TRANSACTION DOLLAR LIMIT: \$	<div>50</div> <div>2668</div>	<div>CANCEL</div>
TIME LIMIT (IN MINUTES):	<div>50</div> <div>2670</div>	
UNIT LIMIT:	<div>50</div> <div>2672</div>	<div>HELP!</div>

2668

2670

2674

FIG. 72D



**YOU HAVE REQUESTED THESE PROPERTIES:**

CANCEL

SUSPEND

APPROVE


**LOONEY TUNE NEWS!**

---

PROPERTY INFO

YOUR COST : \$7.50

More Options ☒



Show Thumbnail

PROPERTY:	SIZE:	PUBLISHER:	AMOUNT:	UNITS:	COST/UNIT:	TYPE:	USE?:	LINKS:	HIST:
CHUCK JONES BIOGRA...	256KB	WARNER NEW MEDIA	64	KBYTE	\$1.25	PREVIEW	✓	●	
▼ BUGS BUNNY.JPE...	1MB	WARNER NEW MEDIA	1	RECORD	\$5.00	DISPLAY	✓	●	
BUGS BUNNY.JPEG...	1MB	WARNER NEW MEDIA	10	RECORD	\$3.50	DISPLAY		●	
BUGS BUNNY.JPEG...	1MB	WARNER NEW MEDIA	25	RECORD	\$2.50	DISPLAY		●	
FRIZ FRELENG BIOGRA...	256KB	WARNER NEW MEDIA	120	SECTOR	\$5.00	PRINT			
TEX AVERY BIOGRAP...	256KB	WARNER NEW MEDIA	50	PERCENT	\$2.50	COPY			
► DUCKI RABBIT DU...	64MB	WARNER NEW MEDIA	7.0	MINUTE	\$7.50	COPY-PRO			
MEL BLANC BIOGRAPH...	256KB	WARNER NEW MEDIA	1	SPECIAL	\$25.25	INSTALL			
LOONEY TUNES DATAB...	600MB	WARNER NEW MEDIA	1	OBJECT	\$2000.00	ALL		●	

SET LIMITS...

SHOW BUDGETS

ACQUIRE BUDGET ..

HISTORY...

TRANSFER...

PREFERENCES...

FEEDBACK...

HELP!

# FIG. 73




FIG. 74




FIG. 75A





FIG. 75B





# FIG. 75C




FIG. 75D

URT HEADER		CSO		DIGITAL SIGNATURE	
USE RIGHT HDR		CSR			
CONTROL SET(USE WITH INFO. PASSBACK)		CONTROL METHOD(VENDING WITH "RESPONSE CARD")			
REQUIRED METHOD, BUDGET					
METHOD OPTION: VISA		DESIRED UDE: MYVISABUDGET			
REQUIRED METHOD, AUDIT (COLLECTION PERSONAL INFORMATION)					
PERMITTED FIELDS					
REQUIRED METHOD, BILLING(\$25, FIXED, ONE TIME)					

3160

3162

3164

3166

3170

004080-4452560





FIG. 76A




FIG. 76B





FIG. 77







FIG.78

FIG. 79





**FIG. 80**




FIG. 81




FIG. 82




FIG. 83






FIG. 84



**FIG. 85**








FIG. 87








FIG. 88


TRUSTED ELECTRONIC DELIVERY




**FIG. 89**  
**EXAMPLE ELECTRONIC KIOSK APPLIANCE**




**FIG. 90A** EXAMPLE MENU OPTIONS




**FIG. 90B** EXAMPLE DELIVERY MENU OPTIONS



**FIG. 91A** EXAMPLE STEPS TO SEND AN ITEM



**FIG. 91B** EXAMPLE STEPS TO RECEIVE ITEM



**FIG. 92** TRUSTED DIGITAL DELIVERY CAN  
PROVIDE SECURE RECEIPTS

**RECEIPT**

**DOCUMENT NO 78775**

**DELIVERED TO**

**VICTOR SHEAR OF**

**INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES**

**CORP. ON**

**MONDAY 2/13/95**

**5:20 PM PDST**

**OPENED BY**

**VICTOR SHEAR OF**

**INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES**

**CORP. ON**


**MONDAY 2/13/95**

**6:15 PM PDST**



4066

**FIG. 92A**  
**EXAMPLE DELIVERY RECEIPT**



**FIG. 93**

TRUSTED DELIVERY OF SCANNED DOCUMENT



004030-14162960






FIG. 94

TRUSTED DELIVERY OF SCANNED DOCUMENT



**FIG. 95**  
TRUSTED ELECTRONIC DATA DELIVERY



**FIG. 96** EXAMPLE TRUSTED ITEM HANDLING WITHIN AN ORGANIZATION




FIG. 97 ELECTRONIC CONTRACT EXECUTION




FIG. 98

MULTI PARTY CONTRACT EXECUTION






FIG. 99 TRUSTED ELECTRONIC GO-BETWEEN



**FIG. 100** TRUSTED ELECTRONIC GO-BETWEEN



**FIG.101**  
ELECTRONIC CONTRACT FORMATION  
USING TRUSTED ELECTRONIC  
GO-BETWEEN




4704

CONDITIONS :

- ☒ EACH PARTY HAS READ THE CONTRACT
- ☒ ALL THE ATTACHMENTS ARE PRESENT
- ☒ PARTY A WILLING TO SIGN
- ☒ PARTY B WILLING TO SIGN
- ☐ PARTY A MUST SIGN
- ☐ PARTY B MUST SIGN

**FIG. 101A** EXAMPLE REQUIREMENTS LISTS



**FIG. 101B**  
MULTI PARTY CONTRACT  
THROUGH TRUSTED  
GO - BETWEEN






FIG. 102  
EXAMPLE INTER AND INTRA ORGANIZATION

IG.103 EXAMPLE SECURE OBJECT



004030" 4452E960



**FIG. 104**

**EXAMPLE  
ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT SIGNATURES**

004030" 4462E950



Fig. 105A  
EXAMPLE LINE SPACING ENCODING




Fig. 105B  
EXAMPLE LETTER SPACING ENCODING

004080" 4452E960





FIG. 105 C

EXAMPLE  
DOCUMENT FINGERPRINT



EXAMPLE VISUAL SEALS



004030" 4462E960

Fig. 107A  
EXAMPLE TEMPLATE SEAL






Fig. 107B  
EXAMPLE SEAL ENCODES  
DIGITAL INFORMATION




004000"4462E960

Fig. 108  
EXAMPLE DIGITAL SEAL CREATION



**FIG. 109**  
EXAMPLE ELECTRONIC APPLIANCE



**FIG. 110**  
Application Level Example  
Send Operations






FIG. 111

PPE EXAMPLE AUTHENTICATION METHOD




**FIG. 112**


**PPE Example Register  
Recipient Methods**



**FIG. 113**  
PPE Example Generate  
Object Method




001000"4162E960




**FIG. 113A**  
**EXAMPLE ROUTING SLIP**  
**DATA STRUCTURE**






**FIG. 113B**  
**EXAMPLE AUDIT TRAIL**  
**DATA STRUCTURE**


**FIG. 114A**  
**EXAMPLE STEPS TO**  
**RECEIVE ITEM**




**FIG. 114B**




**FIG. 115**  
PPE EXAMPLE REGISTER  
OBJECT METHOD




**FIG. 116**  
PPE EXAMPLE  
OPEN /VIEW  
METHOD




**FIG. 117**  
**PPE EXAMPLE**  
**PRINT**  
**METHOD**



**FIG. 118**  
**PPE EXAMPLE**  
**DISTRIBUTE**  
**METHOD**




004020-44626360




**FIG. 119**  
**EXAMPLE TRUSTED**  
**GO-BETWEEN**

**FIG. 120A**

Example Cooperation Between Notary And  
Trusted Go-Between PPES




**FIG. 120B**  
**EXAMPLE RECIPROCAL NOTARY CONTROLS**







**FIG. 121** EXAMPLE TRUSTED GO-BETWEEN STEPS TO RECEIVE AN ITEM



**FIG. 122**  
**EXAMPLE PROCESS**  
**FOR TRUSTED GO-**  
**BETWEEN TO**  
**REDISTRIBUTE AN**  
**ITEM**



**FIG. 123**  
**EXAMPLE PROCESS**  
**FOR TRUSTED GO-**  
**BETWEEN TO**  
**PROVIDE ITEMS**  
**FROM ITS ARCHIVES**



**FIG. 124A**  
**EXAMPLE PROCESS**  
**FOR SIMULTANEOUS**  
**CONTRACT EXECUTION**





FIG. 124B



**FIG. 125A**  
**EXAMPLE CONTRACT**  
**EXECUTION PROCESS**  
**USING TRUSTED**  
**GO-BETWEEN**





FIG. 125B






FIG. 126

EXAMPLE  
TRANSACTION






FIG. 127 EXAMPLE -ELECTRONIC ITEM NOTARIZATION





FIG. 128 EXAMPLE -TELECONFERENCES

**FIG. 129**



IG. 130 EXAMPLE REAL ESTATE "ATOMIC" SETTLEMENT

4704

CONDITIONS :

- ☒ EACH PARTY HAS READ THE CONTRACT
- ☒ INSPECTER INSPECTS FOR TERMITES
- ☐ LENDER APPROVES FINANCING
- ☐ TITLE SEARCH CLEAR

**FIG. 130A** EXAMPLE TRANSACTION RULES




FIG.131 EXAMPLE JUDICIAL ELECTRONIC DATA INTERCHANGE




FIG. 132. EXAMPLE PATENT OFFICE AUTOMATION




FIG. 133 EXAMPLE TAX FILING



FIG. 134

